Comparative study of three methods of plastic embedding in diagnostic dermatopathology
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SUMMARY Three methods of plastic embedding were assessed for their value in routine dermatopathology. The J B 4, Taab embedding, and Taab transmit techniques were compared for quality, convenience, and safety. The Taab transmit method was the most satisfactory method, being both rapid and simple. An additional advantage is that the resin can be removed and the immunoperoxidase technique carried out on thin sections.

Techniques for plastic embedding are now routinely used in many pathological specialities, including haematology and renal pathology. The principle advantage is greater resolution at higher magnifications using the light microscope. This property, on occasion, permits the visualisation of structure or of cellular detail which previously required use of the electron microscope.

Techniques for plastic embedding have not yet become widespread in routine dermatopathology despite their obvious advantages in accurately identifying such features as the hyperconvoluted nuclei of the atypical lymphocytes in mycosis fungoides and intraepidermal cells with and without desmosomal attachments. It is well known that techniques that are entirely satisfactory applied to tissue such as lymph node may not be optimal for skin because of the varying permeability of the dermis and epidermis. For this reason we studied the results obtained with three different embedding techniques in normal and abnormal skin samples. We also investigated the suitability of each of these three methods for subsequent immunopathological techniques, using monoclonal antibodies and the immunoperoxidase technique.

Material and methods

Samples of human skin up to $1 \times 0.5 \times 0.5$ cm in size were fixed in formol calcium for 24 hours and then processed individually in bijoux containing the appropriate solution.

METHOD 1: J B 4 (2-HYDROXYETHYL METHACRYLATE)
After fixation the sample was dehydrated in three changes of 2,2 dimethoxypropane (DMP) (1 drop concentrated hydrochloric acid/100 ml DMP) for 40 minutes in each change and two twenty minute changes in propylene oxide. The sample was then impregnated by placing in J B 4 processing solution (glycol methacrylate 80 ml and benzoyl peroxide 1 Gm) for two hours followed by J B 4 embedding solution (J B 4 processing solution plus 1 ml polyethylene glycol) for two hours. Polymerising solution (4.6 ml embedding solution plus 1 drop N-N dimethylaniline) was then added to the sample in a small mould, and the mould was covered and left overnight.

METHOD 2: TAAB EMBEDDING RESIN
The sample was dehydrated, as previously described, using acidified DMP and propylene oxide and impregnated in a 1:1 solution of propylene oxide and Taab resin mix for two to four hours followed by resin mix only for 24 hours. The sample was finally embedded in fresh resin in a small mould for 24 hours at 60°C. Taab resin mix is composed of 10 ml of Taab resin, 10 ml of Taab hardener, and 0.4 ml benzyl dimethylamine (Taab Laboratories Ltd, Reading, Berkshire).

METHOD 3: TAAB TRANSMIT RESIN
After dehydration the sample was impregnated in a 1:1 solution of propylene oxide and resin mix for one hour and then in a solution of Taab resin mix for three to four hours. Polymerisation was then achieved by embedding the sample in a mould containing fresh resin for 12–18 hours at 60°C. The solution used comprised 6.5 ml Taab transmit resin and 18 ml Taab hardener TH1, which was mixed and left for 30 minutes when 0.5 ml transmit accelerator was added. Cutting and staining details for all methods After preparation the tissue sample was attached to a
These samples could be applied after careful comparison of the advantages and disadvantages of these three methods showed that the Taab transmitting resin technique was the most satisfactory. The processing procedure could be completed in one day, there were few problems with flotation of sections, and the resin could be removed to permit use of immunopathological techniques. Figs 1 and 2 illustrate the quality of preparation obtained.

The J B 4 (2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) method produced high quality stained preparations and could also be completed in one day. The materials can be purchased in kit form, which is convenient but expensive. Throughout this study we made up our own materials and this was not unduly time consuming.

The main disadvantages of this method are that some dyes do not penetrate the plastic and therefore the choice of stains is limited and that immunopathological techniques cannot be performed on the sections as the resin cannot be removed. Some published reports of this technique use an overnight polymerisation, thus lengthening the processing time.

We found that the Taab embedding technique was comparatively inefficient. The method took 2 days, and there were continual problems with flotation of sections. Sections also tended to disintegrate during the staining process.

We found no particular advantages in using any of the special stains advocated by other groups compared with conventional haematoxylin and eosin staining.

Using a panel of monoclonal antibodies after removal of the resin, we found that certain antibodies gave some staining. These were Campath 5-2...

Fig. 1  Normal human epidermis clearly showing intraepidermal portion of eccrine sweat duct. (Haematoxylin and eosin.)

Fig. 2  Normal human dermis showing secretory and excretory coils of eccrine sweat duct. Different cell types found in these structures are clearly visualised.
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