Accidental transplantation of bronchial carcinoma from a cadaver donor to two recipients of renal allografts
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SUMMARY Malignancy was transferred inadvertently to two patients, each of whom received a renal transplant from a cadaver donor who was found at necropsy to have a small, clinically silent carcinoma of lung. Both recipients died with metastatic bronchial carcinoma of the same histological type as the donor's tumour. The literature on transplanted malignancy is reviewed.

It is generally accepted that recipients of transplanted organs are at greater risk of acquiring certain types of malignant disease compared with the age-matched general population. Several factors are involved in post-transplantation oncogenesis, and these include the use of immunosuppressive drugs. Three categories of malignancy in transplant recipients are recognised. The most common is that which arises de novo, usually in the form of malignant lymphoma or skin cancer. In a follow-up study of renal transplant recipients, Kinlen et al. have reported a 60-fold increase in the incidence of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and an excess of squamous-cell carcinoma of skin and mesenchymal tumours.

The second category relates to transplant recipients who are suffering from primary malignancy at the time of transplantation or who have been treated recently for malignant disease. The outcome in these cases depends upon a number of variables, especially the site and extent of the existing cancer and the time interval between cancer removal and transplantation; according to Penn, 38% of 164 patients showed recurrence or metastasis of the original tumour and 6% developed de novo tumours. The prognosis was particularly poor in patients given liver transplantation.

The third category of malignancy, and the one with which we are concerned in this report, is that acquired by patients who receive allografts from donors who have cancer, either overt or undetected, at the time of donation. This is a rare but well-recognised complication of transplantation, and Penn, drawing on a potential of over 20,000 allograft recipients throughout the world, has collected 22 examples of cancer transmitted in this way.

Data on adequately documented cases, including the current study, are presented in Table 1. The situation of the donor's primary growth was extrarenal in 15, and bronchial carcinoma was the most frequent source. The donor kidney was the site of the primary tumour in five cases; two donors had frank renal carcinomas, and the recipients of these organs died of disseminated renal carcinoma. In three other donor kidneys, a small nodule in the cortex was biopsied and interpreted as a renal carcinoma of low-grade malignancy; partial or complete transplant nephrectomy was performed, and all three recipients survived with no evidence of recurrence. In all other cases the donated kidneys were of normal appearance to the naked eye. Disseminated malignancy was the cause of death, or a strong contributing factor, in 11 recipients, and the average survival time for these patients was 12 months after transplantation.

