Recent observations on leptospirosis in Northern Ireland and their bearing on current diagnostic methods

T. S. WILSON

From The Laboratories, Belfast City Hospital, Belfast

SYNOPSIS  An investigation was undertaken to assess the present importance of leptospiral infections in Northern Ireland, and in particular to look for evidence of infection by leptospiral serotypes other than L. icterohaemorrhagiae and L. canicola.

Blood samples from 335 patients, sent to the laboratory for a variety of tests, were examined. After initial screening with five groups of pooled antigens, tests for leptospiral agglutinins were completed with formalized antigens prepared from 13 different serotypes. In seven patients a diagnosis of acute leptospirosis was made while nine others showed serological evidence of previous leptospiral infection. Attempts to isolate leptospiroae by culture from 29 blood samples were unsuccessful.

The serological results indicate that two additional leptospiral serotypes, namely L. ballum and L. bratislava, are causing human infection in Northern Ireland, and presumably also in other parts of the British Isles. Some clinical and epidemiological features associated with different types of leptospiral infection are described. It is stressed that leptospirosis is essentially a febrile illness, that meningeval symptoms are common, and that (contrary to popular belief) jaundice is by no means a constant occurrence.

The implications of these findings are discussed, with special reference to the diagnosis of leptospiral infections. Laboratory diagnostic procedures are briefly reviewed, and the possible deficiencies of the agglutination test commonly used in Britain are pointed out. Some suggestions are made concerning both clinical and laboratory aspects of diagnosis, and the need for a reliable screening test for all forms of leptospiral infection is emphasized.

Leptospirosis is one of the zoonoses, and a wide variety of animals may act as carriers of leptospiroae, the organisms multiplying in their kidneys and being excreted in the urine. Human infection usually follows contact with contaminated water, soil, or other material; infection by direct contact with a carrier host is less common. Recent surveys in other countries have indicated that leptospiral infections of rodents and many other animals, both wild and domestic, are much more widespread than was formerly appreciated, and that infection in man may often not be recognized.

The leptospiroae are classified on the basis of their antigenic characters, using the criteria proposed by Wolff and Broom (1954). Sixty serotypes and sub-serotypes were listed by a W.H.O./F.A.O. expert committee on zoonoses (Report, 1959), and more recent discoveries bring the total to about 100 (Memorandum, 1965). Some serotypes, such as Leptospira icterohaemorrhagiae and L. canicola, are almost universal in their distribution, while others have only been found in certain localized areas. The presence or absence of suitable carrier hosts probably plays an important part in determining the geographical distribution of different leptospiral serotypes (Alston and Broom, 1958).

The first confirmed case of human leptospiral infection in Britain, due to L. icterohaemorrhagiae, was described by Manson-Bahr, Wenyon, and Brown (1922). Many other infections with this serotype have been recognized since then, occurring commonly in exposed occupational groups such as miners, farmers, and sewer workers. Baber and Stuart (1946) reported...
the first British case of *L. canicola* infection, and within the next four years another 70 were diagnosed (Broom, 1951). Alston and Broom (1958) stated that no human infections due to serotypes other than *L. icterohaemorrhagiae* and *L. canicola* were known to have occurred in the British Isles (apart from laboratory infections); even since then none seem to have been reported in the literature, though Turner (1963, 1965) has found agglutinins to other serotypes in a small proportion of the human sera he has examined, and the significance of these findings is being investigated.

Until quite recently, *L. icterohaemorrhagiae* and *L. canicola* were also the only two serotypes which had been found in animals in Britain, although Alston and Broom (1958) were able to list more than a dozen leptospiroa which occurred in the adjacent countries of Continental Europe. Commenting on this disparity, they pointed out that various species of rodents which were known to carry serotypes such as *L. ballum*, *L. bataviae*, *L. sejroe* and *L. grippotyphosa* on the Continent were also present in the British Isles.

However, within the last few years the position in Britain has changed considerably. Serological tests have revealed antibodies to *L. hyos* and *L. pomona* in dogs (Davies, 1957), to *L. pomona* and *L. ballum* in pigs (Michna, 1958; Davies, 1960), and to *L. sejroe*, *L. bataviae*, and *L. grippotyphosa* in several species of rodents (Broom and Coghlan, 1958). In addition, a number of strains of leptospiroa have been isolated from small field rodents; some of these strains were identified as *L. ballum* and some as belonging to the *L. sejroe-L. saxkoebing* group (Broom and Coghlan, 1958). A further serotype named *L. bratislava* has been isolated from hedgehogs (Broom and Coghlan, 1960; Turner, 1962), and more recently, *L. erinacei-auriti* from a water vole (Slavin, Salt, and Wolf, 1964).

