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SYNOPSIS Results of the $^{57}$Co vitamin B$_{12}$ plasma level absorption test are described in 163 patients. The use of intramuscular carbachol with the test and the presence or absence of current vitamin B$_{12}$ therapy did not affect the test results. Injection of 1,000 $\mu$g of unlabelled vitamin B$_{12}$ during the test augmented plasma levels in patients with normal absorption but tests without this injection gave satisfactory differentiation between the normal and malabsorption ranges. Results from patients having had a gastrectomy, ileal resection, or a past history of adult coeliac disease are also described. Amongst patients with presumed Addisonian pernicious anaemia, two with unresolved equivocal results and three with falsely normal results were found. The significance of these is discussed.

Vitamin B$_{12}$ absorption tests based on the measurements of plasma levels of labelled vitamin B$_{12}$ have been described by several groups (Booth and Mollin, 1956; Goldberg, Trivedi, and Oliner, 1957; Kristensen and Hald, 1962; Nelp, McAfee, and Wagner, 1963; Doscherholmen, 1965; McCurdy, 1965; Coupland, 1966; Workman and Rusche, 1966; Forshaw and Harwood, 1966). They have the advantage over urinary excretion methods that they are not affected by renal disease, are more convenient, and the possibility of losing the specimen is less. Faecal excretion studies are time consuming and messy, whole-body counters are not generally available, and hepatic uptake studies delay the result and are inconvenient to the patient.

We have measured plasma levels in this department for the last four years and have studied a variety of factors which might affect them (Armstrong and Woodliff, 1966; Woodliff and Armstrong, 1966; Armstrong and Woodliff, 1967; Armstrong and Woodliff, 1969). We have now studied 163 patients and review our results in this paper.
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Materials and Methods

$^{57}$Co vitamin B$_{12}$ absorption tests were performed as previously described. In part 1 of the test the oral test dose was 0-8 $\mu$g of $^{57}$Co vitamin B$_{12}$, while in part 2 10 mg of purified hog intrinsic factor was added to this and given to patients showing malabsorption in part 1. In tests with carbachol 0-25 mg was injected intramuscularly half an hour before the test dose. In tests with parenteral vitamin B$_{12}$ 1,000 $\mu$g of hydroxocobalamin was injected intramuscularly six hours after the test dose. Plasma for radioactive counting was taken eight hours after the test dose.

The results and clinical data in 163 patients having had $^{57}$Co vitamin B$_{12}$ absorption tests were reviewed. It was noted whether (1) parenteral vitamin B$_{12}$ or carbachol was given; (2) the patient was receiving parenteral vitamin B$_{12}$ therapy at the time of the test; (3) the result fell within malabsorption, equivocal, or normal ranges. For tests with parenteral vitamin B$_{12}$, normal was $>0.60\%$ of the dose per litre of plasma, equivocal was 0.45 to 0.60\% of dose per litre of plasma, and malabsorption was $<0.45\%$ of dose per litre of plasma (Woodliff and
Armstrong, 1966). For tests without parenteral vitamin B₁₂, normal was >0.45% of the dose per litre of plasma, equivocal was 0-30 to 0.45% of the dose per litre of plasma, and malabsorption was <0.30% of the dose per litre of plasma (Armstrong and Woodliff, 1969). Finally we noted whether the clinical data were consistent with the absorption test result.

Results

The results of the absorption tests are set out in Tables I to III.

### Table I Part 1: ⁵⁷Co vitamin B₁₂ absorption test results in patients with pernicious anaemia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>No. of Tests</th>
<th>Percentage of Dose/Litre of Plasma</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intramuscular carbachol and hydroxocobalamin</td>
<td>B₁₂</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.00-0.39</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No B₁₂</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.04-0.37</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.00-0.39</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No carbachol but intramuscular hydroxocobalamin</td>
<td>B₁₂</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.00-0.59</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No B₁₂</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00-0.40</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.00-0.59</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No carbachol or hydroxocobalamin</td>
<td>B₁₂</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.02-0.35</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No B₁₂</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.02-0.34</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.02-0.35</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II Part 1: ⁵⁷Co vitamin B₁₂ absorption test results in patients with normal vitamin B₁₂ absorption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>No. of Tests</th>
<th>Percentage of Dose/Litre of Plasma</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intramuscular carbachol and hydroxocobalamin</td>
<td>B₁₂</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.63-1.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No B₁₂</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.73-2.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.63-2.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No carbachol but intramuscular hydroxocobalamin</td>
<td>B₁₂</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.63-3.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No B₁₂</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.56-2.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.56-3.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No carbachol or hydroxocobalamin</td>
<td>B₁₂</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.41-1.8</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No B₁₂</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.35-2.9</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.35-2.9</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III Part 2: ⁵¹Co vitamin B₁₂ absorption test results in patients with vitamin B₁₂ malabsorption due to intrinsic factor deficiency

In patients with vitamin B₁₂ malabsorption presumed on clinical data or proven to be due to intrinsic factor deficiency (Table I), the difference between the means of tests performed with carbachol and hydroxocobalamin intramuscularly, with hydroxocobalamin alone, and with neither injection are not significant. Nor were the differences significant between means of those patients receiving and those not receiving parenteral vitamin B₁₂ therapy at the time of testing. Of the tests with intramuscular hydroxocobalamin, four results were equal to or greater than 0.45% (0.47%, 0.49%, 0.58%, 0.59%). All were less than 0.45% on repeat testing. Of the tests without intramuscular hydroxocobalamin, three were equal to or greater than 0.30% (0.31%, 0.34%, and 0.35%). All were less than 0.30% on repeat testing.

