Interference of cefoxitin in the creatinine estimation and its clinical relevance
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SUMMARY Serum creatinine and creatinine clearance were measured in 10 healthy volunteers before and after an intravenous injection of 2 g cefoxitin sodium. Results were compared with in vitro work which demonstrated a positive interference by cefoxitin in the Jaffé reaction, the routine laboratory method of creatinine measurement. The serum creatinine estimation should be delayed until at least 2 hours after cefoxitin administration. The creatinine clearance may appear to be falsely high.

Several of the cephalosporin antibiotics have been shown to react in the same manner as creatinine in the Jaffé test, the commonly used laboratory method for estimating creatinine in serum and urine (Swain and Briggs, 1977). Such antibiotics include cephaloglycin, cephalothin, cefaloridine, and cepachetirile, but the effect is most marked with the new cephamycin, cefoxitin (Mefoxin). The Jaffé reaction (DiGiorgio, 1974) depends on the interaction of creatinine and an alkaline solution of sodium picrate to produce a red-coloured compound, which is measured photometrically. The method is non-specific as many substances present in normal human serum and urine may contribute to the colour formation (Butler, 1975). The nature of the colour complex formed is unknown, and hence the mechanism of interference by cephalosporins and other substances is not understood.

Studies in animals (Lawson et al., 1972; Linton et al., 1972) and in man (Foord, 1970; Carling et al., 1975) have shown certain of the cephalosporins, notably cefaloridine, to be nephrotoxic. This effect is usually observed when high-dosage regimes are used and is potentiated by the presence of previous renal impairment and the use of potent diuretics. Evidence of the potentiation of aminoglycoside-induced nephrotoxicity when cephalosporins are used in combination is controversial (Gaya et al., 1975; Giamarellou et al., 1977). Cefoxitin, however, has been shown to be free of nephrotoxicity when used in moderate doses and in patients with mildly impaired renal function (Fillastre et al., 1978; Trollfors et al., 1978).

When cephalosporins are used it may be important to monitor closely renal function. Serum creatinine and creatinine clearance are commonly used clinical tests. The in vitro studies of Swain and Briggs (1977) suggest that creatinine estimations might give a false impression of renal function when cefoxitin is being administered. This study was designed to investigate this possibility by a combined in vitro and in vivo study using human volunteers.

Method

Sera from a number of healthy individuals were pooled, and the creatinine value was estimated. The creatinine levels were re-estimated after spiking aliquots of this serum with cefoxitin to final concentrations of 100, 250, 500, and 1000 mg/l. Similarly pooled human urine had creatinine estimations performed unspiked and spiked with 1000, 2500, 5000, and 10 000 mg/l of cefoxitin.

Ten volunteers, six men and four women aged 21 to 35 years, mean weight 67 kg, were studied over two consecutive days. The volunteers had normal full blood counts, biochemical profiles, and creatinine levels. They had no history of allergy or renal disease and were not taking concurrent medication (other than the contraceptive pill).

On day 1 (control day), after complete voiding...
of urine, an 8-hour urine collection was started. At 2 hours blood was drawn from an antecubital vein. Both blood and urine samples were sent for creatinine estimation and the creatinine clearance was calculated.

On day 2, 2 g of cefoxitin sodium (Merck Sharp and Dohme, Hoddesdon, UK) in 10 ml sterile water was given to each volunteer over 2-3 minutes into an antecubital vein. No pain was experienced at the injection site. Blood was drawn from the same needle into another syringe immediately after drug administration (time 0 minutes) and thereafter from the opposite antecubital fossa at 5 minutes, 2 hours and 4 hours. An 8-hour urine collection (starting after cefoxitin had been administered) was taken as for day 1. All samples were sent for creatinine and also cefoxitin estimation.

Throughout the study volunteers were on free diets, avoided excessive physical exertion, and were encouraged to drink approximately 1.5 litres of fluid over the 8 hours in order to assist standardisation of the urine volume collected.

All serum and urine creatinine estimations were by the Jaffé reaction by the standard AutoAnalyzer 1 procedure (Technicon Methodology N116), which incorporates a dialysis step.

The standard plate diffusion technique, using *Bacillus subtilis* as indicator organism and 'Oxoid' antibiotic No. 1 media, pH 7.2, was used for the cefoxitin estimations after making appropriate dilutions of the serum and urine samples.

**Results and discussion**

The effect on the creatinine level of adding cefoxitin to normal serum and urine is shown in the Figure. From this the mean creatinine equivalence of 1000 mg/l of cefoxitin was found to be 152 μmol/l. In urine, the creatinine equivalence of 1000 mg/l of cefoxitin was 155 μmol/l.

