Demonstration of papilloma virus particles in cervical and vaginal scrape material: a report of 10 cases
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SUMMARY The finding of virus particles by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) in fixed cervical and vaginal scrape material and their identification as papilloma viruses by negative staining is described.

The colposcopic, cytological, and histological appearances in a group of 10 patients in whom virus particles were seen are discussed and the possibility considered of an association between papilloma virus infection and the development of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) and multifocal intraepithelial neoplasia of the female lower genital tract.

Using thin sectioning and negative staining, virus particles, considered to be papilloma viruses, have been demonstrated in vulval warts by transmission electron microscopy. Similar virus particles have been seen in thin sections of cervical condylomatous lesions using transmission electron microscopy. The association of condylomatous lesions of the cervix with intraepithelial neoplasia has been reported by a number of workers and virus particles have been demonstrated in non-papillary lesions which were found to be flat condylomas on histological section. These cases showed cytological features seen in patients with clinical condylomata and cells removed from cytology smears have been found to contain virus particles.

Papilloma virus particles have been identified in material scraped from the surface of vulval warts but as far as we are aware they have not been described in cervical or vaginal scrape material. This report describes the finding of virus particles in fixed cervical and vaginal scrape material by transmission electron microscopy and the confirmation by negative staining of similar scrape material, in two cases, that these were papilloma viruses. The association with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia is also considered.

Materials and methods

Since 1975 cellular samples for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) have been collected into glutaraldehyde or similar fixative from most of the patients who attended the colposcopy clinic at St Mary's Hospital, Manchester and during 1979 additional cellular samples have been collected into saline. Samples were prepared for TEM as previously described.

Material collected into saline was stored at −20°C and only examined if virus particles were seen in fixed material. In two such cases a saline sample was available and, after freeze-thawing several times to rupture the cells, the sample was centrifuged to remove large cell debris at 3000 rpm (3000 g) for 30 minutes. Two ml of the supernate were further centrifuged at 30 000 rpm (65 000 g) for one hour in an ultracentrifuge (MSE Superspeed 65). The supernate was discarded and the remaining pellet resuspended in two to three drops of distilled water. A drop of resuspended pellet was dried on to a formvar-carbon coated grid, stained with 3% phosphotungstic acid (pH 6-5) and examined in either an AEI EM 801 or EM 6B calibrated electron microscope.

In two cases where virus particles were seen, histological blocks of formalin-fixed biopsy material were dewaxed and processed for electron microscopy. The areas required were cut from the blocks, excess wax removed and the tissues placed in an incubator at 60°C in order to melt most of the remaining wax. This process was completed by immersion in xylene and after rehydration through decreasing strengths of alcohol to water, the specimen was processed for electron microscopy as previously described for cellular material. Thick sections (1 μ) were cut,
stained with 1% toluidine blue and examined by light microscopy to confirm that suitable tissue had been selected before cutting ultra-thin sections for examination with the electron microscope.

Results

From 1975 to 1979 (inclusive), cellular samples for TEM were collected from 570 patients and during 1979 paired samples were collected into saline from 158 patients. The material from 50 patients has so far been screened by TEM for intranuclear virus particles and these were selected at random from the total collection. Virus particles have been seen in 10 cases, nine were cervical scrape specimens and one was collected from the vaginal vault. In two patients (cases 6 and 9 in Table 4) material collected into saline was available and negative staining in both cases demonstrated virus particles morphologically compatible with papilloma virus.

MORPHOLOGY

TEM: In thin sections the nuclear particles seen in the electron micrographs were approximately 40 nm in diameter. They consisted of a dense core surrounded by a less dense halo (Fig. 1). Very few cells in each sample contained virus particles; sometimes only one cell in up to 50 examined. Virus particles were seen in the cytoplasm as well as in the nucleus in occasional cells with very degenerate, fragmented nuclei, otherwise two types of nucleus containing virus particles were observed. In one group the nuclei showed margination of chromatin with large central clear areas in which virus particles could be seen easily (Fig. 2) while in the other group the nuclei were electron opaque with large dense masses of chromatin. In this type of electron dense nucleus virus particles were more numerous and closely packed which made them more difficult to see (Figs 3a and b).

