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SYNOPSIS  The radioactive triolein test has been compared with two chemical methods for the assessment of fat absorption in a group of inpatients from general medical wards. The special feature of the investigation is the use of a radioactive faecal marker to measure the completeness of faecal collections during the investigation period. Estimations of unabsorbed $^{131}$I triolein and faecal fat measured by the chemical methods were made on the same specimens.

The results showed that only 48% of the patients provided a complete collection of faeces (defined as a recovery of more than 90% of the faecal marker). From these patients good correlations were found between the triolein absorption test and the two chemical methods.

It is suggested that previous criticism of the radioactive triolein test may have been due to incomplete faecal collections causing false results.

The use of radioactive-labelled fats in the study of fat absorption was first described by Stanley and Thannhauser in 1949. They suggested that after the oral administration of labelled fat an index of absorption could be obtained from a measurement of either the subsequent blood radioactivity curve or the amount of unabsorbed radioactive activity in the faeces. After initial enthusiasm (Baylin, Sanders, Isley, Shingleton, Hymans, Johnston, and Ruffin, 1955; Ruffin, Shingleton, Baylin, Hymans, Isley, Sanders, and Sohmer, 1956; Ruffin, Keever, Chehrs, Shingleton, Baylin, Isley, and Sanders, 1958) the use of the blood curve has been rejected by most investigators because its peak and shape were shown to be influenced by factors other than that due to fat absorption (Isley, Sanders, Baylin, Sharpe, Hymans, Ruffin, Shingleton, and Wilson, 1957; Mohamed and Hume, 1959; and Vidiml, Texter, and Cooper, 1963). More recently, the use of the measurement of faecal radioactivity after oral administration of labelled fat has also fallen into disrepute, chiefly because several workers found inconsistent correlation with chemical faecal fat estimation (eg, Pimparkar, Tulsky, Kalser, and Bockus, 1960; Cox, 1961; Clark, Crooks, Dawson, and Mitchell, 1964).

In this report a new attempt has been made to correlate the measurement of faecal radioactivity after the oral administration of $^{131}$I-labelled triolein, faecal fat using the chemical method of van de Kamer, Huinink, and Weijers (1949), and total faecal lipid measured gravimetrically after extraction of the whole faecal homogenate. A special feature of the series is the use of faecal markers to correct for any loss of faeces during the investigation as it has been suggested, although not tested, that part of the unreliability of the test might be due to a failure to obtain a complete faecal collection. In order to confirm that complete faecal recovery had been achieved, an unabsorbed radioactively labelled marker substance ($^{51}$Cr chromium sesquioxide or $^{46}$Sc scandium chloride) was given orally together with the $^{131}$I-labelled triolein. It was shown that the marker and the unabsorbed fat pass through the gut at the same rate. Therefore the amount of marker radioactivity recovered as a percentage of the administered amount indicates the percentage recovery of the activity corresponding to the radioactive triolein. Two methods for the estimation of faecal fat were used as it is also possible that the discrepancies between radioactive fat absorption tests and faecal fat measured by chemical means are due to the chemical estimation techniques. The usual method (van de Kamer et al, 1949) involves titration for fatty acids after saponification, acidification, and extraction from a small aliquot of faecal homogenate. Possible errors in this method include those inherent in the taking of small samples and those due to the
assumption of a mean molecular weight for faecal fatty acids (Andersen, 1945).

Materials and Methods

Eighty-three inpatients from general medical wards were used in this investigation. They consisted of 31 patients with known gastrointestinal disorders of various kinds (not necessarily associated with steatorrhoea) and 52 patients without known gastrointestinal disease ('normals'). The object of the investigation was explained to each of them, and all agreed to take part.

A standard procedure was adopted for the administration of tracer substances and for the subsequent collection of faeces. All patients received an oral dose of marker (51Cr-chromium sesquioxide or 46Sc-scandium chloride) but only 62 of them received both marker and 131I-labelled triolein. Each patient was allowed a normal ward diet before and during the test but no attempt was made to measure the actual quantity eaten. The daily fat content of the diet was estimated to be between 80 and 120 g per day.