Clearly many more leptospiroa serotypes are present in Britain than was suspected a few years ago. Most of these serotypes are known to cause human illness in other countries, and it seemed probable that similar human infections were occurring in the British Isles, but were remaining undiagnosed.

The investigation reported here was designed to look for evidence of such leptospiroa infections in Northern Ireland, an area with a large farming community and a known high incidence of Weil's disease (Kennedy, 1953).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Thirteen leptospiroa serotypes were used as antigens in a series of serological tests which, it was hoped, would detect agglutinins to most leptospiroa likely to be encountered. Tests were carried out by the microscopic agglutination technique, employing formalised leptospiral antigens, following in general the methods described by Alston and Broom (1958).

**SELECTION OF SEROTYPES FOR TESTS** These were chosen on the advice of Turner (1962). Selection was based mainly on the known antigenic grouping of the leptospiroa, one member being included from each of the principal serological groups. Where possible the actual serotype chosen was one which was known, or suspected, to be present in the British Isles, taking into account the findings in animals within recent years.

**PREPARATION OF ANTIGENS AND ANTIGEN POOLS** The 13 leptospiroa serotypes were maintained in Korthof's medium, subcultures being performed every seven to 10 days. Antigens were prepared by adding neutralized formalin to suitable cultures. These antigens deteriorated slowly, but most remained satisfactory for at least two weeks, and some for considerably longer.

For screening purposes it was convenient to use pooled antigens, each pool containing a mixture of two or three antigenic suspensions of approximately equal density. Each pool was tested with antisera against all its constituent antigens, to ensure that all were reacting satisfactorily. If necessary, extra quantities of poorly reacting antigens were added to the pools concerned.

The leptospiroa serotypes used in these tests, and the arrangement of the five antigen pools, are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIST OF LEPTOSPIRAL SEROTYPES AND THEIR ARRANGEMENT IN ANTIGEN POOLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serotype (strain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. ballum</em> (Castellon 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. canicola</em> (Hond Utrecht IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. icterohaemorrhagiae</em> (Coppard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. javanica</em> (Veldrat Bataviae 46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. bataviae</em> (van Tienen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. grippotyphosa</em> (Moscow V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. pyrogenes</em> (Salinem)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. autumnalis</em> (Akiyami A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. pomona</em> (Pomona)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. saxkoebing</em> (Mus 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. bratislava</em> (H.10)¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. hyos hyos</em> (Mitis Johnson)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. mini mini</em> (Sari)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹*L. bratislava* (Jez) later replaced this strain.

**METHOD OF SEROLOGICAL TESTS** These were carried out in three stages.

1 Preliminary screening All sera were tested at final dilutions of 1/50 and 1/500 against the five antigen pools A, B, C, D, and E. The second dilution was used to avoid missing high-titre agglutinating sera, which often show a marked prozone phenomenon.
2 ‘Singling’ Sera which showed even traces of agglutination with any pool were next tested against the single antigen constituents of the reacting pool or pools, usually at a final serum dilution of 1/50. Occasional sera showing strong reactions with several pools were also 'singled' at higher dilutions, e.g., 1/100 or 1/500.

3 Titration Sera were finally titrated against the single antigens which they had agglutinated. Often titration was only required against one or two antigens. However, marked co-agglutination involving many leptospiral serotypes is often found in the early stages of a leptospiral infection. In such cases titration was only carried out with those antigens which were agglutinated at the higher dilutions in the 'singling' procedure, thus obviating the need to perform a full titration with a large number of antigens.

The titre of the serum against any antigen was taken as the highest serum dilution at which 50% or more of the leptospires in the antigen suspension were agglutinated, as suggested by Alston and Broom (1958). The scheme of titration was that described by Schuffner (1934), giving final serum dilutions of 1/10, 1/30, 1/100, 1/300, 1/1,000, 1/3,000, etc.

Rabbit antisera were used to test the reactivity of each batch of antigen suspensions. Negative controls were included with each set of tests, in order to detect spontaneous agglutination in any antigen, this occurring occasionally for no obvious reason.