Negative staining: Virus particles were found in both of the cases examined (Fig. 4). These were morphologically identical to papilloma viruses and measured 50-62 nm in diameter in a calibrated electron microscope.

CLINICAL DETAILS

The colposcopic findings, cytological features and histological reports in the 10 patients with intranuclear virus particles (group A) and in the 40 patients without intranuclear virus particles in cellular scrape material (group B) are shown in Tables 1, 2 and 3. It can be seen that the groups are similar. It is not unexpected that proportionately more patients in group A show features which suggest a virus infection, for example eight of the 10 patients in group A show koilocytosis in tissue as compared with only 16 of the patients in group B. Four cases of invasive carcinoma occurred in group
Fig. 3  (a) Case 10. Electron micrograph showing nucleus with large masses of chromatin and dense concentration of virus particles. Uranyl acetate and lead citrate × 25 000. (b) Case 6. Nucleus with large masses of chromatin and numerous virus particles showing crystalline array. Uranyl acetate and lead citrate × 25 000

B, two of these were squamous cell carcinoma with nothing to suggest an overt virus infection, the third had a microinvasive carcinoma with koilocytosis in adjacent non-invasive epithelium and the fourth patient had an adenoid cystic carcinoma of the cervix with a separate condyloma of the cervix.

The age range was also similar; in group A this was 18 to 55 years and in group B 17 to 69 years.

The patients in group A are considered in greater depth and details of each case are given in Table 4. The following observations are of interest:

1. Virus particles were seen in cervical tissue from cases 1 and 8. Fig. 5 shows part of the electronmicrograph from case 1 and virus particles are seen with crystalline array. This patient was immuno-suppressed having had a renal transplant and she had a large condyloma of the cervix; there was no evidence of CIN. Case 8 presented with koilocytosis in the smear together with dyskaryotic cells. Examination of the tissue showed CIN I, II and III with areas of koilocytosis but no condyloma. Virus particles were seen in superficial cells in a section of the epithelium reported as CIN II. As in the case of
cellular material very few cells in the sections contained virus particles, in case 8 three tissue blocks were examined before a nucleus containing virus particles was found.

2. Koilocytosis was not always seen in the cervical smear even when a clinically obvious condyloma was present. This is illustrated by cases 1 and 2 and the observation is explained when sections of the lesion are examined. In both cases koilocytic cells were in the mid-zone of the epithelium and there was marked parakeratosis at the surface (Fig. 6). When koilocytic cells were prominent in the cervical smear these were found to be at the surface in the tissue sections. This is seen in Figs 7a and 7b.

Table 3  Histological reports. (The most important lesions seen in colposcopic biopsy, cone biopsy, or hysterectomy are indicated)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Group A (10)</th>
<th>Group B (40)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate biopsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condyloma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koilocytosis in tissue</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*CIN I</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*CIN II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*CIN III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive carcinoma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia.

Table 4  Analysis of cases with intranuclear virus particles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No. and age</th>
<th>Colposcopic findings</th>
<th>Cytological features</th>
<th>Histological reports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dykeratosis*</td>
<td>K A†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 32 Renal transplant</td>
<td>Florid papillary condylomata; previous vulval warts</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 39 Pregnant</td>
<td>+ + with mosaic pattern; multiple warts (papillary condylomata) in fornices and vulval</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 20 Fibroadenoma</td>
<td>Flat, atypical transformation zone with multinucleation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Slight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 20 Pregnant</td>
<td>Flat, atypical transformation zone with multinucleation</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>Slight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 31 Pregnant</td>
<td>Peripheral raised area with multinucleation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Slight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 27 Pregnant</td>
<td>Atypical, flat transformation zone with focal hyperkeratosis</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ + with multinucleation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 9 26 Vulval warts 7 yrs</td>
<td>Hyperkeratotic, Schiller positive epithelium right side of vault</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* + = moderate  ++ = extensive  ± = very slight
† K A = koilocytic atypia

CIN = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia
(case 8) in which the epithelium shows mild to moderate dysplasia (CIN I-II) with surface koilocytosis.