The radioactive triolein was obtained from the Radiochemical Centre, Amersham. It was stated to have been iodinated to 20% completion using iodine monochloride 131I. Free 131I content was stated to be less than 1% of the total. Chromium sesquioxide-51Cr was used initially as the faecal marker; gelatin capsules containing 0.5 g chromium sesquioxide were irradiated at U.K.A.E.A., Harwell, to provide the 51Cr label. The absence of radioactive impurities was confirmed by monitoring samples using a sodium iodide crystal detector and a calibrated multichannel analyser. The radioactivity contained in each capsule was determined by comparison with a reference source of known strength.

Later, labelled chromium sesquioxide was replaced as the marker by scandium chloride-46Sc, because of the technical advantages (see Appendix). To confirm that 46Sc is not significantly absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract, two patients were each given 1·1 μCi 46Sc-scandium chloride solution orally, and then body radioactivity was measured over a period of time in a whole body counter. Total urinary excretion of 46Sc was also measured.

The radioactive materials were given immediately before the patient's midday meal. When both labelled triolein and labelled marker were given they were taken simultaneously. The 131I-triolein was dissolved in olive oil, and a few drops, containing 3-5 μCi, were administered on a slice of bread. The drops were dispensed from a syringe, and the actual dose received was calculated from the difference of weight of the syringe. For the faecal marker, either a gelatin capsule containing 5-10 μCi 51Cr chromium sesquioxide was swallowed, or approximately 50 μg scandium chloride containing 100 nCi 46Sc in 10 ml water was dispensed into a wax cup and drunk together with several rinsings. Precise measurement of the activity drunk was obtained by measuring the activity in the cup before and after drinking.

Faeces were collected for five days. If the patient was passing motions infrequently collection was continued until the activity in successive motions became negligible. Where the motions were very frequent and bulky (due to steatorrhoea or diarrhoea from other causes) faecal collection was terminated on the same basis and could last as little as two days. Each stool was stored in a separate polyethylene container, and the collected specimens were stored at −12°C. Patients were instructed to avoid contaminating the faeces with urine.

Radioactive Analysis

The radioactivity in each container was measured using a large volume 4πr plastic scintillation detector (Smith, Clarke, and Reed, 1967). The proportion of the total radioactivity due to the respective isotopes was calculated in the normal manner by measuring the count rate from each container at two pulse height analyser window settings and comparing them against standard activities of 131I and 51Cr (or 46Sc). All stools from the beginning of the collection period were counted until two successive stools each contained less than 1% of the administered activity of each isotope. The cumulative counts for each isotope were then expressed as percentages of the administered dose.

Chemical Analysis

The same collections were used for chemical faecal fat analysis. The whole faecal collection from each individual was bulked together and homogenized with water to give a volume of between 1·5 and 3·01 dependent upon the original volume and consistency of the faeces.

Method A

A 20 ml aliquot of the homogenate was removed immediately after homogenization for analysis of fat content by the method of van de Kamer et al (1949).

Method B

The whole of the remaining homogenate was boiled for 30 minutes with concentrated hydrochloric acid (150 ml per litre of homogenate) to break up any large particles and to convert soaps into fatty acids,
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It was then shaken for five minutes in a large separating flask with a 1:4 mixture of diethyl ether and light petroleum ether (BP 40-60°C) (Zuckerman, Zymaris, and Natelson, 1949; Henry, 1964). Continuous extraction was then carried out in the apparatus shown in Figure 1. Extraction took eight hours or longer and was continued until one hour after the ether leaving the faecal residue container became colourless. The faecal residue was intermittently stirred during extraction. After extraction the ether was evaporated from the lipid which was then weighed after drying to constant weight in a vacuum over anhydrous calcium chloride.

In order to test the efficiency of extraction, aliquots of several of the dried faecal residues were re-extracted for six hours in a Soxhlet apparatus. The small quantities of lipid recovered in this way showed that more than 99% of the fat soluble in the solvent system used must have been removed.

In the following sections the faecal fat measured by method A will be called fat A and that by method B will be called fat B.

