Coeliac disease, adenocarcinoma of jejunum and in situ squamous carcinoma of oesophagus
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Summary

The development of both adenocarcinoma of the jejunum and in situ squamous carcinoma of the oesophagus in an adult coeliac patient is described. Good evidence that adenocarcinoma of jejunum occurs more frequently in patients with coeliac disease has recently become available though this association has been suggested for some time. While oesophageal carcinoma has long been associated with coeliac disease, in situ carcinoma of oesophagus has not been previously described in these circumstances. We feel that the risk of this complication, as calculated from published series, warrants a screening programme for oesophageal malignancy in adult coeliacs.

The association of coeliac disease with an increased incidence of gastrointestinal neoplasia has been confirmed by series from both Britain and Australia.1–4 There have been many reports of small intestinal adenocarcinoma in association with villous atrophy,5–18 however in very few has a definite diagnosis of coeliac disease been established.16–17 The statistical association of coeliac disease with oesophageal carcinoma is strong.1–3 However, the in situ stage of this lesion has not been previously recorded in a coeliac patient.

The purpose of this report is to record the occurrence of both these neoplasms in a patient with clearly established coeliac disease and to discuss some practical and theoretical points that arise therefrom.

Case report

A 42-year-old Greek Cypriot presented in 1977 with an 18-month history of steatorrhoea and abdominal pain. In infancy he had failed to thrive and was the smallest of his family. He had been treated for psoriasis for the preceding six years. On examination, he was of short stature and showed marked finger clubbing.

The initial evaluation of his abdominal pain included barium meal, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy and lymphangiogram which were all normal. Serum amylase activity was intermittently raised, however, and an endoscopic retrograde pancreatogram showed irregularity of the main pancreatic duct. A small bowel enema was suggestive of malabsorption and a peroral jejunal biopsy was performed. This showed sub-total villous atrophy with crypt hyperplasia and a heavy lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate of the lamina propria. Numerous interepithelial lymphocytes and focal flattening of the surface enterocytes were present (Fig. 1).

Diagnoses of probable coeliac disease and chronic pancreatitis were made and a gluten-free diet and pancreatic enzyme supplements were commenced. The moderate clinical improvement which followed was not mirrored histologically on repeat jejunal biopsy. Strict supervision gluten withdrawal was therefore instituted in hospital in January 1980, and this was followed by a striking improvement in jejunal histology (Fig. 2).

During a routine follow-up examination in June 1980 he was found to have abdominal and pelvic masses. A Trucut biopsy of the latter showed a moderately well differentiated adenocarcinoma. While awaiting further investigation, signs of peritonitis developed necessitating laparotomy at which a perforated jejunal tumour, situated 15 cm distal to the duodenojejunal flexure, was found. The presence of a large pelvic metastasis was confirmed. Twenty centimetres of bowel were resected, in the centre of which lay a large tumour which measured $8 \times 4 \times 2$ cm and which had ulcerated the mucosa and extended to the serosa. Histologically this tumour was a moderately well differentiated adenocarcinoma (Fig. 3). Of the eight lymph nodes present, two showed metastatic tumour. Although the adjacent
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Fig. 1  Subtotal villous atrophy of jejunal mucosa with crypt hyperplasia, focal flattening and crowding of surface enterocytes and a marked interepithelial lymphocytic infiltrate. Haematoxylin and eosin × 150

Fig. 2  Partial villous atrophy of jejunum with a lighter inflammatory infiltrate and a notable improvement in surface enterocyte morphology compared with Fig. 1. Haematoxylin and eosin × 150
mucosa still showed partial villous atrophy there had been a considerable improvement compared with the previous biopsy (Fig. 4).

A course of fast neutron radiotherapy was given to the pelvic mass. This treatment was complicated by radiation enteritis and cystitis. A defunctioning colostomy fashioned prior to radiotherapy was closed in November 1980 at which time there was no evidence of intra-abdominal tumour. He remained reasonably well until March 1981 when he developed intestinal obstruction which, at laparotomy, was shown to be due to adhesions. At this time radiation changes were noted in the lower abdominal and pelvic structures, but again there was no evidence of
tumour. A small bowel fistula developed postoperatively and this led to severe electrolyte problems. It became impossible to maintain nutrition and the patient died in May 1981.

POST MORTEM FINDINGS
The salient features at necropsy were the massive adhesions found in the lower abdomen and pelvis, especially involving the distal small bowel, sigmoid colon and rectum, together with the distal third of the ureters and the bladder. There was marked dilation of the small bowel proximal to the areas of densest adhesion with an enterocutaneous fistula at 215 cm. There was bilateral ureteral and renal calyceal dilatation. Mucosal reddening of the distal four cm of oesophagus was noted.

Sections of large intestine, bladder and lateral pelvic wall showed marked radiation changes, but no residual tumour. A left sided pyelonephritis was noted. Multiple sections of the lower oesophagus showed squamous carcinoma in situ (Fig. 5). Some downward extension of rete ridge-like structures was seen but no invasion was present. Autolysis precluded an accurate assessment of the state of the small intestinal villous architecture.

