Growth fractions in breast cancers determined in situ with monoclonal antibody Ki-67
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SUMMARY The growth fractions of 160 mammary carcinomas and 30 benign mammary lesions were determined in situ by immunostaining with the monoclonal antibody Ki-67. Benign lesions had a mean value of 3% Ki-67 positive cells, whereas the mean value of mammary carcinomas was 16.6%. A comparison of the mean values of Ki-67 positive cells with the histological grade of the tumours showed a correlation between these two variables—that is, histological grade 1 showed 9%, grade 2 16%, and grade 3 26% proliferating cells.

Considering the individual Ki-67 values in the different histological grades, it was evident that there was considerable scatter in the number of proliferating cells, so that the proliferation rates of grades 1, 2, and 3 overlapped each other. This indicates a dissociation between histological grade of malignancy and size of the growth fraction in most breast cancers. Follow up studies are needed to establish which of the two variables—that is, morphological degree of malignancy, or the proportion of Ki-67 positive cells—correlates better with response to treatment and survival in individual cases.

As breast cancer represents one of the most common tumours in the western hemisphere, reliable and reproducible prognostic variables need to be established. In the past the malignancy of a breast carcinoma was predominantly judged by morphological criteria such as the widely accepted tumour grading described by Bloom and Richardson.1 According to Schiodt, the number of mitotic figures is the most important variable for predicting prognosis in this type of tumour.

The monoclonal antibody Ki-67, prepared by our group,3 reacts with a nuclear antigen present in proliferating cells but absent in quiescent cells. A detailed cell cycle analysis showed that the Ki-67 antigen is expressed throughout the whole cell cycle, making the antibody suitable for the determination of the growth fraction of a benign or malignant human cell subset.4 We recently showed a highly significant correlation between the proportion of Ki-67 positive cells and the histological classification of malignant non-Hodgkin's lymphomas into high and low grade malignancies.5 Thus the determination of the growth fraction with Ki-67 might be a new and reliable prognostic marker for these tumours.

The evaluation of cell kinetic data in mammary carcinomas should also be undertaken for tumour grading, as this can provide reproducible prognostic information.

This paper reports on the immunohistological determination of the growth fraction with Ki-67 in a variety of breast tumours and compares the results with conventional histological grading.

Material and methods

Thirty benign mammary lesions and 160 mammary carcinomas from patients attending the gynaecology clinics at the Klinikum Steglitz, and the Medical School, Hannover, were studied. There were 148 invasive ductal carcinomas, nine invasive lobular carcinomas, three medullary carcinomas and no carcinoma in situ. Cryostat sections of biopsy specimens were immunostained with monoclonal antibody Ki-67, which was prepared as previously described,5 according to the indirect three step immunoperoxidase method,6 or according to the alkaline phosphatase-antialkaline phosphatase (APAAP)
Histological grading was performed according to the method of Bloom and Richardson in routinely processed paraffin sections without previous knowledge of the growth fraction. Immunostaining reactions were evaluated, as described previously. Briefly, serial sections were immunostained with Ki-67 and an antibody against cytokeratin (clone KL1, Dianova, Hamburg, Federal Republic of Germany). Cytokeratin staining was used to ensure that the fields of the biopsy had a characteristic infiltration of tumour cells. The percentage of Ki-67 positive cells was determined at ×400 magnification by counting 200–500 cells in these fields.

Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t test.

Results

Fig 1 shows the characteristic immunostaining patterns obtained with Ki-67, in cases with a small growth fraction (fig 1a), with a moderate growth fraction (fig 1b), and with a large growth fraction (fig 1c). Fig 2 summarises the results obtained by immunostaining of all benign and malignant mammary lesions.

The mammary carcinomas were additionally grouped according to their histological grade of
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The results of this study show that there is a significant correlation between histological grading of mammary carcinomas and the mean values of the growth fractions, as determined by immunostaining with monoclonal antibody Ki-67. This is not really surprising, as the number of mitoses and thus the proliferative capacity of a tumour is one of the most crucial variables for histological tumour grading.

The counting of mitotic figures in routinely stained paraffin sections is difficult and time consuming. Furthermore, it has been shown that there is considerable subjective variation in histological tumour grading.

The wide application of other methods to determine cell kinetic variables in mammary carcinomas, such as $^3$H-thymidine incorporation, or flow cytometry, has been difficult, because these methods are time consuming or they require expensive equipment, or both. Immunohistological labelling with monoclonal antibody Ki-67 is simple, well within the scope of routine surgical pathology laboratories, and might be a more objective aid for assessing the grade of malignancy.

Furthermore, our data show that the size of the growth fraction varies considerably from case to case within different histologically defined grades of malignancy. Apart from the subjective factors influencing the histological grading, these findings show that there is a considerable dissociation between histological grading and the size of the growth fraction in most cases of breast cancer, which is probably due to the fact that the histological grading includes not only a percentage of mitotic tumour cells but several other variables, such as glandular organisation of the tumour and grade of anaplasia. The question to be answered is which variables are more important in terms of prognosis.

In this context several studies have shown that there is an important correlation between the proliferative status of a given tumour and the patient's response to treatment and subsequent survival. Furthermore, most cytostatic agents influence only those cells which proliferate. Thus it is difficult to recommend a combination of different variables to define a grade of malignancy before the value of the individual variables has been determined by appropriate studies. Follow up studies are needed to assess whether the determination of the growth fraction with Ki-67 or histological tumour grading correlates better with response to treatment and survival in breast cancer. Such studies are now in progress.
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