Both cadaver kidneys from the same donor were transplanted to two different recipients on three occasions; in two of the pairings transplanted malignancy was acquired by only one recipient, the other remaining well and free of cancer. We describe the development of metastatic carcinoma in two recipients of renal allografts from a cadaver donor who had a small bronchial carcinoma. We can find
Table 1  Review of the literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Site of primary tumour</th>
<th>Metastases</th>
<th>Gross appearance of kidney</th>
<th>Extent of transmitted malignancy</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Martin et al.</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Bronchus</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Bronchial carcinoma in allograft and widespread metastases</td>
<td>Death 5 months after transplantation</td>
<td>Death at 8 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McIntosh et al.</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Pyriform fossa (epidermoid carcinoma)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Epidermoid carcinoma in allograft and widespread metastases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLean et al.</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Micrometastases of mammary carcinoma in allograft removed at 5 days</td>
<td>Death from septicaemia at 3 weeks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson et al.</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Bronchus</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Bronchial carcinoma in allograft and regional lymph nodes at 18 months. Allograft removed</td>
<td>Residual carcinoma disappeared after cessation of immunotherapy. Alive and well at 2 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muiznieks et al.</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Thyroid</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Micrometastases of thyroid carcinoma in allograft at 7 days. Allograft removed</td>
<td>Death at 17 months from natural renal carcinoma. No metastatic thyroid carcinoma at necropsy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zukoski et al.</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Metastatic hepatocarcinoma in allograft and lungs at 3 years. Allograft removed</td>
<td>Pulmonary metastases disappeared completely 4 months after cessation of immunotherapy. Died postoperatively after further transplant. No evidence of tumour at necropsy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunner et al.</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Living</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Renal carcinoma</td>
<td>Allograft rejected but transmitted tumour continued to grow and invade locally</td>
<td>Death from renal failure due to polycystic kidneys at 15 weeks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerilli et al.</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Living</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Small renal carcinoma of low-grade malignancy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Allograft removed at 2 days. Alive and well at 17 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeremy et al.</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Malignant melanoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Malignant melanoma in allograft and widespread metastases</td>
<td>Death at 11 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanari et al.</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Bronchus</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal (small metastasis in contralateral kidney)</td>
<td>2 No evidence of malignancy</td>
<td>Bronchial carcinoma in allograft and regional lymph nodes</td>
<td>Alive and well at 2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mocelini</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Living</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Normal (renal carcinoma in nephrectomy scar 18 months after donation)</td>
<td>1 Malignant melanoma in allograft and widespread metastases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandina</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Bronchus</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Bronchial carcinoma in allograft, lymph nodes, and liver</td>
<td>Death at 10 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baird et al.</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Small nodule found to be renal carcinoma</td>
<td>Small renal carcinoma in allograft widely excised</td>
<td>Allograft rejected at 44 days. No residual tumour. Well at 18 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson and Penn</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Living</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Small nodule found to be renal carcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoma removed by partial nephrectomy at 3 months</td>
<td>Alive and well at 3 years. No evidence of residual or metastatic tumour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Accidental transplantation of bronchial carcinoma from a cadaver donor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Site of primary tumour</th>
<th>Metastases</th>
<th>Gross appearance of kidney</th>
<th>Extent of transmitted malignancy</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barnes and Fox</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>Cadaver (no necropsy)</td>
<td>Bronchus</td>
<td>Not recorded</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Widespread metastases of bronchial carcinoma</td>
<td>Death at 5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>uncertain bronchus</td>
<td>Not recorded</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1 Micrometastases in allograft biopsy and widespread metastases at 5 months</td>
<td>Death at 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gokel et al.</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Choriocarcinoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Microtrastases in allograft and high serum levels of human chorionic gonadotrophin. Allograft removed and immunosuppression stopped</td>
<td>HCG levels decreased and disappeared at 8 weeks. Committed suicide at 7 months. No evidence of malignancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peters and Stuard</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Malignant melanoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Malignant melanoma in allograft and widespread metastases</td>
<td>Deat death at 15 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present report</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cadaver</td>
<td>Bronchus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1 Bronchial carcinoma in allograft and adrenal glands 2 Bronchial carcinoma in allograft and widespread metastases</td>
<td>Deat death at 20 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

no record of a similar occurrence involving both recipients.

The donor

A 51-year-old man was admitted unconscious, having sustained a severe head injury with demonstrable cranial fractures. He failed to regain consciousness and died three days after admission. The relatives gave permission for removal of the kidneys for purposes of transplantation, and tests showed satisfactory histocompatibility between the donor and two prospective recipients (Table 2).

Necropsy confirmed the presence of multiple fractures of the skull, a large subdural haematoma, and small concussional haemorrhages in the brain. A small, ill-defined firm nodule, measuring 1.5 cm in diameter, was found at the periphery of the upper lobe of the right lung. As there was no obvious evidence of primary or metastatic malignancy this incidental finding was considered at the time to be a healed tuberculous focus, and it was removed for histological examination. Several days later sections of the nodule were examined microscopically, and these showed an anaplastic bronchial carcinoma of mixed fusiform and pleomorphic cell type (Fig. 1). There was a background of necrotic fibrous tissue with much carbon pigment, and the overall appearance suggested that the tumour had arisen in an inactive tuberculous lesion ('scar' carcinoma of lung). Although the growth was small it showed bizarre giant nuclei and considerable mitotic activity, indicating a highly malignant potential. This finding was communicated to the surgeons responsible for the

Table 2  Histocompatibility tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood group</th>
<th>HLA group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donor</td>
<td>0+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient 1</td>
<td>0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient 2</td>
<td>0+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1  The tumour in the donor's lung. × 280.
care of the donor but by a regrettable oversight the renal unit and transplant surgeon were not informed. The donor had been a moderately heavy cigarette smoker for many years.