In patients with normal vitamin B₁₂ absorption (Table II) the difference between means of tests performed with intramuscular hydroxocobalamin, with and without carbachol, is not significant. However, the difference between the means of tests performed with and without intramuscular hydroxocobalamin is highly significant (p < 0.01). The differences are not significant between means of those receiving and not receiving vitamin B₁₂ therapy at the time of testing. Of the tests with intramuscular hydroxocobalamin, one was equal to or less than 0.60% (0.56%) but greater than 0.60% on repeat testing. Of those without intramuscular hydroxocobalamin, two were equal to or less than 0.45% (0.35%, 0.39%); the latter was greater than 0.45% on repeat testing whilst the former has not been repeated.

The results of part 2 tests are given in Table III. The differences between means are not significant. Adding intrinsic factor gave a rise of more than 0.20% on the part 1 plasma level in all cases.

Eighteen tests were done on 13 postgastrectomy patients. Of 11 done with intramuscular hydroxocobalamin the range was 0.05-0.94%, five were less than 0.45%, two equivocal, and four greater than 0.60%. Of the seven done without intramuscular hydroxocobalamin, the range was 0.05-1.8%; five were less than 0.30% and two greater than 0.45%.

Five patients with adult coeliac disease in remission were studied. One showed malabsorption (0.25%), one equivocal absorption (0.32% in a test without intramuscular hydroxocobalamin), and three normal absorption (1.6%, 0.81%, 0.91%).

Two patients known to have had ileal resections showed malabsorption (in part 1 0.04%, 0.18%) uncorrected by intrinsic factor (in part 2 0.03%, 0.11%).

Two patients had unresolved equivocal results. One, D.K., previously referred to (Armstrong and Woodliff, 1966), had a serum B₁₂ level of less than 40 pg/ml, a megaloblastic marrow, and an abnormal Schilling test five years before testing.
His results with intramuscular hydroxocobalamin were 0.56% and 0.57%. The second patient had an absorption test result of 0.42% of the dose per litre of plasma, a serum B12 level of 160 pg/ml (normal range 160-875 pg/ml), and proven gastric carcinoma.

Six tests gave normal results in three patients suspected for other reasons to have pernicious anaemia. One patient, V.P. previously referred to (Armstrong and Woodliff, 1966), had achlorhydria, gastric atrophy, and an abnormal Schilling test with three normal plasma levels in tests with intramuscular hydroxocobalamin (0.92%, 2.0%, 1.1%). Another, F.G., had normal 57Co vitamin B12 plasma levels with and without intramuscular hydroxocobalamin (0.96%, 1.34%) but a serum vitamin B12 level of less than 40 pg/ml, a megaloblastic marrow, and an abnormal Schilling test four years before testing. The third, E.W., had a normal result with intramuscular hydroxocobalamin (0.74%), an equivocal and then an abnormal result without intramuscular hydroxocobalamin (0.34%, 0.27%), a serum vitamin B12 level of less than 50 pg/ml, positive parietal cell and intrinsic factor antibody tests, and low urinary excretion of 57Co vitamin B12—6% of the dose in 48 hours (Woodliff and Armstrong, 1966).

Discussion

Our results confirm that parenteral injections of unlabelled vitamin B12 augment plasma levels of label following an oral dose of radioactive vitamin B12. They also confirm, however, that tests performed without the augmenting dose are as satisfactory as those performed with it in separating normal patients from those with vitamin B12 malabsorption. Equivocal results and some overlap occur with both tests but repeat testing usually gives an unequivocal result. Our experience also indicates that stimulating gastric secretion with carbachol and the presence or absence of vitamin B12 therapy have no influence on the test results. The use of the former may, therefore, be abandoned and the latter need not be taken into consideration in interpreting results.

The occurrence of normal plasma levels of 57Co vitamin B12 in patients with strong suspicion of, or demonstrated by the Schilling test, vitamin B12 malabsorption, has been reported by other workers (McCurdy, 1965; McIntyre and Wagner, 1966). McIntyre and Wagner (1966) found a 31% incidence of false normals if the lower limit of normal was set at 0.25% of the dose per litre and 7% if the lower limit of normal was set at 0.65% of the dose per litre. On our criteria, three out of 88 (3.4%) patients in our series with vitamin B12 malabsorption gave falsely normal results. Thus we have not found this problem to be as great as did McIntyre and Wagner (1966), and consider that, provided the absorption test results are always interpreted in the light of other clinical and laboratory data and equivocal tests are repeated, it is unlikely to lead to misdiagnosis.

We cannot offer an explanation for the discrepant results.

In its simplest form, the 57Co vitamin B12 absorption test has the advantage of ease of performance and the avoidance of premature therapy with vitamin B12 and of the errors introduced by urine collection. These must be balanced against the small number of tests repeated because of equivocal results and the smaller number of falsely normal results. We consider the test to be satisfactory for routine use.

We wish to thank Mr R. W. Stanford and staff of the Royal Perth Hospital, Department of Medical Physics, for counting the samples, and Sister A. Smith and Dr D. Cull for their part in performing the routine tests.
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