Values for serum creatinine are shown in Table 1. Student's paired *t* test was used to compare the values on day 2 with those on day 1 of the study. A highly significant increase was noted at 0 and 5 minutes but at 2 and 4 hours the differences were not significant. Urine volumes passed over 8 hours on day 1 (mean 1281 ml ± SD 473 ml) and day 2 (1047 ml ± SD 463 ml) showed no significant
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**Figure.** Effect of differing concentrations of cefoxitin upon the apparent serum creatinine or apparent urine creatinine estimation.
difference. Of the administered dose of cefoxitin 70-5% was excreted in the urine over the 8-hour period. The mean total urinary creatinine output over 8 hours for day 1 was 3800 µmol (SD 1003), and the apparent mean total output for day 2 was 7028 µmol (SD 2182), which is a highly significant increase (p < 0.01). Creatinine clearances and cefoxitin levels were determined, and mean values are shown in Table 2. The creatinine clearances calculated using the 2 and 4 hour serum samples were significantly different from the control day value.

Table 1 Serum creatinine values for 10 volunteers (µmol/l) on day 1 (control) and day 2 (at times shown after 2 g cefoxitin intravenously)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volunteer</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>0 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = not significant

Table 2 Means and standard deviations of creatinine clearance values (ml/min) for 10 volunteers using serum creatinine estimations at times shown and the serum cefoxitin levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>0 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean creatinine clearance</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value compared with day 1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean serum cefoxitin levels day 2 (µg/ml)</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = not significant

If the in vivo serum creatinine equivalence of cefoxitin is calculated from the difference in mean creatinine levels for day 1 at 2 hours and those on day 2 at 0 and 5 minutes, values of 170 and 196 µmol respectively per gram of cefoxitin are obtained. These values compare favourably with the in vitro value of 204 µmol/g of cefoxitin found by Swain and Briggs (1977) and close to the result of our own in vitro estimation quoted above. However, equating the mean increase in total apparent urine creatinine output (3228 µmol) to the mean urine cefoxitin level (1336 µmol) results in a creatinine equivalence of 2416 µmol/g of cefoxitin, that is, of the order of 10 times greater than the serum or urine cefoxitin-creatinine equivalent.

Serum creatinine has been shown to be remarkably constant for the same individual (Haugen and Blegen, 1953; 1955; Sirota et al., 1950) within the limits ± 10 µmol/l and only slightly affected by diet (Annino and Relman, 1959). Similarly, urinary creatinine output does not vary from day to day, is independent of the volume of diuresis, and only slightly increased by diet and exercise (Van Pilsum and Seljeskog, 1958). In our study, apart from diet which has only a minimal effect when a high-protein intake occurs, these potential influences were standardised. Consequently, any variation in the creatinine studies of day 1 and day 2 must be attributed to the influence of cefoxitin.

The mean serum creatinine at time 0 minutes simulates the situation of unknowingly withdrawing blood for creatinine at the time of drug administration, thereby increasing the apparent serum creatinine by 159 µmol/l. At 5 minutes, which corresponds to peak serum cefoxitin levels (188 µg/ml), the serum creatinine is increased by 37 µmol/l. Since the half-life of cefoxitin is fairly short, 40-60 minutes (Schrogie et al., 1978), the levels have fallen by 2 hours so that no significant effect on the serum creatinine is found.

The urine total creatinine outputs were considerably increased, out of proportion to the observed in vitro creatinine-like reaction of cefoxitin. This effect is difficult to explain. An increase in endogenous creatinine production from muscle would be reflected in serum levels and is therefore unlikely. It is possible that cefoxitin increases renal tubular secretion of creatinine, or, alternatively, perhaps a microbially inactive metabolite of cefoxitin in urine exerts a greater creatinine-like effect. This latter explanation is unlikely as the antibiotic is said to be excreted unchanged (Schrogie et al., 1978). The tubular secretion of creatinine is very low and could not be expected to account for the observation. It is possible that as urine is being formed a chemically active compound is produced which further interferes with the in vivo measurement of creatinine. Whatever the mechanism, the consequences are clear, namely, a wide variation in creatinine clearance, depending on the timing of serum sample used in the estimation. Interestingly, creatinine clearance based on the use of serum
Interference of cefoxitin in the creatinine estimation and its clinical relevance

samples at 0 and 5 minutes was within the normal range, this being due to the plasma and urine creatinine-reactivity of cefoxitin tending to cancel each other in the calculation of clearance.

Creatinine clearance studies were carried out over an 8-hour period using a single dose of cefoxitin. However, since the antibiotic is usually given throughout the 24-hour period at 8-hourly intervals, its effect on creatinine excretion would be expected to continue throughout the day. The 8-hour creatinine clearance is, therefore, likely to be representative of the more usual 24-hour clearance measurement.

In conclusion, cefoxitin in therapeutic doses exerts a profound effect on creatinine studies, as measured by the Jaffé method, in normal human volunteers. Creatinine clearance becomes unreliable as an index of renal function in patients on cefoxitin. Serum creatinine may still be used provided the sample for analysis is withdrawn longer than 2 and preferably 4 hours after administration of the drug; this might not apply in renal impairment where accumulation of the drug occurs. Creatinine clearance estimations should not be relied on clinically. By inference, other cephalosporins, which have rather less creatinine-like reactivity in vitro, might exert less, though still clinically significant effects. In this situation, we recommend that an alternative assessment of renal function should be employed.
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