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**SYNOPSIS** The infectivity titre of two strains of *Herpesvirus hominis* was reduced by not more than six log₁₀ units by 90 minutes' exposure to chlorhexidine at a final concentration of 0.02% at room temperature. Under identical conditions the compound was ineffective against a vaccine strain of poliovirus and against an adenovirus. Experimental conditions are described whereby the toxic effect of the disinfectant on the tissue culture assay systems are minimized.

Primary infection with *Herpesvirus hominis* is increasingly frequent in young adult and adult populations (Smith, Peutherer, and MacCallum, 1967; Davies and Longson, 1970) and there is evidence that, under certain conditions, such infections may be associated with severe and very distressing disease (Montgomerie, Becroft, Croxon, Doak, and North, 1969; Lynch and Longson, 1970; Longson, 1970). A recent suggestion that inadequate sterilization of clinical thermometers may cause cross infection with *Herpesvirus hominis* appeared to merit attention. It is common hospital practice to disinfect clinical thermometers by immersion in 0.02% chlorhexidine solution and the present study was designed to study the sensitivity of the virus to this disinfectant.

**Materials**

**TISSUE CULTURE CELLS AND MEDIA**

All media were prepared from dried powders obtained commercially (Wellcome Reagents Ltd); these were supplemented with locally obtained bovine serum and with penicillin and streptomycin. H.Ep 2 cells (Flow Laboratories Ltd) had been serially cultivated in this laboratory for two years. Growth medium consisted of Eagle’s MEM and 10% calf serum. RK₁₃ cells, kindly supplied by Dr J. O'H. Tobin, were grown on medium 199 supplemented with 5% foetal calf serum. In both cases reduced serum concentrations (2-5%) were used for cell maintenance.

**EGGS**

Fertile white-shelled eggs were obtained locally and were kept at 38°C, in an automatic egg incubator for 11 days before use.

**VIRUS STOCKS**

*Herpesvirus hominis* (HVH), oral strain SUE (*Herpesvirus* h simplex-1), and genital strain MVC 70 (*Herpesvirus* h simplex-2) (Hutfield and Longson, 1968) were grown in monolayer cultures of RK₁₃ cells. Suspensions of infected cells in tissue culture media were stored in ampoules at −170°C (liquid nitrogen) until required (Bailey, 1970).

Poliovirus, type 2 (Sabin) (*Enterovirus* h polio-2/Sabin) was obtained from a vial of monovalent vaccine and cultivated in cultures of rhesus monkey kidney cells. The virus suspension was stored at −20°C until required.

Adenovirus, type 2 (*Adenovirus* h-2), originally isolated in this laboratory from a throat swab, was cultivated in H.Ep 2 cells and stored at −20°C.

The identity of all stock virus suspensions was confirmed by neutralization against standard specific antisera, kindly supplied for this purpose by Dr C. M. P. Bradstreet.

**CHLORHEXIDINE**

The stock solution of chlorhexidine consisted of 5% w/v chlorhexidine gluconate (Hibitane¹, ICI) in water.

**Method and Results**

All tissue culture experiments were performed on conventional monolayer cultures in 13 x 1·2 cm

¹Hibitane also contains 3·75% Lissapol. Experiments at present in hand suggest that *Herpesvirus hominis* may also be sensitive to this surface active agent.
neutral glass tubes. Before inoculation confluent cell sheets were washed free of growth medium and fed with 0.9 ml of maintenance medium per tube. After inoculation with fluid under test, the cultures were incubated in a sloping position at 33.5°C and examined daily.

Eggs were candled, drilled, and inoculated on the chorioallantoic membrane in the usual manner (Beveridge and Burnet, 1946). After inoculation eggs were maintained in a stationary incubator at 36.5°C for 72 hours. The membranes were harvested and fixed in 10% formal saline before examination.

**Cytotoxicity of Chlorhexidine**

The disinfectant-stock solution was diluted 1/1.25 and then in ten-fold steps from $4 \times 10^{-2}$ to $4 \times 10^{-8}$ (40,000 to 0.04 µg/ml) in sterile phosphate-buffered saline pH 7.2 (PBS, Oxoid). Tubes of H.Ep 2 cells were inoculated in triplicate with 0.1 ml of each of these dilutions, incubated, and observed for seven days. It was observed that at concentrations in excess of 0.004 µg/ml chlorhexidine was toxic to the cells.

In a second experiment, therefore, the chlorhexidine solutions were inoculated into tissue cultures in the same way but the tubes were incubated at 37°C for 90 minutes, when the cultures were washed free of disinfectant by three changes of phosphate-buffered saline. Fresh, chlorhexidine-free maintenance medium was then added to each culture and the tubes were returned to the incubator for seven days as before. Under these conditions no toxic effects were observed, even at concentrations as high as 4,000 µg/ml chlorhexidine.

To test the toxicity of the disinfectant on the chorioallantoic membrane of the chick, 0.2 ml of each of a series of tenfold dilutions containing 40,000 µg/ml to 0.04 µg/ml of chlorhexidine was inoculated into four 10-day-old eggs, which were incubated at 35.5°C for 72 hours. Careful examination of the harvested membranes and of the embryos failed to reveal any toxicity of the chlorhexidine.

