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SYNOPSIS  The diagnosis and treatment of 20 hospital patients seen in the past year with proven pneumonia caused by coliforms and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are discussed. Predisposing factors and methods for improving laboratory and clinical diagnosis are analysed, the main problem being to discriminate between genuine pneumonia caused by these organisms and mere contamination of sputum samples resulting from colonization of the upper respiratory tract following broad-spectrum chemotherapy.

Overall initial chemotherapy with gentamicin cured 75% (15 out of 20) of the patients in spite of unfavourable underlying pathology. Where gentamicin was given in adequate dosage, which in practice meant that dose which produced peak serum concentrations of 8 μg/ml or more, the cure rate was 91% (11 out of 12). In those patients achieving (measured) peak serum concentrations of less than 8 μg/ml the cure rate was only 33% (4 out of 12). These figures include four patients who failed to respond to doses of gentamicin producing peak concentrations of 5·0-6·0 μg/ml in each case. These patients responded promptly to higher doses (or accumulation), producing peak serum concentrations of 8 μg/ml or more and were then cured within three to five days.

Toxicity from gentamicin was not observed in any patient. These results indicate that it is necessary to monitor gentamicin therapy by laboratory assay to ensure adequate dosage and that peak serum concentrations of 8 μg/ml or more are significantly correlated with successful treatment of pneumonia caused by coliforms and *Ps. aeruginosa*.

There has been a steady increase in the incidence of life-threatening infections with coliform organisms and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* over the past few decades (Finland et al, 1959; Du Pont and Spink, 1969). This trend has been associated with the introduction and widespread use of antimicrobial agents (Finland et al, 1971) as well as the survival of many older and debilitated patients in hospital, often undergoing major-risk surgery.

Pneumonia in hospital patients attributable to coliforms and pseudomonas is not uncommon (Lerner, 1974; Noone et al, 1974b). Difficulties in diagnosis can arise because of the very widespread colonization of the upper respiratory tract of hospital patients with coliforms and pseudomonas, especially during treatment with broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents. This results in contaminated sputum specimens and the laboratory isolation of coliforms and pseudomonas where they have no direct pathological significance. There is a tendency in some quarters to dismiss all coliforms in the sputum as contaminants.

Culture techniques which involve straight sampling of sputum are unreliable and do not readily distinguish between commensals, contaminants, and true pathogens. Methods which employ liquefaction, homogenization, and dilution of the sputum allow semiquantitative counts to be performed and, provided the specimen is fresh, true pathogens from lower respiratory tract will tend to occur in much larger numbers (10⁷-10¹⁰ organisms/ml) than commensals and contaminants from the throat, mouth, and nose (Percival and Roberts, 1972; Monroe et al, 1969).

We have recently analysed the findings in 20 consecutive patients in our hospital over the past year with pneumonia caused by coliforms and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Since this condition bears a high mortality (Gatmaitan et al, 1970) our aim has been to assess the results of chemotherapy as well as to clarify those clinical and laboratory criteria which would help in diagnosis.
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Patients and methods

SPUTUM SPECIMENS
In all patients sputum for culture was obtained at the time of diagnosis by aspiration from the bronchi and lower respiratory tract using sterile tubing, the sputum being caught in a plastic 'trap' and sent fresh for culture.

LABORATORY TECHNIQUES
Gram films were made and the sputum was cultured by the method of Rawlins (1968) using pancreatin-trypsin to liquefy and homogenize the sputum which was cultured in dilutions of 1 in 2 and 1 in 10⁻⁴ on chocolate agar in 10% carbon dioxide, on blood agar (Southern Group) aerobically, on polymyxin-neomycin-fusidate (PNF) plates (Lowbury et al, 1964) anaerobically (Gaspak system), and on MacConkey plates. The organisms were identified using the methods and tables of Cowan and Steel (1974). Auramine stains for acid-fast bacilli are made and examined routinely with all specimens of sputum.

Our laboratory methods for cultures have been more fully described elsewhere (Noone et al, 1974a). Sensitivity tests were carried out according to the method of Stokes (1968). Aminoglycoside assay was performed using the urease method which has been validated in routine use in this laboratory (Noone et al, 1974b).