ISOLATION OF LEPTOSPIRAE This was attempted in some cases, where fairly fresh blood samples were available. A few drops of blood, or broken-up blood clot in serum, were inoculated into bottles of Korthof's medium. These were incubated at 30 to 32°C., being examined at intervals over a period of at least six weeks for evidence of leptospiral growth.

SOURCE OF SPECIMENS EXAMINED Blood samples from 335 patients were examined. Sera from 320 of these were obtained from specimens received at The Laboratories, Belfast City Hospital, for a variety of serological tests (see Table II). The 120 specimens for leptospiral agglutination tests represented almost a full year's requests for this examination. Samples for other serological tests were collected at intervals. The period covered was March 1962 to March 1963.

The remaining sera examined were kindly provided by Dr. J. H. Connolly of the Virus Reference Laboratory, Department of Microbiology, Queen's University, Belfast. These came from 15 patients with aseptic meningitis, in whom virological and other investigations had proved negative.

RESULTS

CULTURAL RESULTS Attempts were made to isolate leptospires from 29 of the 120 blood specimens sent to the laboratory with a request for leptospiral agglutination tests. Presumably these samples were from patients in whom the clinicians considered leptospirosis was a possible diagnosis. No leptospires were isolated.

SEROLOGICAL RESULTS A summary of the positive serological findings, with their distribution amongst the different groups of sera examined, is shown in Table II. The positive results have been classified under three headings as follows:

Acute leptospirosis Seven patients were considered to show evidence of active leptospiral infection. In five, a significant rise in antibody titre was demonstrated in successive blood samples. The remaining two had agglutinins to a titre of 1/100 in the only blood tested, both patients dying with typical clinical features of severe leptospirosis. Another feature of the serological reactions in most of the acute cases was marked co-agglutination, involving varying numbers of the leptospiral antigens being used. Details of the serological findings in the patients with acute leptospirosis, and of the associated clinical syndromes, are given in Table III.

Past infections Nine patients were regarded as showing serological evidence of previous leptospiral infections, in the form of residual agglutinins which were present to a titre of 1/100 or higher. No rise in titre was demonstrated in later blood samples obtained from seven of these nine patients. The almost complete absence of any co-agglutination was in direct contrast to the findings in the patients with active infections. Details are given in Table IV.

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examination Originally Requested on Serum</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>Serological Findings Indicating:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acute Leptospirosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospiral agglutination tests</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5 (4.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous serological tests (Widal and Abortus agglutinations, Paul-Bunnell test, etc.)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wassermann and Kahn</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aseptic meningitis sera for virus studies</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2 (13.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>7 (2.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case 1 (E.M.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Serum Titre</th>
<th>Probable Infecting Serotype</th>
<th>Clinical Syndrome</th>
<th>Possible Sources of Infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Factory worker and housewife</td>
<td>Canicola</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>L. icterohaemorrhagiae</td>
<td>Mild leptospirosis with aseptic meningitis</td>
<td>Information not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other serotypes</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canicola</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Indefinite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Icterohaemorrhagiae</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other serotypes</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Icterohaemorrhagiae</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case 2 (J.T.M.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Serum Titre</th>
<th>Probable Infecting Serotype</th>
<th>Clinical Syndrome</th>
<th>Possible Sources of Infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Schoolboy</td>
<td>Several serotypes</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td>L. icterohaemorrhagiae</td>
<td>Mild leptospirosis with meningitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Icterohaemorrhagiae</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canicola</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case 3 (W.A.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Serum Titre</th>
<th>Probable Infecting Serotype</th>
<th>Clinical Syndrome</th>
<th>Possible Sources of Infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Lorry driver</td>
<td>Icterohaemorrhagiae</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>L. icterohaemorrhagiae</td>
<td>Severe leptospirosis, with jaundice, haemorrhages, anuria.</td>
<td>History of bathing one week before illness in a pool previously connected with other cases of Weil's disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canicola</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bataviae</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pyrogens</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ballum</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case 4 (J.B.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Serum Titre</th>
<th>Probable Infecting Serotype</th>
<th>Clinical Syndrome</th>
<th>Possible Sources of Infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Bartender</td>
<td>Bratislava</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>L. icterohaemorrhagiae</td>
<td>Moderately severe leptospirosis with jaundice, haemorrhages, and some renal impairment</td>
<td>Rats seen by a neighbour at the bottom of patient¹ garden, in which he had recently been working</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canicola</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bratislava</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canicola</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bratislava</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canicola</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bratislava</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canicola</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case 6 (F.W.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Serum Titre</th>
<th>Probable Infecting Serotype</th>
<th>Clinical Syndrome</th>
<th>Possible Sources of Infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Breadserver</td>
<td>Ballum</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>L. canicola</td>
<td>Mild leptospirosis with aseptic meningitis</td>
<td>A dog at home, which was not ill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canicola</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bratislava</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canicola</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case 7 (R.B.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Serum Titre</th>
<th>Probable Infecting Serotype</th>
<th>Clinical Syndrome</th>
<th>Possible Sources of Infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Farmer (retired)</td>
<td>Bratislava</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>L. icterohaemorrhagiae</td>
<td>Severe leptospirosis with jaundice, haemorrhages, and oliguria.</td>
<td>Rats, dogs, and other animals present on farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canicola</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bratislava</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Weak reactions¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Weak reactions = partial agglutination (< 50%) at low serum dilutions.
²Total duration of illness not known exactly in case 7. Times given are from date of onset of jaundice.