Results

All of the 83 patients in whom faecal collection was attempted were given a radioactive marker. In 40 the marker was 51Cr-chromium sesquioxide and in 43 it was 46Sc-scandium chloride. The excretion rates of the two marker substances were identical. The completeness of faecal collection was judged from the recovery of the marker in the faeces; the amounts are shown in Figure 2. They varied from less than 10% (8 cases) to between 100 and 110% (16 cases). The values higher than 100% are presumed to be the result of cumulative errors in counting faecal radioactivity. Collections giving recovery values of more than 90% were taken as 'complete collections'; there were 40 of these. However, only 33 patients received both marker and 131I-triolein. The mean cumulative recovery rates of marker (51Cr and 46Sc) and 131I-triolein are compared in Figure 3.

Of these 33 patients, 15 were normal and 18 had gastrointestinal disorders. The results of the estimations of fat A, fat B, and faecal 131I-triolein in the normal group are summarized in Table I. The upper
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limit of the normal range (mean + 2 SD) is taken as 6.8 g/day for fat A, 9.2 g/day for fat B, and 2.5% of administered dose for 131I-triolein. Individual

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fat A (g/day)</th>
<th>Fat B (g/day)</th>
<th>131I-Triolein (% dose)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed range</td>
<td>1.33-6.37</td>
<td>2.18-8.07</td>
<td>0.6-2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean + 2 SD</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I Results in 15 normal cases with marker recovery of 90% or greater

results for the 18 patients with gastrointestinal disorders are shown in Table II together with their diagnoses. The results in six patients were within the normal range.

Fat A and fat B results respectively are compared with the 131I-triolein results in Figure 4a and b. Values from two additional cases with gross steatorrhoea are also included although the marker recovery was less than ‘complete’, ie, below 90% but above 85%.

The results were either normal (21 cases) or abnormal (14 cases) by all three methods with one
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exception (case 7, Table II), where fat B gave a value at the upper end of the normal range although fat A and 131I-triolein values were clearly abnormal. In abnormal cases there is good correlation between the triolein method and the fat A method ($r = 0.96$), and also between the triolein method and the fat B method ($r = 0.94$). In normal cases, however, no such correlation is seen.

Values for fat A and fat B, estimated from the same homogenate in each of the 31 complete collections (plus the two 85-90% collections referred to above), are compared in Figure 5a and b. In the 20 with results within the normal range the mean ratio fat A/fat B was $0.68 \pm 0.12$ and in the 13 with abnormal results it was $0.87 \pm 0.09$. Variation of the ratios for individual cases in the normal group is large (range $0.38-0.91$) but in the abnormal group the range is small (0.70-0.95).

In two patients given 46Sc-scandium chloride and in whom body retention and urine excretion of 46Sc was measured, $0.85 \pm 0.1\%$ and $0.66 \pm 0.1\%$ respectively was retained at the end of seven days, and in each the cumulative urinary excretion was less than $0.5\%$.

**Discussion**

The upper limits (mean $+ 2 \text{SD}$) of the normal range for faecal fat and 131I-triolein from these data may be compared in Table III with those adopted by others. While the upper limit for the fat A (van de Kamer) method (6.81 g/day) is similar to that of most authors, the upper limit for 131I-triolein is much lower, and is comparable only with those of Cox (1963) and Clark et al (1964).

Comparison of the results of fat A with those of fat B demonstrates a close relationship with a systematic difference between the two methods in the abnormal range. Fat B (total lipids) gives results which are consistently higher than those of fat A (Fig. 5a). In the normal range variation of individual results is much larger, and the mean ratio fat A: fat B is lower (Fig. 5b). There is also no correlation between the 131I-triolein results and either method of fat estimation in the normal group (Figs. 4a and b). Several factors may contribute to this: (a) inaccura-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th>Number of False Results</th>
<th>Number Upper Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Steatorrhoea</td>
<td>Faecal Fat (g/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Tripoline (% dose)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubran and Pearson</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playoust, Wyatt, and Blackburn</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pimparkar et al</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rufin, Blahd, Nordyke, and Grossman</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moertel, Scudamore, Wollaeger, and Owen</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkowitz, Croll, and Shapiro</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivera, Toro-Goyo, Rodriguez-Molina, Coca-Mir, and Bernabe-Prida</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>diet fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correia, Coelho, Godinho, Barros, and Magalhaes</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark et al</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td>817</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>11-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td></td>
<td>44-6</td>
<td>32-2</td>
<td>Of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>steatorrhoea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>non-steatorrhoea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III  Results in 12 studies where chemical estimation of faecal fat was compared with radioactive absorption

cies may be large because the quantities measured are small; (b) variations of dietary fat intake may be important in relation to the composition of the small fraction excreted in normal subjects; and (c) a significant part of the excreted fat may be endogenous in origin (Wollaeger, Comfort, and Osterberg, 1947; Cooke, Thomas, Mangall, and Cross, 1953; Weijers and van de Kamer, 1953).

