Routine diagnosis of human rotaviruses in stools
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SUMMARY Electron microscopy, immune electron microscopy, and complement fixation as methods of detecting rotavirus in the stools of young children with gastroenteritis were compared in a blind study during the winter of 1975-6. Complement fixation was the simplest to perform, was as sensitive as the other two, and allowed a quantitative measurement of viral excretion. Absorption of faecal extracts with fetal calf serum usually removed the anticomplementary activity of faecal extracts.

Bishop et al. (1973) and Flewett et al. (1973) found reovirus-like particles (RVL) in both the duodenal mucosa and stools of children with acute gastroenteritis. Since then many others (Cruickshank et al., 1974; Holmes et al., 1974; Kapikian et al., 1974; Middleton et al., 1974; Ørstavik et al., 1974; Tan et al., 1974; White et al., 1974; Conklin et al., 1975; Konno et al., 1975; Schoub et al., 1975; Zissis and De Kegel, 1975) have associated these particles with infantile gastroenteritis and variously named them rotavirus, duovirus, reovirus-like (RVL) particles, and infantile gastroenteritis virus (IGV).

Whatever the name, there is no longer any doubt that this is a cosmopolitan virus causing localised epidemics in winter. It is now considered to be one of the major causes of non-bacterial gastroenteritis in children under 5 years, but it occasionally infects older children (Hara et al., 1976) and even adults (Gomez-Baretto et al., 1975; Zissis et al., 1976).

Unfortunately no one has yet obtained a cytopathic effect on cell culture with human rotavirus. Therefore the diagnosis of diarrhoea caused by it relies generally on electron microscopy (EM, negative contrast). This is certainly not a routine procedure and could be used only in research laboratories. Nevertheless, in the absence of susceptible tissue cultures to support the virus, several workers (Spence et al., 1975; Banatvala et al., 1975) have tried to find an alternative to the electron microscope to detect these particles. In this paper we present evidence to support the use of a sensitive ‘microtitre’ complement fixation test (CF) as a diagnostic tool for rotavirus.

Following the example of Spence et al. (1975), we compared two techniques—EM and CF. In a second study we compared EM, IEM, and CF. In both studies the specimens were coded so that the persons carrying out the tests could not know what result might be expected.

Material and methods

FIRST COMPARATIVE STUDY

From December 1975 to March 1976, 196 stool specimens were collected from children with acute diarrhoea who came to the paediatric clinic at St Pierre Hospital, Brussels. Faecal suspensions were prepared as described below and examined by EM and CF.

SECOND COMPARATIVE STUDY

During the month of April 1976, 20 further stool specimens were collected and examined by EM, IEM, and CF.

PREPARATION OF FAECAL SUSPENSIONS

Stool specimens were suspended about 30% (v/v) in PBS with antibiotics and centrifuged at 5000 rev/min for 10 min in conical centrifuge tubes. The supernatant was again centrifuged at 5000 rev/min for 10 min. This clarified supernatant of the second centrifugation was used as the antigen in all tests.

ELECTRON MICROSCOPY

Four millilitres of the clarified supernatant were centrifuged at 50 000 rev/min (249 000 g) for one hour in a Beckman centrifuge (Spinco swinging rotor SW 65 L Ti). The pellet was resuspended in 0·5 ml distilled water. Electron microscope grids, covered by a formvar membrane, were placed on a drop of the suspension for 15 min. After the virus had adsorbed to the membranes and they had dried
they were rinsed four times in a drop of saline, being blotted after each dip, and were then negatively stained with 2% (w/v) uranyl acetate for 15 seconds. After drying the grids were examined by a 201 Philips electron microscope.

**Immune Electron Microscopy**

Four volumes of clarified supernatant were mixed with one volume of convalescent human serum diluted 1:8. This convalescent serum gave a CF titre of 1:128 when titrated against an extract of faeces known to contain human rotavirus. The mixture was left at room temperature for two hours and at 4°C overnight. It was then centrifuged at 50 000 rev/min (249 000 g) for one hour. The pellet was prepared for EM as described above.

**Sensitive Complement Fixation Microtechnique**

This technique has been described elsewhere (Zissis and Clinet, 1974). Briefly, it is a method using 0.25% sheep red blood cells, one optimal sensitising dose of haemolytic serum, and two HD100 (haemolytic dose) of complement. In the test itself 25 μl of clarified supernatant were mixed with 25 μl of human rotavirus antisera and 25 μl of complement (2 HD100). After standing overnight at 4°C 50 μl of sensitised red blood cells were added and the 'microtitre' plates were incubated at 37°C for one hour. Then they were centrifuged for 30 seconds at 3000 rev/min before the results were read. This gave clear buttons of red cells.