PATIENTS
The 20 patients were all hospitalized and consisted of 11 men and nine women aged 40 to 89 with a mean age of 66 years. Table I summarizes their underlying pathology and those factors which may have been associated with the development of pneumonia.

PREVIOUS CHEMOTHERAPY
Sixteen of the 20 patients (80%) were receiving chemotherapy (mean duration six days) at the time the pneumonia developed. As far as could be ascertained most of the drugs administered were being given for 'prophylactic' reasons; 14 were receiving ampicillin or amoxycillin, five flucloxacillin, four cotrimaxazole, and one each sulphamidine, lincomycin, cephalaxin, and topical neomycin.

Results

DIAGNOSIS OF PNEUMONIA
All patients had symptoms of pneumonia with dyspnoea, chest pain (when conscious), signs of consolidation and pyrexia; the great majority had a significant neutrophilia of the peripheral blood. There was fresh radiological evidence of pneumonia and except for one patient with neutropenia, all had purulent sputum, often 'dirty' and usually copious. Some of those with pseudomonas infections had marked greenish discoloration of the sputum.

The site of the pneumonic lesions as shown by x-ray is given in table II. In almost half there was a history suggestive of aspiration (virtually all those with right-sided or predominantly right-sided lesions).

The patient with neutropenia had a neutrophil count of less than 100/mm³ at the time he developed pneumonia. His chest x-ray revealed marked infiltration of the lung fields and the sputum was 'filthy' although Gram stains showed no pus cells. Gram-negative rods were present in very large numbers and there was a pure growth of Klebsiella aerogenes from the aspirated specimen. As the patient recovered from his episode of neutropenia he began to produce mucopurulent sputum.

In 19 patients Gram films revealed pus cells and profuse numbers of typical coliform Gram-negative rods. Growth in all instances was very heavy (more than 10⁶ and even up to 10⁷ organisms/ml). Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Kl. aerogenes, and Escherichia coli predominated (see table III). In 18 patients the pathogen was isolated in pure growth while in one patient two organisms, and in another patient three organisms, were isolated. Their pathogenic significance was confirmed in nine patients by the isolation of the same organisms from empyema/effusion samples in five (2 Klebsiella, 2 Pseudomonas, 1 Enterobacter) and positive blood cultures in four (2 Klebsiella—both cured; 1 Proteus and 1 Pseudomonas—both

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malignant disease</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recent major surgery</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent anesthetic</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilator</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytotoxic or immunosuppressive drugs or DXRT</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic bronchitis</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive cardiac failure</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active peptic ulcer</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I  Underlying pathology and factors predisposing to pneumonia in patients studied

There were also three patients with liver failure, two with cerebrovascular accidents, one with myasthenia gravis, and four with haematological disorders (one each with chronic myeloid leukaemia, lymphoma, myeloma and myelofibrosis).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Right Side</th>
<th>Left Side</th>
<th>Bilateral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predominantly right</th>
<th>Predominantly left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II  Radiological site of consolidation

In all but three patients the pneumonic lesion started in a basal lobe.
CHEMOTHERAPEUTIC TREATMENT

As soon as the diagnosis was made all the patients were treated with gentamicin at an initial dosage of 4-5-6-0 mg/kg per 24 hours divided into 8-hourly injections. Subsequent dosage depended on the results of serum assay. One patient was changed to ampicillin and another to carbenicillin when the results of sensitivity tests of the pathogens were known. In both instances the patient had already shown a good response to 24 hours' treatment with gentamicin (both had adequate serum concentrations). Of the 20 patients, 15 (75%) were cured. The neutropenic patient also received neutrophil transfusions though he had responded clinically while still neutropenic. Six of the patients who were cured died within one month from underlying pathology but without pneumonia. A further octogenarian died within six months from arteriosclerosis (without pneumonia), but the other patients who were cured were alive and well up to one year later. In all instances those who were cured showed complete recovery both clinically and radiologically. Where sputum continued to be produced it became mucoid and failed to yield the treated pathogen.

Five patients died with pneumonia as a main cause, four receiving an inadequate dosage of gentamicin (table IV).