3. Multiple viral warts occur elsewhere in the body and multiple condylomata, affecting the vulva and vagina as well as cervix are not unexpected (cases 1, 3, 4, 9 and 10) but it is of interest that this series includes two cases with multifocal intraepithelial neoplasia (cases 9 and 10).

The younger patient (case 10) had had intractable vulvar warts for seven years. She had failed to respond to a variety of treatments at another hospital and was referred to St Mary’s Hospital for simple vulvectomy when vulval biopsy showed severe dysplasia. Routine pre-operative colposcopy revealed no abnormality of the ectocervix but the endocervical canal and squamocolumnar junction could not be seen. The cervical smear contained immature dyskaryotic cells suggesting the presence of CIN III and this was confirmed by cone biopsy. Virus particles were seen in the cervical scrape material collected at the colposcopic examination.

The oldest patient in the group (case 9) had a hysterectomy in 1960 for carcinoma in situ of the cervix and, in 1970, a local excision of vulval carcinoma in situ. In 1976 the vulval lesion recurred and in April 1978 a fringe of warty hyperkeratotic lesions appeared at the introitus. By September 1978 the vaginal vault smear became abnormal and colposcopic examination of the vagina revealed an area of flat, hyperkeratotic, Schiller-positive epithelium on the right side involving the right cornu. This appearance persists and virus particles were seen in thin sections of scrape material taken from the vault in May 1979; these were identified as papilloma virus by negative staining.

Discussion

IDENTIFICATION OF VIRUS PARTICLES

Almeida, Howatson and Williams in 196212 reviewed the literature concerning the identification of virus particles in human skin warts and noted that a considerable range of particle diameters was reported by different authors who had examined ultra-thin sections stained by heavy metals. These measurements were consistently smaller than those made of negatively stained particles in aqueous wart extracts because of the shrinkage of tissue components, including virus particles, that occurs during processing; the diameter of virus particles can be reduced by 15 to 20% in this way. In previous reports of virus particles seen in cervical condylomatus lesions,2-4 9 10 the morphological features of the particles were considered to be compatible with papilloma viruses but accurate
Demonstration of papilloma virus particles in cervical and vaginal scrape material

measurements were not made using negatively stained material in a calibrated electron microscope. In two cases of the present series, accurate measurement of negatively stained viruses in a microscope calibrated with fixed catalase crystals showed that these were 50-62 nm (mean 57 nm) in diameter and consistent with the size of papilloma viruses. In addition, these negatively stained particles were larger than particle measurements made from ultra-thin sections of material from the same two patients. This result is in agreement with the findings of Almeida, Howatson and Williams.\textsuperscript{12}

CONCENTRATION OF VIRUS PARTICLES
In this investigation virus particles were not found in 40 of the 50 patients studied although more than 50\% of negative cases showed colposcopic, cytological or histological features which suggested a virus infection. When virus particles were seen only one or two virus-containing nuclei were present in each ultra-thin section. This is in agreement with the observations of Oriel and Almeida\textsuperscript{1} who pointed out that the concentration of virus particles was much lower in genital warts than in skin warts. These workers also commented on the scanty aggregates of

Fig. 7 (a) Case 8. Epithelium showing CIN I-II with surface koilocytosis. The nuclei are larger and more abnormal than those of the "simple" wart shown in Fig. 6. Haemotoxylin and eosin $\times$ 120. (b) Detail of surface koilocytosis. Haemotoxylin and eosin $\times$ 280
virus particles in infected nuclei and nuclei of this type, with margination of the chromatin, were seen in the present series but nuclei with dense concentrations of virus particles in crystalline array were also found. The paucity of virus particles in patients infected by the papilloma virus means that screening with TEM is a time-consuming procedure and not realistic as a routine investigation. If preliminary identification of infected nuclei, using the immunoperoxidase reaction and Human Papilloma Virus antiserum, becomes possible, the test should be more accurate and more truly reflect the incidence of papilloma virus infection of the cervix.