**Low-titre agglutination** Sera from 41 patients agglutinated one or more of the leptospiral antigens at dilutions of 1/10 or 1/30. Where second blood samples were obtained no rise in titre was demonstrated.

**IDENTITY OF INFECTION SEROTYPES** These are shown in Tables III and IV. In several of the acute infections the leptospiral serotype involved could not be accurately identified, due to the degree of co-agglutination present.

**CLINICAL AND EPIDEMIOLOGICAL DETAILS** The information available is summarized in Tables III and
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### TABLE IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Day of Illness</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Serum Titre</th>
<th>Probable Past Infecting Serotype</th>
<th>Present or Recent Illness</th>
<th>Past Illnesses</th>
<th>Possible Source of Infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Labourer</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ballum</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>L. ballum</td>
<td>Glandular fever</td>
<td>Jaundice 1 year previously—probably infective hepatitis. 'Rat-bite' fever 10 years previously after working in rat-infested field</td>
<td>Lives in small farm cottage: rats, mice, and other animals about</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ballum</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>L. ballum</td>
<td>Infective hepatitis</td>
<td>Influenza one year previously</td>
<td>Rats, mice, and other farm animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Labourer</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Ballum</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>L. ballum</td>
<td>Brucellosis</td>
<td>No significant illness</td>
<td>Was bitten on finger by a rat about 10 years previously. Also rats around old house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50+</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ballum</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>L. ballum</td>
<td>? Wernicke's encephalopathy</td>
<td>Jaundice in childhood. Severe influenza many years ago</td>
<td>Lives in very poor farm cottage: rats, mice, and other animals about</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Ballum</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>L. ballum</td>
<td>Severe 'influenza'</td>
<td>Previous attacks of 'influenza'</td>
<td>Rats, mice, and usual farm animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ballum</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>L. ballum</td>
<td>Acute epididymo-orchitis</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bratislava</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>L. bratislava</td>
<td>Acute pyrexial illness with headache and meningeal symptoms. Penicillin 2,000,000 i.u., on day of onset</td>
<td>Aseptic meningitis 9 years previously</td>
<td>Rats, mice, and other farm animals. No known contact with hedgehogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Long-term mental patient. Ex farm worker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Bratislava</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>L. bratislava</td>
<td>No recent illness</td>
<td>? Typhoid fever; is an intermittent excreter of S. typhi.</td>
<td>Caught ran i handled a hedgehog many years ago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Draughtsman</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Icterohaemor-rhagiae</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>L. icterohaemor-rhagiae</td>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Lived in country as a child</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV, including a note of possibly relevant previous illnesses in the group of patients with serological evidence of past infection.

### DISCUSSION

CULTURAL RESULTS Attempts to isolate leptospiroa in Korthof's medium failed with 29 of the blood specimens received with a request for leptospiiral agglutination tests; these included five out of the seven patients later diagnosed serologically as acute leptospirosis. Analysis revealed that, with one possible exception, none of these specimens had been taken before the seventh or eighth day of the illness. Wolff (1954) and Babudieri (1961) both concluded that isolations from blood specimens could nearly always be obtained during the first four days of illness, but rarely after the seventh or eighth day.

Thus the average duration of illness before the collection of the blood specimens is the most probable explanation for the failure of these isolation attempts, though a delay of a day or two in transit between distant hospitals and the laboratory may...
have been a contributory cause in some instances, as may the fact that some of the patients were on anti-
biotic therapy when the blood samples were taken.