Of the 12 patients given a dose of gentamicin producing serum concentrations of 8 μg/ml or more, 11 were cured (91%) (table IV). The only failure in this group was a 60-year-old man with massive haemorrhage following splenectomy who developed bilateral aspiration pneumonia and bacteraemia with *Ps. aeruginosa*. After this he suffered severe hypotension and acute renal failure. He had two days' inadequate gentamicin therapy (peak concentrations up to 3-0 μg/ml) before his dose was increased and he achieved adequate peak serum concentrations of 10-5 μg/ml. He was then switched to tobramycin at the same dosage but died two days later from the metabolic complications of his renal failure (but without bacteraemia).

Of the 12 patients given a dose producing (measured) peak serum concentrations of less than 8 μg/ml, only four (33%) were cured, these patients obtaining highest measured peak serum concentrations of 7-0 μg/ml, 6-4 μg/ml, 6-1 μg/ml, and 5-2 μg/ml respectively. Of the eight failures, four patients responded only when their gentamicin dosage was increased so as to give peak serum concentrations of 8 μg/ml and more, although on their initial dosage they had peak concentrations of 5-6 μg/ml (table V).

These results confirm our previous experience (Noone et al, 1974a). In those patients who survived there was no clinical evidence of otoxicity. Three patients on completion of treatment underwent special tests, including puretone audiograms and standard Hallpike Caloric Tests, for evidence of asymptomatic eighth cranial nerve damage. None was discovered. There was no evidence of nephrotoxicity in any patient.

Discussion

DIAGNOSIS OF GRAM-NEGATIVE PNEUMONIA

This series suggests that Gram-negative pneumonia should be regarded as a possibility in all patients who develop pneumonia in hospital. There should be an even higher degree of suspicion in elderly and otherwise debilitated patients who have recently undergone major surgery or received an anaesthetic, particularly where there is a history of respiratory disease, immunosuppression or mechanical ventilation.

In all our patients there was an obvious clinical pneumonia, often developing or getting worse in spite of apparent adequate treatment with con-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Initial Dose (mg/kg per 24 hr)</th>
<th>Initial Peak Concentration (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Duration of this Dosage</th>
<th>Later Dose (mg/kg per 24 hr)</th>
<th>Later Peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>67</td>
<td><em>Kl. aerogenes</em></td>
<td>6-0</td>
<td>3-5-0 (5 assays)</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>7-0</td>
<td>7-9-9-4 (4 assays)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>85</td>
<td><em>Ps. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>5-0</td>
<td>4-4-6-0 (3 assays)</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>6-6</td>
<td>8-3-12-0 (4 assays)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>84</td>
<td><em>Ps. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>3-3-6-1 (2 assays)</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Same but accumulation</td>
<td>7-4-14-8 (3 assays)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>85</td>
<td><em>Kl. aerogenes</em></td>
<td>6-0</td>
<td>4-4-6-0 (3 assays)</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Same but accumulation</td>
<td>7-6-8-0 (2 assays)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table V Details of four patients responding only to increased serum concentrations of gentamicin

All four patients responded within 24-48 hours of increasing the dose of gentamicin (or its accumulation to adequate concentrations).

ventational antibiotics. A chest x-ray revealed confirmatory radiological changes. Sputum aspirated from the lower respiratory tract was copious and offensive and showed large numbers of polymorphs and Gram-negative rods.

The coliform was obtained in a heavy growth of at least $10^7$ organisms/ml and was repeatedly isolated if further specimens were taken before effective chemotherapy was instituted. In some cases the organisms were also isolated from blood cultures or pus aspirated from empyema or pleural fluid. There was no doubt about the pathogenic role of the organisms isolated.

Although this group of patients all had Gram-negative sepsis, the differential diagnosis included pneumonia caused by other opportunistic pathogens such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, anaerobic cocci, bacteroides, Candida species, and *Pneumocystis carinii*. The last, however, is usually seen only in this and similar countries in immunosuppressed patients (Minniely *et al.*, 1969). Gram films were of value and full culture was always undertaken, including anaerobic culture.

It is often stated that transtracheal aspiration should be the technique employed to collect sputum and, if feasible, this should be done, otherwise sputum aspirated from the lower respiratory tract via sterile tubing (‘trap’ specimens) should be used.