Discussion
Coeliac disease is recognised, firstly, by a lesion of the small intestinal mucosa characterised by subtotal or total loss of villi associated with crypt hyperplasia, a predominantly plasmacytic infiltrate in the lamina propria and the presence of increased numbers of lymphocytes between the surface enterocytes, which themselves are frequently abnormal. The architectural abnormality reflects a hyperproliferative mucosa responding, it is thought, to a pathologically rapid loss of cells from the mucosal surface. The second pillar on which the diagnosis rests is the clinical and histological improvement which occurs on withdrawal of gluten from the diet.

The diagnosis of coeliac disease in our patient is not in doubt—a long history suggestive of malabsorption preceded his first jejunal biopsy which showed subtotal villous atrophy and both a clinical and histological improvement occurred once strict gluten withdrawal was instituted.

The psoriatic rash of this patient was troublesome and resistant to therapy for many years. It eventually remitted and remained thus despite the severity of the patient’s subsequent systemic illness. It is said that psoriasiform and exzematous rashes may complicate coeliac disease and if so, then perhaps this is an example. On the other hand, it seems unlikely that dermatoses themselves cause malabsorption and is now recognised that psoriasis, per se, does not cause a morphological mucosal lesion of the small intestine.

Chronic pancreatitis was diagnosed on the basis of
abdominal pain and suggestive ERCP appearances. However, little improvement in symptoms was noted on addition of pancreatic supplements and at necropsy the pancreas appeared normal. We feel, therefore, that pancreatic disease played little part in the patient’s illness.

Neoplasms of the small intestine are uncommon accounting for approximately 1% of all gastrointestinal tumours. Carcinomas, which account for somewhat less than a third of the total, generally grow in a “napkin-ring” stenosing fashion but, due to the fluid nature of the intestinal contents, tend to present late in their course. There have been numerous reports of patients with malabsorption complicated by this tumour, but very few of these cases fulfilled the criteria for a diagnosis of coeliac disease, though in many the syndrome described was highly suggestive. In the large series, already quoted, examining the incidence of malignancy in coeliac disease only one case of small intestinal adenocarcinoma was described. Recently however, evidence that adenocarcinoma of the jejunum occurs more frequently in coeliac patients than expected in the general population has come from preliminary results of the MRC trial of coeliac disease and malignancy.

Cancers of the oesophagus usually show extensive local infiltration at diagnosis, thus accounting for the poor prognosis of this neoplasm. In situ lesions are relatively rarely reported in areas of low incidence such as the UK and USA. However, in areas of higher incidence, such as Japan, CIS and epithelial dysplasia have been found, both in random necropsy series and, more markedly, in association with invasive carcinoma in surgical specimens. It has been suggested that epithelial dysplasia may progress, in a proportion of cases, to CIS and eventually to invasive carcinoma in a process analogous to that known to occur in the uterine cervix. Japanese authors caution that the possible role of irradiation should be carefully evaluated in cases of dysplasia. However, in a review of radiation injury to the alimentary tract, Berthrong et al noted the following features in irradiated oesophagi-ulceration and oedema in the early stages followed later by submucosal, and sometimes serosal fibrosis with atypical fibroblasts, telangiectatic vessels and homogenisation of collagen. The epithelium quickly regenerates after ulceration and remains either rather atrophic or, more commonly, thicker than normal with parakeratosis. Apart from occasional atypical basal cells they did not note any epithelial dysplasia. The thoracic region was well outside the irradiation field in our patient and this is confirmed by the total absence of irradiation changes in the oesophagus when compared with the extensive changes seen in the lower abdominal and pelvic regions.

In the large series already quoted, oesophageal carcinoma, along with small intestinal lymphoma, were the malignancies most frequently associated with coeliac disease. Both neoplasms occurred significantly more frequently than expected in control populations and largely accounted for the significantly greater number of all malignancies that also occurred in the coeliac groups. The UK is an area of low incidence for oesophageal carcinoma as indicated by the 1973 Cancer Registration figures for England and Wales (7.3 per 100,000 for men and 5.2 per 100,000 for women). A relative risk of 20 for oesophageal tumours in coeliacs can be calculated from the Birmingham series, indicating an incidence of approximately 120 per 100,000. In view of this risk and the generally very poor prognosis of invasive oesophageal carcinoma, a programme of active screening of adult coeliac patients may be indicated. According to the above figures, one new case should be detected per 1000 patients screened. This yield could be considerably increased by confining screening to patients in the “at risk” age group which according to the published series is the fifth decade and onwards. Such screening might identify carcinomas at an in situ and therefore curable stage. It would also, perhaps, throw more light on the incidence of oesophageal epithelial dysplasia in coeliac disease and on the rate and frequency of its progression to carcinoma.

The important features of this case are, firstly, that it records the hitherto unreported concurrence of in situ squamous carcinoma of the oesophagus and coeliac disease; secondly, that this finding suggests a possible role for cytological screening of these patients for oesophageal malignancy; and thirdly, that the case supports the suspected association of coeliac disease and small intestinal carcinoma.
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