In distinguishing between normal and abnormal degrees of fat excretion, however, the inconsistencies in the relationship between the van de Kamer method (which is the method most frequently used in routine laboratories) and the total lipid method in the normal range are not important, because outside the normal range the correlation is good. Similarly, the non-correlation of the 123I-triolein method with either of these methods in the normal does not lessen the usefulness of the 131I-triolein method in distinguishing normal from abnormal. It is also clear that the 131I-triolein method is capable of quantitative discrimination over the abnormal range measured.

The radioactive triolein test measures the absorption of a specific neutral fat which has been physically altered by labelling. The basis of the test in the study of the absorption of fat in general depends upon the assumption that the iodinated triolein behaves like a typical neutral fat. To be useful in the diagnosis of fat malabsorption, however, it is only necessary for the absorption of iodinated triolein to bear a reasonably constant relationship to that of dietary fat. There is evidence that radioactive triolein fulfils this criterion (Turner, 1958; van Handel and Zilversmit, 1958). The test would be invalidated if the 131I label were split off the triolein while in the gut but available evidence suggests that this does not occur. Balint, Pendower, and Ramsey (1960) and Beres, Wenger, and Kirsner (1957) found that in laboratory conditions iodinated triolein was stable for one hour in various gastrointestinal secretions, and Cox (1961) demonstrated that the bond was relatively stable in faeces although he did show some splitting of the iodine bond when the triolein was incubated for four hours in duodenal juice. However, the exposure to the action of duodenal enzymes is likely to be considerably less than four hours.

It has been suggested that part of the faecal radioactivity after oral administration of radioactive triolein might be derived from free iodide excreted from the blood into the lower bowel after the absorption and splitting of the triolein. Several studies, however, have shown that there is no free radioactive iodine in the stools, all the radioactive material being in ether-soluble material (Ruffin et al, 1956; Mohamed and Hume, 1959).

Thus no evidence has been produced which in-
validates the theoretical principles of the use of radioactive iodinated triolein in the assessment of fat absorption.

Radioactive triolein and faecal fat measurements have been carried out simultaneously in a number of reported studies. Following the usual practice in referring to cases with steatorrhoea as indicated by faecal fat measurement but with normal excretion of radioactive triolein as 'false negatives', and to cases with normal faecal fat but reduced triolein absorption as 'false positives', Table III shows the results in these reported series where there is sufficient data to make the comparison possible. In these results the two tests were in agreement in only 79.3% of the 787 cases studied. 'False negatives' were about three times as frequent as 'false positives'. In many of those cases where the tests showed disagreement there was only a slight abnormality and in some studies the discrepancy may have been caused by the fact that the tests measure different chemical constituents. All the series, however, had a number of cases in which the disagreement between the tests was so great as to make it probable that a technical error had been involved in the performance in one or other of the tests. It has been suggested (Lubran and Pearson, 1958; Cox, 1961) that such error might be a failure to obtain a complete collection of the faeces.

Incomplete collection lowers both the radioactivity recovered and the estimated daily faecal fat. It may produce one of the following anomalous results.

'FALSE NEGATIVE' TRIOLEIN TEST
A small faecal loss is more likely to affect significantly the radioactive estimation than the fat measurement because the greater part of the radioactivity is usually passed in a few stools. This may produce a 'false negative' result in cases with steatorrhoea.

'FALSE POSITIVE' TRIOLEIN TEST
When the first few stools containing most of the radioactivity are faithfully collected, but then some of the later stools are lost, the faecal fat measurement will be significantly lowered while the radioactivity is relatively unaffected. Such circumstances may produce apparently 'false positive' triolein results. In fact, in this case it is the faecal fat measurement which is a 'false negative', the triolein test being nearly correct.