When an homogenization technique is used, ‘trap’ specimens yield a heavy growth of true pathogens. Contaminants, picked up on the tube when it is passed through the pharynx, will be in very small numbers. It has been suggested that the bubbling of air through ‘trap’ specimens should not kill most anaerobic organisms (Willis, personal communication). Mitchell and Harvey (1975) have recently described a rapid homogenization and dilution technique for culturing sputum using a Stomacher which enables more accurate quantitative assessment.

There are obvious difficulties in assessing the significance of coliforms and pseudomonas in sputum specimens. Their isolation, even from a purulent specimen, must not lead to antimicrobial treatment for these organisms unless there is genuine evidence that the patient has pneumonia and that the organism is responsible. Over 90% of coliforms and pseudomonas isolated from sputum represent mere colonization of the oropharynx. In a recent paper (Freeman, 1974) laboratory tests have been described to distinguish between *Kl. aerogenes* and *Kl. pneumoniae* based on the assumption that Klebsiella strains of the *Kl. pneumoniae* biotype are respiratory pathogens whereas *Kl. aerogenes* biotypes are not (Darrell and Hurdle, 1964). This would seem to be a misguided approach; the surest way to judge the pathogenicity of organisms is to see the patient concerned and review all the evidence available (microbiological, clinical, and radiological) in order to arrive at a conclusion about the role of the coliforms in the sputum. In our patients there was incontrovertible evidence that *Kl. aerogenes* was causing opportunistic infection.

**Outcome of Therapy**

Our results are good considering that this is a condition with high mortality. All the treatment failures in our series died with pneumonia as the major cause of death (except those detailed in table V).

The drug of choice for (aerobic) Gram-negative pneumonia is gentamicin or another aminoglycoside with similar antimicrobial spectrum provided adequate dosage is given. In practice the choice of antibiotic is not so difficult because usually the patient is already receiving conventional antibiotics and is deteriorating. The use of assay techniques to monitor dosage is essential with the aim of achieving sufficient blood (and tissue) concentrations.

Our results indicate that peak serum gentamicin concentrations of 8 μg/ml or more are usually necessary to obtain a cure. Physiotherapy and drainage of abscesses (where they develop) is also essential. In most patients chemotherapy was needed for only 7 to 10 days provided adequate dosage was given. In two patients chemotherapy was changed...
as a result of laboratory tests, but in both cases the patient had already responded to gentamicin.

To avoid ototoxicity, trough concentrations of gentamicin were also monitored (Reeves, 1974) from the first day of therapy in patients with renal dysfunction but from the third day, or at the very latest the fifth day, in all other patients. Eight-hour post-dose concentrations greater than 2 μg/ml were taken to indicate accumulation of the drug and the need to modify dosage, usually by increasing the interval between injections. No gentamicin toxicity was seen in any patient.

If *Ps. aeruginosa* is strongly suspected as the causative organism then carbenicillin may be added to gentamicin with advantage at a dosage of at least 20 g/24 hours, being stopped if Klebsiella or a carbenicillin-resistant organism is isolated subsequently. Carbenicillin may be contraindicated if the patient has renal, liver or cardiac failure, as overloading with sodium can occur. In neutropenic patients it is probably obligatory to give carbenicillin with gentamicin for treating Pseudomonas infections because of the prolonged killing times required for Pseudomonas, using gentamicin alone, in these patients (Jackson and Riff, 1971).

Tobramycin is an alternative to gentamicin and can be given in similar dosage to achieve the same kind of serum concentrations. It can be used with advantage against those strains of *Ps. aeruginosa* which show greater susceptibility to tobramycin than to gentamicin. However, tobramycin seems to have less activity against many strains of Klebsiella and is also less active against *Staph. aureus* (Waterworth, 1972).

**Prevention**

One of the most striking aspects of our series is that broad-spectrum chemotherapy, in particular ampicillin with or without flucloxacillin, given usually as a general prophylaxis for surgery, radiotherapy, etc, is associated with the development of Gram-negative pneumonia in the elderly and debilitated patient. It would seem wise to avoid non-specific, broad-spectrum prophylaxis and so help prevent colonization of the upper respiratory tract with resistant Gram-negative organisms from the hospital environment. In this way the risk of developing pneumonia with these opportunist pathogens may be minimized.
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