**Absorption of clarified supernatant with complement**

Sometimes the clarified supernatant was anticomplementary. In order to eliminate this problem the supernatant was absorbed with an equal volume of complement diluted to 4 HD100 for two hours at 4°C. The complement was then inactivated by heating in a water bath at 56°C for 30 min. The mixture was then ready to be used as antigen in the CF test.

**Absorption of clarified supernatant with fetal calf serum (FCS)**

With the same goal in mind as above 25 μl of clarified supernatant was mixed with 25 μl of fetal calf serum previously found to be free of calf antirotavirus antibody by testing with calf scours virus. Then serial dilutions were made starting with 25 μl of the mixture in 25 μl of buffer. The anticomplementarity (AC) of these different dilutions was tested.
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**Results**

**First Comparative Study**

In this study 149 clarified faecal suspensions were found negative by both techniques, 44 were found positive by EM, and 47 positive by CF. Later the three specimens that were initially detected only by CF were confirmed as positive by EM.

**Second Comparative Study**

In the light of the results of the first study we believed that CF could advantageous replace EM. Nevertheless, we wanted to see if it was possible to increase the sensitivity of detection of rotaviruses by using IEM. Contrary to expectation IEM was not more sensitive than the other two (Table 1). Indeed, five of the 20 stools examined yielded rotavirus, as was also demonstrated by the other methods. With IEM the particles aggregated but this did not render the diagnosis any easier nor did it increase the number of specimens found positive.

**Table 1** Comparative trial of detection of human RVL particles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stool</th>
<th>Rotavirus detected by:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, 5, 6, 8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Antigen titre expressed as dilution reciprocals in parentheses. EM = electron microscopy. IEM = immune electron microscopy. CF = complement fixation.

**Anticomplementarity (AC) of Clarified Supernatants**

As shown in Table 2, some supernatants were anticomplementary. To remedy this two absorption techniques were compared using six faecal extracts in which the anticomplementarity titre varied from 4 to 16 and which were negative by EM for rotavirus. The absorption with guinea-pig complement had no influence on AC. On the other hand, absorption with fetal calf serum eliminated AC in five out of six specimens without affecting the titre of the positive control (No. 39). The idea of a beneficial effect of fetal calf serum (FCS) on AC came from the empirical observation that faecal suspensions prepared for inoculation on cell cultures (in growth medium with 10% FCS) were consistently less
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1Cooke Microtiter R plates with V-bottom wells.
Table 2  Comparison of two different techniques for treating clarified supernatants to eliminate anticomplementarity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clarified supernatant No:</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>Non-absorbed supernatant</th>
<th>Supernatant absorbed with C(^+)</th>
<th>Supernatant absorbed with FCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>CFT</td>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>CFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACT = anticomplementarity titre. CFT = complement fixation titre. C\(^+\) = complement. FCS = fetal calf serum.

anticomplementary than faecal suspensions prepared in PBS for CF.

Measurement of viral excretion
In addition to detecting rotavirus, CF also allows viral excretion to be measured. We have shown in the Figure the titre of rotavirus antigen by CF from 56 stools that were confirmed positive by EM. The titre lies between 4 and 32 in 80% of cases. We have seen several cases of acute gastroenteritis (unpublished data) in which a titre of \(> 64\) was readily obtained at the climax of viral excretion and which diminished rapidly in succeeding days.

Serum from three sources may be used to detect rotavirus antigen. (1) rabbit antiserum (against Nebraska calf diarrhoea virus (NCDV); (2) calf convalescent serum; or (3) a human serum if the CF antibody titre is high enough. Human serum can easily be obtained by screening sera from children aged 1-3 years with the aid of calf antigen (NCDV) if human rota antigen is not available.

Sera with titres \(> 128\) are not uncommon. By using these at dilutions of 1:16 or 1:32 non-specific reactions were avoided. We found that sera of human origin gave better results and that the rabbit antiserum must be used with caution since the anticomplementary titre is close to the antibody titre. Calf convalescent serum was also suitable, but the antigen titres obtained were two to four times lower than with human serum.

Discussion
Of the three methods (EM, IEM, CF) of detecting rotavirus we prefer CF because (1) its specificity is high; (2) the preparation of the antigen is much simpler, since only a clarified supernatant absorbed with fetal calf serum is required; (3) its objectivity is greater and the diagnosis does not depend on skill in finding particles, as with the EM. Furthermore, CF has a distinct advantage in that it can measure the amount of virus excreted in the stools.

Two of the minor limitations of CF are that the detection of the antigen necessitates using a human serum, or an antiserum against NCDV, and sometimes the supernatant of the faecal suspension is anticomplementary; this can be eliminated with remarkable ease by adding an equal volume of fetal calf serum. Whatever the technical problems, we believe they are fewer than those encountered in the other methods. On the other hand, the advantages (reliability, speed of execution, and the ready availability of the materials) are undeniable and make CF a tool accessible to all diagnostic virology laboratories.

We thank Dr D. Dalton for advice and kind collaboration in preparing this paper.
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