KOILOCYTOSIS AND DYSKERATOSIS
In 1976 Meisels and Fortin described the association of koilocytosis ("balloon cells") and dyskeratosis in a clean smear with condylomatous lesions of the cervix. Koilocytic cells have also been described in the cervical smear in the presence of non-condylomatous lesions in which virus particles have been seen. However, these cells are not always found in the cervical smear even when a clinical condyloma is present. In the present series it was noted that koilocytosis was seen in the cytological smear when there was surface koilocytosis of the epithelium. Dyskeratotic cells were seen in all specimens but these are not specific as they reflect parakeratosis in the tissue which can be seen in a variety of conditions. Multinucleation was a feature of seven of the 10 cases in this series and the presence of multinucleation with dyskeratosis would seem to be a useful indicator of virus infection in the absence of koilocytosis but, because of its association with other virus infections, not specific for the papilloma virus.

THE PAPILLOMA VIRUS AND INTRAEPITHELIAL NEOPLASIA
The association of tissue condylomata and intraepithelial neoplasia is not in doubt but it has not always been clear in these reports if condylomatous changes were seen in the intraepithelial neoplasia or in adjacent epithelium. However, Purola and Savia reported mild, moderate, and severe dyskeratosis showing some microscopic features of condyloma but without papillary formation. In the present series tissue koilocytosis was seen in sections in areas of CIN I and II (mild and moderate dysplasia) but at this early stage in the spectrum of intraepithelial neoplasia, transient changes due to virus infection could have been misdiagnosed. There is more support for the view that papilloma virus infection might be an aetiological factor in the development of cervical cancer when the association of persistent condylomata and multiple intraepithelial neoplasia is considered. Two of our cases fell into this category (cases 9 and 10) and similar cases are reported in the literature.

Leckie and Cotton describe an immunosuppressed patient who presented with clinical "warts" of the vulva and perineum and who was subsequently found to have carcinoma in situ of both the vulva and cervix. Another case of interest is described by Woodruff et al. (1969) the patient had multifocal neoplasia of the lower genital tract and, although the possibility of papilloma virus infection was not mentioned, the colposcopic appearance of the cervix resembled the flat, atypical condylomatous lesions from which we have demonstrated papilloma viruses.

Vulval studies are also important. Patients with vulval malignancy (both in situ and invasive disease) have shown a high incidence of associated neoplasia elsewhere in the genital tract ranging from 10 to 27% and there is also an association between condyloma acuminate and vulval malignancy. Josey et al. (1976) reported that when malignancy was associated with condyloma acuminate the patients were 11 to 15 years younger than women with cancer but no evidence of virus infection and Buscema et al. (1980) found that 20% of their patients with carcinoma in situ of the vulva had associated gross or microscopic condylomatous disease. In the first of these studies there was a higher incidence of condylomata with vulval carcinoma in situ than with invasive carcinoma suggesting that in many cases there is no progression; this may also apply in the cervix.

The role of papilloma virus infection in the development of intraepithelial neoplasia of the lower genital tract and finally invasive cancer is uncertain but there is circumstantial evidence to suggest that this could happen. It could be postulated that under special circumstances, natural or iatrogenic, cells infected by the papilloma virus may be triggered to become neoplastic. Evidence of infection with the papilloma virus could form the basis for selection of a group of women for careful follow-up using cytology, colposcopy and directed biopsy which, in time, might resolve some aspects of the natural history of cervical cancer.
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