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**SUMMARY** A six test biotyping system comprising fermentation of dulcitol, sorbose, raffinose, and 5-ketogluconate, motility and production of β-haemolysis was used to obtain biotype profiles for 514 strains of *Escherichia coli* isolated from the urinary tract. This profile was suffixed to the API-20E code, recorded when the strains were originally identified. An expanded and reliable biotyping system was thus created giving a greater number of possible biotypes than with either system alone. The sensitivity in terms of distinguishing the organisms studied was therefore greatly increased.

The use of O-serotyping in combination with biotyping is discussed. Biotyping can also be usefully supplemented by the determination of the eight commonest *E coli* O-serotypes. This is of value in many clinical situations where differentiation of organisms is vital to the proper analysis of results.

Typing of organisms is fundamental to the understanding of problems associated with urinary tract infections. For example it is important to distinguish between failure to eradicate the organism (relapse) and the presence of a new infection by a different organism (reinfection). Additionally, it is important to establish the relation of the infecting organism to periurethral colonisation and to its presence in the faeces. The credibility of existing theories rests squarely upon the accuracy and reproducibility of the typing methods used. A complete serological analysis (O, H, and K antigens) of *Escherichia coli* would provide an accurate identification. This is impossible in practice, however, because of the time involved and the cost of a full range of antisera. Nevertheless, efforts to distinguish strains of *E coli* have been made by using the eight commonest O-serotypes, but this has proved unsatisfactory. Previous studies have shown that only about 63% of domiciliary strains and 45% of hospital strains would be identified by this means since in many cases the organisms are auto-agglutinable or non-typable. Of the various typing systems available, study of the organisms' biological properties (“biotyping”) appears a useful method of identification. It is technically simple and combined with O-serotyping gives very precise identification if this is required. Thus biotyping and serotyping complement each other because experience shows that a particular O-serotype can often be represented by a number of different biotypes.

For a biotyping test to be maximally discriminatory 50% of strains must be positive and 50% negative and there are few simple tests that fulfil this basic criterion. However, for practical purposes, tests which are less than ideal have been shown to be suitable. Crichton and Old in a comprehensive study established a number of tests which were suitable and proved reliable for biotyping *E coli*. A few of these tests are included in the API-20E system (API Laboratory Products Ltd) for the identification of Enterobacteriaceae. Since in this laboratory all coliforms are identified by the API-20E system our approach was to make it the basis for further biotyping. Thus to the API code were added the results of six further biotyping tests in order to expand the profile.

**Material and methods**

**PATIENTS AND ORGANISMS**

Five hundred and fourteen strains of *E coli* were isolated from a total of 87 patients (85 women and 2 men) attending a urinary infection clinic over a period of three years. Two hundred and sixty strains were from mid-stream specimens of urine (MSU) from patients with a significant bacteriuria (defined as...
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10^9 organisms/ml urine) and 240 isolates from the periurethral area of women who had been observed to be especially prone to recurrent infections. Thirteen organisms were isolated from the faeces of infected patients and one strain from prostatic fluid.

BIOTYPING METHODS

API system

All E coli isolates were originally identified using the API-20E system following the manufacturer’s instructions and colour chart precisely. The organisms were suspended in sterile distilled water and the incubation period was for 24 h at 37°C.

Fermentation tests

Dulcitol, L-sorbose and D-raffinose (Southern Group Laboratories, Hither Green, London, UK) were dissolved at a concentration of 5 g/l in 5 ml amounts of peptone water with 2% Andrade’s indicator and sterilised at 10 lb psi for 10 min. Potassium 5-ketogluconate was prepared similarly but sterilised by filtration. One drop of a stock suspension (a single colony suspended in 5 ml peptone water to give a population of approximately 10^7 organisms/ml) was used to inoculate all tests. These fermentation tests were incubated at 37°C and read each day for three days. It should be stressed that any change in colour of the medium to red or pink was considered positive. As a result of experience gained from these daily readings it was decided that the best time for reading should be 48 h for dulcitol, sorbose and raffinose and 24 h for 5-ketogluconate.

Production of beta-haemolysis

A basal layer of 10 ml Columbia Agar Base (Oxoid Ltd) was overlaid with 10 ml of the same medium containing 7.5% defibrinated horse blood. The stock suspensions were streaked out and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. Any zone of beta-haemolysis around a well separated colony, viewed with transmitted light was considered positive.

Motility

The stock peptone water suspensions were incubated for 4–5 h at 37°C and motility investigated microscopically.

Results

For clarity, the results of coding strains with the API-20E system will be referred to as “API codes” and the results of fermentation tests, haemolysis and motility as “biotypes”.

API CODING

Five hundred and fourteen isolates were identified as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Test read at intervals (h)</th>
<th>Strains positive</th>
<th>% positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dulcitol</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbose</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raffinose</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-ketogluconate</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motility</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-haemolysis</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E coli using the API-20E system. Fifty-one different API codes were recorded. The majority of the strains (61%) were grouped by three common API codes. The remaining 200 organisms were distributed among 48 different codes (Table 1). The tests with the greatest biotyping potential were: sucrose-44% of strains positive, ornithine decarboxylase (68%), melibiose (88%), rhamnose (90%) and lysine decarboxylase (93%).