**Effect of Chlorhexidine on Sensitivity of Tissue Culture Cells**

Triplicate tubes of H.Ep 2 cells and of RK13 cells were exposed for 90 minutes at 37°C to varying concentrations of chlorhexidine ranging from 4,000 µg/ml to 0.004 µg/ml (final concentration in the medium). After washing with three changes of PBS, the cultures were challenged with 0.1 ml of a suspension containing 10 TCID<sub>50</sub>/0.1 ml of *Herpesvirus hominis*. The virus was allowed to adsorb for 90 minutes at 37°C, when the residual inoculum was washed off by a further three changes of phosphate-buffered saline. After replacement of the maintenance medium the tubes were incubated and examined as previously described. In comparison to controls, and within the crude limits of these experiments, all the disinfectant-treated cultures appeared fully sensitive to the small dose of virus.

**Virucidal Activity of Chlorhexidine**

Equal volumes (0.5 ml) of stock virus suspensions and of chlorhexidine solution diluted in PBS to contain 400 µg/ml were mixed and kept at room temperature (final concentration of chlorhexidine 200 µg/ml). Controls consisted of equal volumes of virus and phosphate-buffered saline. After 90 minutes the mixtures were diluted in tenfold steps in further PBS, and surviving virus was assayed by the inoculation of 0.2 ml of virus/disinfectant mixture and end-point titration in H.Ep 2 cells, using four tubes per dilution. In the case of *Herpesvirus hominis* similar assays were carried out on cultures of RK13 cells and on the chorioallantoic membrane of the chick.

The effect of chlorhexidine on the infectivity of two strains of *Herpesvirus hominis*, one strain of adenovirus, and one strain of poliovirus was investigated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Surviving Virus</th>
<th>0.02% Chlorhexidine&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBS&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TCID&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; &lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV (MVC 70)</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{6}$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV (SUE)</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{4}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polio (Sabin/2)</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeno (type 2)</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{3}$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table *Virucidal activity of chlorhexidine*

<sup>1</sup>Virus surviving after exposure of stock virus suspension (in tissue culture medium containing 2.5% serum) to effect of either PBS or chlorhexidine glucotate 0.02% w/v final concentration. See text.

<sup>2</sup>Tissue culture infectious doses calculated after Kärber (1931).

<sup>3</sup>Pock-forming units on chick chorioallantoic membrane.
on at least three occasions each. The results of one such series of assays are shown in Table I. Almost identical titres were obtained in all replicate experiments.

All assay tubes which remained persistently negative were challenged on the seventh day by the inoculation of 10 TCID₃₀ of the appropriate virus. After this challenge all the previously negative cultures produced typical cytopathic effects, confirming that the chlorhexidine had not appreciably affected the sensitivity of the cells.

Discussion

There is a surprising lack of information on the sensitivity of *Herpesvirus hominis* to common disinfectants. Early work by Levaditi and Harvier (1920) suggested that the virus was resistant to 1% phenol. This was later confirmed by Sery and Furgiele (1961) but refuted by Klein and Deforest (1963). According to Klein and Deforest (1965), *Herpesvirus hominis* is highly sensitive to sodium hypochlorite, formaldehyde, and glutaraldehyde. The virus is also destroyed by quaternary ammonium compounds and by β propiolactone (Tokumaru and Scott, 1964).

Chlorhexidine (Hibitane, Nolvasan, Sterilon) is an excellent antiseptic but is not generally considered to be a reliable virucidal substance and its use is not advised for this purpose (Finter, 1970). Indeed, earlier work (Hurst, 1955) had indicated that *Herpesvirus hominis* is resistant to chlorhexidine. Nevertheless, Eppley, Hays, and Kucera (1968) recommended the agent as a virucidal disinfectant for veterinary use. These workers reported that under experimental conditions a number of viruses were sensitive to 0.05% chlorhexidine. In particular, the herpes virus of infectious bovine rhinotracheitis, similar in size, morphology, and composition to *Herpesvirus hominis*, lost 5-6 log₁₀ units of infectivity after 10 minutes’ exposure at room temperature. The present results confirm these findings and it is interesting to contrast the marked resistance of an entrovirus and an adenovirus to the disinfectant under identical experimental conditions. Whereas *Herpesvirus hominis* lost 5-6 log units of infectivity, ie, almost 100%, the two non-enveloped viruses remained fully active.

The kinetics of the virus inactivation by the chlorhexidine remain to be established and it is very likely that total killing of the virus would be possible, even by prolonged contact. The detection of the stable viral residue may be difficult and will depend, in some measure, on the sensitivity of the assay system. To this end RK₁₃ cells were also used because of their sensitivity to *Herpesvirus hominis*. Even greater sensitivity could perhaps have been obtained by the use of larger inocula or by virus concentration techniques.

In the meantime the results obtained in these experiments justify the use of chlorhexidine as a disinfectant in the medical and in the veterinary prophylaxis of herpetic infections. In particular, there is no reason to believe that the ‘sterilization’ of clinical thermometers in the compound may lead to cross-infections with *Herpesvirus hominis* and, perhaps, the use of chlorhexidine cream in burned patients could prevent herpetic superinfection (British Medical Journal, 1970). Whether the compound could be used in the therapeutic management of established herpes dermatitis or of dendritic ulcers is worthy of investigation. However, the activity of chlorhexidine on intracellular virus might be difficult to study because of its marked cytotoxic effect. It may, on the other hand, be fruitful to investigate the value of a solution in the treatment of experimental herpetic keratitis in the rabbit.
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