Recipient 1

A 53-year-old housewife presented with end-stage renal failure and hyperparathyroidism in 1973 and haemodialysis was started in 1974. In December 1977 renal transplantation was carried out using the donor’s right kidney. She was immunosuppressed with azathioprine and a reducing dose of prednisolone from 200 mg daily initially to 10 mg daily at three months.

She remained well for 13 months but had to be readmitted with deteriorating renal function. Intravenous urography and subsequent operation showed extensive obstruction of the donor ureter and this was bypassed with a loop of small bowel. A biopsy specimen from the transplant kidney showed features consistent with chronic rejection and also a patchy infiltrate of abnormal pleomorphic cells suggestive of neoplasia. Her condition deteriorated and she died 15 months after receiving the transplant.

At necropsy the transplanted kidney was enlarged and globular in shape. The cortex was swollen and pale and it contained two tumour-like lesions, the larger measuring 4 cm in diameter. Several of the papillae were necrotic, and the renal pelvis was dilated and acutely inflamed.

Both natural kidneys were small, the left organ weighing 36 g and the right 45 g. The capsules were thickened and the cortical surfaces were finely granular. Other salient features were marked left ventricular hypertrophy and greatly enlarged parathyroid glands, one of which was calcified. The medulla of both adrenal glands contained deposits of tumour-like tissue similar to those seen in the renal cortex of the transplanted kidney.

Histological examination of the neoplastic tissue in the transplant kidney and in both adrenal glands showed an anaplastic tumour composed of fusiform and pleomorphic cells with much nuclear atypism and mitotic activity (Fig. 2).

Recipient 2

A 39-year-old man presented in 1974 with end-stage renal failure and hypertension which necessitated haemodialysis. He received the donor’s other kidney in December 1977. Immunosuppression therapy was the same as that given to recipient 1. A brain abscess developed, and this responded to antibiotic therapy,
but afterwards there was a gradual deterioration of
graft function. A transplant arteriogram showed
multiple stenosis of the major branch arteries and
extensive occlusion and non-filling of the smaller
arteries compatible with chronic rejection (see
Downes\textsuperscript{20} for further details). Haemodialysis was
restarted and at the same time azathioprine was dis-
continued and the steroid dosage was reduced. The
transplanted organ was removed on 7 June 1979.

The kidney measured $10 \times 6.5 \times 7.5$ cm and
contained numerous ill-defined tumour masses of
greyish-yellow colour in both the cortex and the
medulla. The largest mass measured 8 cm in main
dimension and it projected outwards through the
capsule. Histological examination showed multiple
tumours composed for the most part of sheets of
undifferentiated pleomorphic cells and, in addition,
collections of fusiform and polygonal cells with
bizarre nuclei (Fig. 3); the tumour invaded glo-
meruli, tubules, and blood vessels including the renal
vein. Non-neoplastic areas showed the features of
chronic rejection.

Lesions with the typical radiological features of
metastatic deposits were found in the sixth left rib on
the postero-anterior film and in the upper lobe of the
left lung on tomography (Fig. 4). After the cessation
of steroid therapy the lung lesion regressed, and it
disappeared completely six weeks later (Fig. 5). The
patient’s general health deteriorated, however, and he
died on 5 August 1979, 20 months after transplanta-
tion.

At necropsy a large mass of malignant tissue was
found at the site of the removed transplant kidney,
and there was extensive metastatic involvement of
the peritoneum in the form of multiple tumour
nodules measuring up to 4 cm in diameter. The liver
contained two small metastatic deposits, and there
was also a deposit in the sixth left rib. There was no
evidence of residual metastasis in the upper lobe of
the left lung. The natural kidneys were severely
reduced in size and consisted of a narrow rim of
renal tissue surrounding excess of pelvic fat. The
cortical surfaces were slightly granular.

Microscopic examination of the residual tumour
at the transplant nephrectomy site and of the de-
posits in the peritoneum, liver, and sixth rib showed
the same histological features as were seen in sections
of the transplant kidney removed previously from
this patient.