BIOTYPING

The majority (72%) of the 514 strains fermented dulcitol; 66% sorbose; 46% raffinose and 49% 5-ketogluconate. Most of the strains (66%) were motile and 22% were beta-haemolytic (Table 2). For ease of analysis we decided to code the six biotyping tests in two groups of three tests each with a single number for each group, following the system used for the API-20E. Thus, positive tests for dulcitol, sorbose and raffinose were coded 1, 2 and 4 respectively; similarly, 5-ketogluconate, motility and beta-haemolysis. Eighty percent of the E coli fell into 20 different biotypes (Table 3).

REPRODUCIBILITY OF THE SCHEME

Forty E coli were isolated from the MSU of 20 patients with a significant bacteriuria on two consecutive occasions before treatment was started. These organisms were identified and coded by the
API scheme and then biotyped. The results were not compared until the survey had been completed. All paired API codes for the 40 E coli tested were found to be the same. There was also good correlation for the biotypes. In only one pair was there disagreement in one test. It was also noted that changes in the API codes of consecutive E coli isolates from the 87 patients (suggesting different biotypes) were generally paralleled by a change in the biotype pattern (confirming a different biotype). Thus, when we observed a total of 177 changes in the API codes of sequentially isolated E coli from the individual patients, 162 (92%) were accompanied by a change in the biotype.

APPLICATION
Escherichia coli strains with identical API codes and biotypes isolated from consecutive MSU from a number of patients indicated they were suffering recurrent relapses. For example, patient RM suffering from prostatitis had the strain (API code 5144552, biotype 32) on five different occasions and all were serotyped as O6.

The biotyping system was also capable of differentiating repeated relapses from reinfection. Table 4 illustrates two different examples. Patient SD (a woman with a longstanding history of recurrent urinary infections) suffered two infections with different strains of E coli in August and October 1978. Then in November an organism with the original biotype caused another infection which was followed by a relapse (with the same strain) two weeks later. Patient SH (a woman with a similar history) was repeatedly infected with different strains. Although a number of API codes corresponded, the biotypes indicated the organisms were all different strains. Here there was further confirmation by the demonstration of different O-serotypes of several of the strains.

Results from this patient also illustrate that infections with organisms of the same serotype (three infections with O6) do not necessarily have the same API code or biotype and therefore are in fact different strains. Thus serotyping alone may give incomplete and erroneous information.

Discussion

The API-20E system was originally developed for identification to genus and species level. Only a few of the tests are useful for biotyping E coli. However, even this limited biotyping ability has proved useful for “biotyping” strains of E coli from MSU\(^*\) and also from other sources.\(^*\) Our three commonest API codes (Table 1) account for 61% of our E coli strains. This figure is similar to that found by Davies\(^*\) (50-5%). The rest of the strains fell into 48 other different API codes. This illustrates the usefulness of the API system by itself. Our API tests were read after 24 h (the upper limit of the time recommended by the manufacturer). The 24-hour incubation period appears to give more reproducible results than reading after overnight (18 h) incubation.\(^*\) Care was also taken to standardise the reading of the colour reactions and technical error was kept to a minimum by making sure that the team involved in the work always read all the tests. The reliability of the API system was confirmed when the codes of E coli from 20 paired MSU were compared and were found to be identical. The biotyping profiles were also found to correlate well in the same paired MSUs. In agreement with Crichton and Old\(^*\) we obtained good typing with dulcitol, raffinose, sorbose and the motility test. In addition fermentation of 5 ketogluconate was found to give the best discrimination between strains. To our knowledge the use of this substrate for biotyping has not been reported before. Twenty-two percent of our strains were beta-haemolytic. Buckwold et al\(^*\) showed the production of beta-haemolysis to be a reproducible biotyping test and found 23% of their strains to be beta-haemolytic. As beta-haemolysis can be carried on a plasmid,\(^*\) changes in this characteristic should be viewed with caution. However, in none of our strains was the presence or absence of beta-haemolysis the only characteristic in which the biotype profile differed. We tested our strains for their ability to grow on minimal medium (prototrophy) and to hydrolyse aesculin but we did
not consider these useful tests. Ninety-one percent of the strains grew on minimal medium and interpretation of aesculin hydrolysis was often equivocal.

*Escherichia coli* isolates having identical API codes were found to have a variety of biotype codes. Thus, greatly enhanced profiles were obtained when the biotypes were added to the more commonly occurring API codes (Table 1). It was also reassuring that when we observed a change in the API code of sequential isolates from individual patients this was generally accompanied by a change in the biotype. This indicated that a change in the API code alone could be a reliable guide to differentiate strains.

Biotyping has proved useful as an adjunct to O-serotyping in the particularly difficult situation where it is unclear whether patients having recurrent infections are suffering from reinfections (with different organisms) or relapses (with the same organism). When we compared the overall results of the O-serotypes of our strains with their biotypes, no relation was found. For example *E coli* from various patients serotyped as O6 gave a wide range of different biotypes. However, where an individual patient had recurrent infections with *E coli* of the same serotype they were often found to be the same biotype. This is understandable if the organisms were all of the same strain and this indicates the patient was suffering relapses. On the other hand, there were occasions where a different situation existed. For example, patient SH (Table 4) had infections with the same O-serotype which were different biotypes. This means that the infections were with different strains and the patient was suffering reinfection.

The advantage of this biotyping system is that it is rapid, technically simple to assess, and is relatively inexpensive. Although the accuracy of the biotyping system is enhanced when used with API it is not essential as a partner in the combination. If desired the biotyping system which has been described could form a valuable adjunct for other typing schemes such as O-serotyping or resistotyping. Clearly by combining these studies an extremely reliable typing system can be guaranteed.
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