SEROLOGICAL RESULTS These are discussed under
the three headings defined earlier.

Acute leptospirosis In the absence of an isolation,
the difficulty in identifying the infecting serotype
by serological tests is well illustrated in Table III.
Early in the illness coagglutination is marked, and
heterologous agglutinins may actually be present to
a higher titre than the homologous agglutinins, the
'paradoxical reaction' described by Fuhner (1950)
and others. After reaching a peak, however, the
titres of the heterologous agglutinins usually fall
rapidly, whereas the homologous agglutinin titre
may continue to rise for a short period and then
declines more slowly and may remain at a level of
1/100 or 1/300 for many years (Wolff, 1954).

Where this differential fall in titre is not sufficiently
clear cut, as in cases 5 and 6 in Table III, absorption
tests might help to determine the infecting serotype.
Unfortunately these could not be carried out during
this investigation.

A further obstacle to serological diagnosis is the
effect of early therapy with antibiotics, which may
delay the appearance of agglutinins and prevent them
reaching a high titre (Wolff, 1954; Babudieri, 1961).
Case 2 in Table III may have been an example of
this effect, since penicillin and sulpha d imidine were
given from the onset of illness.

Past infections The antibody titre accepted as
indicative of previous leptospiral infection has varied
with different authors. General opinion now seems
to be that a titre of 1/100 may be regarded as signi-
ficant. This was the level suggested by a World
Health Organization expert study group for the
microscopic agglutination test, using either living or
killed antigen (Report, 1955), and it was adopted for
this investigation, though many workers would
accept a lower titre when formalised antigens are
used.

On this basis, sera from nine patients out of 335
(2-7%) were regarded as showing evidence of past
leptospiral infection. Although the patients to some
extent formed selected groups and are unlikely to be
representative of the population as a whole, the fairly
uniform distribution of these residual antibodies
among the different groups of sera examined (see
Table II) does suggest that leptospiral infections may
be more common than is generally appreciated. In-
deed the findings in case 6 (Table III) in which the
titre had fallen to 1/30 within 10 months of the acute
infection, suggest that a critical level of 1/100 may
underestimate the position, and that some sera here
classified in the low-titre agglutination group may in
fact have contained residual antibodies. Wolff (1954)
noted that in some patients the agglutinin titre may
fall to zero within a year of the infection.

Low-titre agglutination Most of the sera placed
in this group reacted with only one antigen. Three
sera, all from country dwellers, agglutinated L. ballum
to a titre of 1/30, and these may possibly have
contained true residual antibodies from past infec-
tions. A few other sera showed low-titre agglutina-
tion with two or more antigens, almost certainly
non-specific in nature. Two very jaundiced sera
agglutinated a wide range of antigens at dilutions of
1/10 or 1/30; the significance of this is not clear.

INFECTING SEROTYPE AND CLINICAL SYNDROME A
comparison of Table III with Table IV shows that whereas L. ieterohaemorrhagiae was probably respon-
sible for four, perhaps five, of the seven acute infec-
tions, it was concerned in only one out of nine of the
past infection group. Infections with this serotype
often present the classical clinical picture of severe
leptospirosis as described by Weil (1886), with fever,
jaundice, haemorrhages and varying degrees of renal
impairment. However, milder infections due to L.
icterohaemorrhagiae are quite common, and jaundice
is by no means a constant feature; indeed, where
observations are not confined to severely ill hospital
patients, it may be present in less than 40% of diag-
nosed infections (Walch-Sorgdrager, 1939; and see
Table III). In the milder clinical syndromes, some-
times termed 'benign leptospirosis', meningeal
symptoms or a frank aseptic meningitis frequently
occur; these findings were prominent in the present
group of acute infections (see Table III).

Most of the other leptospiral serotypes usually
give rise to illnesses of the 'benign leptospirosis' type.
Fever, profuse sweating, headache, and muscular
tenderness are common features (Alston and Broom,
1958). Meningeal involvement occurs in a number of
patients, but jaundice is comparatively rare; if both
these features are absent, the infections can only be
differentiated from other acute febrile illnesses such as
influenza by appropriate laboratory tests.

