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SYNOPSIS A new rapid slide test for the detection of infectious mononucleosis heterophile antibody has been compared with the Paul-Bunnell absorption test. Out of 200 sera, 46 were seropositive for infectious mononucleosis by the Paul-Bunnell test and 43 of these were detected by the Monosticon test; the three Monosticon-negative sera were of low titre. There was no problem with false positive reactions due to heterophile antibody not specific for infectious mononucleosis.

A number of rapid tests for the detection of the heterophile antibody found in infectious mononucleosis have been devised and this report is concerned with the evaluation of another such test—the Monosticon rapid slide test (Organon). This test is designed for use with capillary blood as well as serum so that it can be used to give an instant result in situations where access to laboratory services is not readily available. This paper deals mainly with the serum test, although a smaller comparative trial using capillary blood is also described.

Material and Methods

PROCEDURE

Serum specimens were obtained from patients thought, on clinical grounds, to have infectious mononucleosis. The Monosticon test and the Paul-Bunnell absorption test were applied to all sera. In addition, a Monosticon test was done using capillary blood from 30 patients; in all these cases serum was obtained for a Monosticon test and Paul-Bunnell absorption test. White blood cell and differential counts were done for every patient. Blood samples were obtained at varying periods after the onset of symptoms, and in a few cases, where the first result was equivocal, a further sample was obtained after an interval.

PATIENTS

The patients came mainly from three sources—a nurses' clinic, the Student Health Service, and a general practitioner referral service, the remainder came from the outpatient departments and wards of the Hospital.

BLOOD SAMPLES

The Monosticon test was performed on the day of collection and sera were stored for not more than 48 hours at 4°C before the Paul-Bunnell test was done. All positive sera were then stored at −20°C until the end of the trial for re-testing if necessary. Capillary blood was obtained by finger prick and the Monosticon test done immediately.

MONOSTICON RAPID SLIDE TEST

The commercially available kit comprises a book of test papers on which the test is performed, a stable suspension of 30% horse and 70% sheep erythrocytes, and a stable extract of guinea-pig kidney tissue. The erythrocytes, which provide a source of antigen, are dehaemoglobinized and then coloured blue by the addition of dye, so that a positive result is shown by the appearance of dark blue clumps.

One volume (approximately 0·03 ml) of unheated serum is placed in the test circle and 1 volume of guinea-pig kidney extract added and mixed well with a disposable stirrer. One volume of the sheep/horse cell antigen is added and again mixed well. The test slide is rocked gently for two minutes and observed for the presence of agglutination. The procedure for capillary blood is similar except that the initial volume of blood is larger (approximately 0·06 ml). Each new batch of Monosticon reagents was titrated against a reference serum of known titre to ensure that the sensitivity of the test was unaltered.

PAUL-BUNNELL ABSORPTION TEST

The method used was that described by Dacie and Lewis (1968) based on the technique of Barrett (1941), except that the volumes were adjusted to give an initial dilution of 1 in 7 for the absorbed and
non-absorbed sera. This was necessary to make this test comparable with the Monosticon test, the sensitivity of which is adjusted to detect infectious mononucleosis antibody when present in a titre of 56 or greater. The screening test was performed first, followed by the quantitative test if this was positive. All sera used in this test were inactivated by heating at 56°C for 30 minutes. Each new batch of reagents was standardized against a positive serum of known titre.

**Criteria for the Diagnosis of Infectious Mononucleosis**

The Paul-Bunnell absorption test was considered to be positive for infectious mononucleosis if the unabsorbed serum showed a sheep cell agglutination titre of at least 56 and if the titre fell by not more than three tubes after absorption with guinea-pig kidney and at least four tubes after absorption with ox red cells (Davidsohn and Lee, 1969). If the unabsorbed titre was 28, incomplete absorption with guinea-pig kidney and complete removal with ox red cells was taken as presumptive evidence for infectious mononucleosis. The white cell changes considered to be characteristic of infectious mononucleosis were a lymphocytosis of at least 4 500/cmm of which a significant number were atypical in appearance.

**Results**

The Monosticon and Paul-Bunnell tests were done on 200 sera (Table I). Forty-three sera gave a presumptive evidence for infectious mononucleosis. Further specimens were obtained from the last patient and one of those with a titre of 56 and the tests were repeated. In both cases the Monosticon test and the absorption test were positive. Thus, of the 200 sera tested, 46 had serological evidence of infectious mononucleosis and 43 of these were detected by the Monosticon slide test. The three that were not detected by this test were of low titre, although two of them did have titres of 56 which is the limit of sensitivity of the test. These three sera were collected early in the disease but all three patients had white cell changes suggestive of infectious mononucleosis.

The 43 Monosticon positive sera had unabsorbed titres of between 56 and 28 000, and the 22 Monosticon-negative-Paul-Bunnell absorption test-positive sera had titres of between 14 and 1 800. It will be noted that the Monosticon test failed to react with heterophile antibodies not specific for infectious mononucleosis, although they were present in high titre.

Of the 43 patients giving a positive Monosticon test, 40 had haematological findings suggestive of glandular fever and the remaining three had unconvincing white cell changes although two developed them later.

Of the 30 capillary blood tests only four were positive, although 10 serum tests on the same patients were positive. Two capillary tests gave doubtful positives but these patients had negative serum tests. The titres of the six sera, not detected by the capillary test, ranged from 56 to 900.

**Comment**

The best serological test for infectious mononucleosis remains the Paul-Bunnell-Davidsohn differential absorption test but this is time-consuming and, as it is more economical to use batch testing, there may be an interval of several days before the results are available. Hence, there is a real need for a reliable, rapid test which can differentiate between the antibody found in infectious mononucleosis and other heterophile antibodies. A number of these tests have been devised and some have proved satisfactory in clinical use (Davidsohn and Lee, 1969; Basson and Sharp, 1969). It is essential that rapid tests include absorption with guinea-pig kidney extract to differentiate the infectious mononucleosis antibody from other heterophile antibodies. The use of horse erythrocytes instead of sheep erythrocytes has been recommended to increase the sensitivity of the test, especially when antibody is present in low titre (Lee, Davidsohn, and Slaby, 1968). The Monosticon test includes absorption with
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guinea-pig kidney extract and a mixture of horse and sheep erythrocytes. The serum test would seem to be satisfactory for the detection of most cases of infectious mononucleosis although it may not be sensitive enough to detect antibody in low titre. There does not appear to be any problem with false positive results due to the presence of high titre heterophile antibody not associated with infectious mononucleosis. The test is rapid and simple to perform and the blue staining of the erythrocytes makes reading of agglutination easy. It is a useful addition to the available rapid tests for the diagnosis of infectious mononucleosis but it is essential that controls are set up with the test and for this reason, in laboratory practice, batch testing is more economical.

Although the sample is small, the capillary test would seem to be less satisfactory, as six of these tests were negative although the serum test was positive and the antibody was present in high titre. The wisdom of encouraging serological tests, however simple, in clinics or surgeries without proper laboratory facilities and without the use of proper controls is debatable.
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