When sections of the tumour were compared with
those of the other recipient’s tumour a resemblance
in cell morphology was noted, and this similarity
prompted a review of the necropsy findings in the
donor. It then became apparent that the tumours in
the donor’s lung and in both allografts were of the same nature, and it seemed logical to conclude that micrometastases in the donor’s kidneys, already present at the time of transplantation, had grown uninhibited in the two immunosuppressed recipients.

Discussion

There can be little doubt that the recipients’ tumours were acquired by implantation of cancer cells via the allografts. Sections were circulated to several experts in the field of tumour pathology, and there was general agreement that the histological appearance of all three tumours was compatible with anaplastic bronchial carcinoma when allowance was made for the fact that the tumours were growing in three different hosts, two of whom had received immunosuppressive therapy.

Patients dying of malignant disease confined to the brain are the only cancer subjects who should be considered as sources of organs for transplantation. Some donors, however, will have small, clinically undetectable carcinomas, and tumours liable to metastasise systemically from early primaries are among those most likely to cause concern; such tumours include bronchial carcinoma, mammary carcinoma, malignant melanoma, and choriocarcinoma.

In order to exclude the possibility of unsuspected malignancy, necropsy should be carried out on cadaver donors as soon as possible after removal of the kidneys. If a suspicious lesion is encountered, rapid histological diagnosis should be obtained. In addition, the kidneys should be inspected carefully by the transplant surgeon; micrometastases will not, of course, be detected in this way, but if a tumour-like nodule is observed it should be excised for frozen-section examination.

When a kidney has already been transplanted and there is suspicion that cancer cells may have been implanted with it, steps may be taken to reduce the possibility of the development of metastases. The suspect kidney may be removed, but the timing of removal will depend on a number of factors: these will include the age, clinical status, and attitude of the recipient, the degree of rejection already taking place, the nature of the tumour in the donor, and any evidence of its presence in the graft. It should be remembered that not all recipients of allografts from cancer patients will suffer the fate of our patients: of 65 recipients of organs from donors who were known to be suffering from some form of extracerebral cancer at the time of donation, approximately one-third developed neoplasia of the same histological type as in the original donor; the remainder showed no evidence of transmitted cancer. This can be attributed to absence of cancer cells in the allograft or rapid rejection of any foreign neoplastic clones that may have been introduced. In theory, the latter reaction would be more likely if there was poor histocompatibility between the donor and recipient tissues.

Transmitted tumour in the host may regress and disappear after the graft has been removed and immunosuppressive therapy discontinued. This satisfactory result has been the subject of reports by Wilson et al.,5 Zukoski et al.,9 and Gokel et al.18 (see Table 1); partial regression of tumour occurred in our second patient.

These and other factors must be weighed up by the clinician and the patient together when deciding on the action to be taken. It may be that the patient will elect to take the calculated risk of keeping the allograft in the hope that the transplanted kidney is cancer-free or that his own defences will eliminate any cancer cells that may have been engrafted.21

Our findings throw light on the behaviour of carcinoma in respect of embolisation of tumour cells. The lesion in the donor’s lung measured 1·5 cm in its main axis, but histological examination showed that most of the nodule was composed of fibro-caseous tissue and that the cancer itself was of little more than microscopic proportions. Subsequent events showed that even this minute tumour had already metastasised.

References

4 Martin DC, Rusini M, Rosen VJ. Cadaveric renal homotransplantation with inadvertent transplantation of carcinoma. JAMA 1965;192:82-4.
5 McIntosh DA, McPhaul JJ, Peterson EW, Smith JR, Cook FE, Humphreys JW. Homotransplantation of a cadaver neoplasm and a renal homograft. JAMA 1965;192:1171-3.
Accidental transplantation of bronchial carcinoma from a cadaver donor


Requests for reprints to: Dr GB Forbes, Department of Pathology, Kent and Canterbury Hospital, Canterbury, Kent CT1 3NG.
Accidental transplantation of bronchial carcinoma from a cadaver donor to two recipients of renal allografts.

G B Forbes, M J Goggin, F E Dische, I T Saeed, V Parsons, M J Harding, M Bewick and C T Rudge

doi: 10.1136/jcp.34.2.109

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://jcp.bmj.com/content/34/2/109

These include:

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/