In the present investigation, L. ballum seems to
have been associated with at most one of the seven
acute infections, while antibodies to it were found
in six of the nine 'past infection' group. The probable
explanation for this discrepancy is either that the
acute illness due to this serotype is mild, or that the
clinical picture does not suggest leptospirosis to the
doctor in attendance, so that the necessary labora-
tory investigations are not requested. Reports from
other countries suggest that L. ballum infections con-
form in general to the syndrome of benign leptospi-
rosis, with fever, headache, and muscular pains; a
common complication has been the development
of orchitis, usually during convalescence (Stoener and Maclean, 1958; Boak, Linscott, and Bodfish, 1960; Kappeler, Barandun, Luthi, and Wiesmann, 1961). Three of the six 'past infection' patients had histories of possibly relevant acute febrile illnesses (cases 8, 12, and 13, Table IV), while one (case 13) had subsequently developed an acute epididymo-orchitis; these illnesses may have been *L. ballum* infections.

*L. canicola* and *L. bratislava* were probably each responsible for one of the acute infections, and antibodies to *L. bratislava* were present in two of the nine 'past infection' patients. *L. canicola* is well known as a cause of one of the milder forms of leptospirosis, frequently presenting as an aseptic meningitis (see case 6); it requires no further mention.

I have not been able to find any details of human infections with *L. bratislava* in the literature, apart from one febrile patient in Queensland from whom strain *esposito* was isolated (Smith and Brown, 1955); this strain was later shown to be identical with *L. bratislava* (Kmety, 1960). Case 5, which was possibly due to this serotype, was of moderate severity with deep jaundice, and, in the absence of serological testing with multiple antigens, would have been regarded as a typical case of Weil's disease with a rising titre of antibodies to *L. icterohaemorrhagiae*. Case 14 gave a history of aseptic meningitis about nine years previously, which in retrospect may have been leptospiral in origin. It thus seems probable that *L. bratislava* infections resemble those caused by other leptospiral serotypes.

EPIDEMIOLOGICAL FEATURES Information about possible sources of infection was not available from the one woman among the 16 patients listed in Tables III and IV, nor from three of the 15 men. Seven of the remaining 12 were farmers or general labourers, and all had possible contact with rats, other rodents, or domestic animals which are known to harbour leptospiroae. *L. ballum* has been isolated from various species of mice and other field rodents (Borg-Petersen, 1944; Yager, Gochenour, Alexander, and Wetmore, 1953; Broom and Coghlan, 1958) and from rats (Humphreys, Campbell, and Smith, 1953; Covaleda, Pumarola, and Cantarell, 1953). Antibodies to this serotype have been detected in a number of domestic animals, including pigs (Davies, 1960) and dogs (Alexander, Gleiser, Malnati, and Yoder, 1957). Hedgehogs seem to be the principal carriers of *L. bratislava* in Europe (Kmety, 1954; Plesko, Bakoss, Kmety, and Vachold, 1960) and in the British Isles (Broom and Coghlan, 1960; Turner, 1963). The predominantly rural connexions of the persons infected by these two serotypes is therefore not unexpected (see Table IV).

*L. icterohaemorrhagiae* infections are probably usually acquired from rats, though dogs and many other animals may also carry this serotype (Alston and Broom, 1958). *L. canicola* is relatively common in dogs (Broom and MacIntyre, 1948) and also in pigs (Coghlan, Norval, and Seiler, 1957). Rats and dogs are found in urban as well as rural areas, so infections with these two serotypes do not tend to be restricted to farmers and other country dwellers. Although bathing in infected ponds, canals, and streams is a common method of acquiring infection with *L. icterohaemorrhagiae*, particularly in Holland (Walch-Sorgdrager, 1939), only the schoolboy (case 2, Table II) seems to have been infected in this way.

COMMENT ON DIAGNOSTIC METHODS Many different laboratory procedures have been used for the diagnosis of leptospirosis. They fall into three main groups:

1. Direct microscopic examination of blood or other body fluids or tissues (stained or unstained).
2. Isolation of leptospiroae in culture media or by animal inoculation.
3. Serological tests, of which a considerable variety have been devised.

Most laboratories in the British Isles appear to depend on serological results for diagnosing leptospirosis, formalised cultures of *L. icterohaemorrhagiae* and *L. canicola* being employed as antigens in microscopic agglutination tests. It is usual to try and demonstrate a rise in antibody titre in two or more blood samples taken at intervals of about seven to 10 days.

