Value of factor VIII related antigen as a means of demonstrating extramedullary megakaryopoiesis
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A recurrent problem in diagnostic histopathology is that of detecting foci or infiltrates of extramedullary haemopoiesis, erythropoiesis, or megakaryopoiesis. Such tissue is usually composed of a polymorphic mixture of cells. Only when well differentiated and defined mature megakaryocytes are present may the diagnosis be readily made; even then, however, a further problem arises. When there is a suspicion of Hodgkin's disease, it may be impossible to distinguish clearly between more primitive megakaryocytes and Reed-Sternberg and Hodgkin cells. Clearly, the distinction is of prime importance, whether the suspect infiltrate is in liver, spleen, lymph node, or even the central nervous system. Unfortunately, like Reed-Sternberg cells, megakaryocytes contain immunoglobulin light and heavy chains.1

We have found that immunohistochemical staining for factor VIII related antigen (F VIII RAg) is invaluable. F VIII RAg is synthesised by endothelial cells,2-3 but has also been shown ultrastructurally in megakaryocytes.4 Mast cells also show activity of the antigen.5

Antiserum to F VIII RAg is readily available commercially (for example, from Mercia Brocades Ltd, Weybridge, Surrey, UK). We have found the unlabelled primary antibody peroxidase-antiperoxidase (PAP) technique applied to routinely processed paraffin sections most useful. Rabbit antihuman F VIII RAg is applied to sections at a dilution of 1/50, after trypsinisation to increase sensitivity of the method6 and blocking of endogenous peroxidase with 0.1% hydrochloric acid in methanol. The first stage is followed, as usual, by swine antirabbit immunoglobulin serum, then by rabbit PAP complex. The latter is demonstrated by means of the 3,3-diaminobenzidine reaction. Sections are counterstained in Mayer's haemalum, dehydrated, cleared, and mounted in synthetic medium. In addition to the usual controls the reaction should be “blocked” by means of factor VIII
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Fig. 1 Field from lymph node showing large cell with lobulate nucleus, resembling a so called “lacunar” cell. Haematoxylin and eosin. × 250.

Fig. 2 Field from same node as in Fig. 1, showing many large cells staining positively for F VIII RAg; these are megakaryocytes. PAP method for F VIII RAg; haemalum counterstain. × 85.

(Megakaryocytes are strongly stained by this procedure, as is vascular endothelium; these are readily morphologically differentiated. Mast cells are also stained, but these are also distinguished from megakaryocytes by virtue of their highly granular cytoplasm and nuclear size and morphology.

As an example of the value of this technique, Fig 1 shows a lymph node containing large, often bi- or multinucleated cells, the nature of which was obscure. Figs. 2 and 3 show the result of staining for F VIII RAg; megakaryocytes are intensely stained.
The patient was subsequently shown to have florid myelofibrosis, thus accounting for the nodal extramedullary megakaryopoiesis.

In relation to Hodgkin’s disease, it is emphasised that Reed-Sternberg and Hodgkin cells are negative for F VIII RAg activity by means of the PAP technique.8 Thus we advocate the use of this method as a means of distinguishing these cells from megakaryocytes. Trephine samples of bone marrow, fixed and decalcified in 5% acetic acid in formalin, are well suited to this reaction and the need for trypsinisation is avoided.1

Although megakaryocytes are negative for the protease cathepsin B and Reed-Sternberg cells are positive,9 staining for this enzyme is not helpful in distinguishing the two cell types as the positivity of Reed-Sternberg cells is inconstant.9
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