Of these possible results due to incomplete faecal collection, the one most frequently expected would be the first, ie, a 'false negative' faecal triolein test, because it could follow the loss of a single stool. It is perhaps significant that 'false negatives' are the commonest error reported with the triolein test.

Previous investigators were unable to test the completeness of their faecal collections. In the present study the use of a radioactive marker substance enabled estimations to be made of the completeness of the collection of those stools corresponding to the test dose of radioactive triolein. The validity of a marker depends upon its nonabsorption in the gut and upon it travelling through the gut at the same rate as unabsorbed fat. Previous work has shown that neither chromium sesquioxide (Reifenstein, Albright, and Wells, 1945; Whitby and Lang, 1960; Hansky and Connell, 1962; Sassoon, 1966) nor scandium chloride (Pearson, 1966; Ogg, Pearson, and Veall, 1968) is absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract. Results in this series with both scandium chloride and chromium sesquioxide indicate that these markers travel through the gut at very nearly the same rate as fat in the form of triolein (Fig. 3). Although there were slight differences in marker and triolein transit times in individual cases, these differences were insignificant from the point of view of assessing the completeness of triolein recovery.

The patients studied were on general medical wards and exceptional efforts to obtain complete collection were not made because it was wished to assess the percentage of routine faecal collections which were complete. However, both the patients and the ward staff knew that a special investigation was in progress and it is probable that this would improve routine faecal fat collections. Nevertheless in only 40 out of 83 cases (48.2%) was a complete collection obtained. It is appreciated that the technique used only detected loss of stools referable to the test dose; some of the cases in which complete recovery of isotopes was obtained could have been incomplete collections because non-isotope-containing stools were lost.

The high percentage (52%) of incomplete faecal collections suggests a major source of error in the triolein test when it is performed on general wards. Even in metabolic units the collection cannot be assumed to be complete. Rose (1964), using continuous chromium sesquioxide feeding as an indicator in calcium studies, reported incomplete faecal collections in seven out of 21 patients studied on a metabolic unit. In most published investigations of the triolein test the patients have either been on general medical wards or have been studied as outpatients.

These experimental results appear to justify the conclusion that the 131I-triolein excretion test is as accurate as the direct faecal fat measurement in distinguishing normal from abnormal and in discriminating degrees of abnormality. Previous con-
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fusion probably resulted from incomplete faecal collections—always a likely source of error. Both 131I-triolein and faecal fat measurement are vulnerable to error in faecal collection and it would appear to be essential to use a non-absorbable marker as a check against loss during collection. Provided that collection is complete, both methods give comparable results, and there does not seem to be a valid reason for the suspicion that 131I-triolein absorption is not closely related to total fat absorption. This being so, the 131I-triolein excretion test may commend itself again because of its inherent technical advantages.
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Appendix

The γ-ray resolution of a plastic phosphor is inferior to that of a sodium iodide crystal mainly because of the low specific light output and the general predominance of Compton over photoelectric interactions (Burch, 1961). The measurement of two isotopes simultaneously is therefore more difficult as a broad energy window has normally been used. When 85Cr-chromium sesquioxide was used as the faecal marker, the windows used were from 500
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to 750 keV (utilizing the higher γ-ray energy of \( {^{131}}I \)) and from 250 to 400 keV. Unfortunately the latter condition envelopes both the chromium γ-ray energy and the most abundant \( {^{131}}I \) γ-ray energy so that the iodine contribution to the total counts recorded in this window was large. It was also found that under high count rate conditions pulse summation occurred which produced a higher count rate in the upper energy window than that due solely to the iodine content of the sample and was dependent upon the total activity in the sample. When scandium chloride was substituted for chromium sesquioxide as the faecal marker the operating conditions were changed to a window setting between 1-0 and 1-2 Mev and to an integral setting with a lower level of 250 keV. The latter setting measures both the \( {^{131}}I \) and \( {^{46}}Sc \) contents of the sample but it was found to be the setting which yielded the most accurate results at high count rates. Using samples of known activity it was found that the \( {^{131}}I \) content of a sample could be determined with an error of less than 10% provided that the scandium activity was less than twice the iodine activity in a sample. The minimum measurable quantity of either isotope was less than 1% of the administered dose.
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