The findings in the present investigation suggest that these restricted agglutination tests, using only two leptospiral antigens, will in fact detect many cases of acute lepto-spirosis, whatever the infecting serotype, because of the degree of co-agglutination usually present. However, the infecting serotype may sometimes not be correctly identified; more importantly, if co-agglutination is not marked and infection is due to some other serotype, a completely negative result may be obtained. It would obviously be preferable to use diagnostic methods which will detect infection by all leptospiral serotypes; for routine use in diagnostic laboratories any such tests employed must be reasonably simple, yet reliable.

Detailed descriptions of many of the available techniques are given by Alston and Broom (1958) and Babudieri (1961), and they have been critically reviewed by Gochenour, Gleiser, and Ward (1958).

More recently, an international group of experts on leptospirosis have stated current opinion on various diagnostic procedures (Memorandum, 1965). Some techniques are not suitable for ordinary laboratory use; for instance, many of the serological reactions
are serotype-specific, and therefore require the use of multiple antigens in order to detect all possible leptospiral antibodies, as was attempted in the present investigation; these procedures are very laborious and time-consuming. Macroscopic agglutination tests, such as that of Galton, Powers, Hall, and Cornell (1958), may partly solve these difficulties, but a more promising approach appears to be the use of a genus-specific reaction, e.g., the sensitized erythrocyte lysis test (Cox, 1957), the sensitized erythrocyte agglutination test (McComb, Smith, Coffin, MacCreary, and Chang, 1957), or certain complement-fixation methods (Randall, Wetmore, and Warner, 1949; Elian and Nicoara, 1964).

It is important that we should obtain a more complete picture of the true frequency and nature of these leptospiral infections, both from the epidemiological point of view and from that of the individual patient. This implies more accurate diagnosis, particularly in the atypical infections, and it is suggested that this might most conveniently be achieved in two ways:

1. By more frequent attempts to isolate leptospiroae, particularly from acute-phase blood samples from patients with febrile illnesses: isolates may be obtained by inoculating a small volume of blood into (a) leptospiral culture media (e.g., Korthof's or Stuart's media) or (b) suitable laboratory animals.

Later in the illness leptospiroae can sometimes be isolated by similar means from cerebrospinal fluid or from urine. Isolation of organisms is very desirable, as it enables the infecting strain to be accurately identified and typed. Method (a) is easy to carry out and gives good results (Babudieri, 1961), provided that blood samples are taken during the first few days of illness. However, there is at present a tendency towards the empirical use of antibiotics in undiagnosed pyrexial illnesses, and leptospiroae are sensitive to many of these drugs. It is therefore important that, where possible, specimens for isolation of leptospiroae (or indeed any other pathogenic bacteria) should be obtained before antibiotics are given.

2. By the use of a genus-specific reaction to test for leptospiral antibodies: a complement-fixation test is being evaluated at present in Britain (Turner, 1965). If it proves satisfactory, it would fulfil the need for a simple method of screening sera for evidence of active leptospirosis, using a technique already employed in many laboratories.

The adoption of more comprehensive procedures such as these would help to reveal to what extent, if any, we are failing to diagnose leptospirosis by our present methods. However, an equally important aspect of the diagnosis of these infections is that physicians and bacteriologists should be aware of their diverse clinical manifestations, and that they should consider the possibility of leptospirosis in patients who may present with pyrexia of unknown origin only.

CONCLUSIONS

We can no longer assume that human leptospirosis in the British Isles only involves the two familiar serotypes, *L. icterohaemorrhagiae* and *L. canicola*. Two additional serotypes, *L. ballum* and *L. bratislava*, are causing human infections in Northern Ireland, and others will almost certainly be incriminated in due course.

It is probable that many of these leptospiroae infections are relatively mild, perhaps 'influenzal' in character, but further work is needed to clarify the situation. Meanwhile, the possibility of leptospirosis should be considered in all obscure pyrexial illnesses, particularly if there is meningial involvement. This applies especially to such illnesses in farmers and others who are in contact with animals, either wild or domestic. Appropriate laboratory investigations should be undertaken in such cases, including attempts to isolate leptospiroae from blood during the early stages of the illness, thus allowing accurate typing of infecting strains.

There is also a need for a simple but reliable screening test for the serological diagnosis of all types of leptospiroae infection. Until one becomes available the agglutination test with a restricted number of antigens will probably remain in general use. However, the possible inadequacies of this test should be remembered, and, where negative or equivocal results are obtained on patients with strong clinical suspicion of leptospirosis, the sera should be sent to a reference laboratory for more complete examination with a wider range of leptospiroae antigens.
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