Serological screening tests for syphilis in pregnancy: results of a five year study (1983–87) in the Oxford region
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SUMMARY Between 1983 and 1987, 62 out of 76519 pregnancies in 51 mothers had a positive miniaturised Treponema pallidum haemagglutination assay (TPHA) test—1 in 1234, or 0.81 per 1000 births. About two thirds of these mothers had syphilis and the remainder non-venereal treponematoses such as yaws or pinta. Antenatal screening identified 13 patients with previously unknown acquired syphilis, 11 of whom were given antibiotics during pregnancy. There were six fetal losses among the 62 TPHA positive pregnancies, but none had evidence of congenital syphilis. No live born child in this study group showed stigmata of congenital syphilis.

It is concluded that despite the current low incidence of syphilis in the United Kingdom it is imperative to continue antenatal serological screening and to emphasise the importance of early adequate treatment of the infection.

During pregnancy the causative organism of syphilis, Treponema pallidum, can cross the placenta and infect the fetus. Although the infection sometimes causes late abortion, many babies survive but show the stigmata of congenital syphilis.1-3 Congenital syphilis is no longer a major public health problem in the United Kingdom following the noticeable fall in acquired infections over the past 40 years.4 Since 1983 there have been less than five cases a year with no deaths among children under two years of age (CDSC, personal communication). The low incidence of congenital syphilis is probably largely due to the prompt treatment of early acquired syphilis and to the universal policy of screening pregnant women.1,4 Given this low incidence some have questioned the continuing benefit of the antenatal screening programme.4 In the United States, however, there has been a recent upsurge of acquired syphilis in women after a five year period of decreasing incidence, and the number of reported cases of congenital syphilis has increased from 115 in 1978 to 158 in 1983.7

This essentially retrospective survey was undertaken to determine the incidence and clinical importance of positive TPHA tests and to assess the cost effectiveness of routine syphilis screening tests during pregnancy in the Oxford region.

Patients and methods

Blood samples from antenatal patients living in Oxfordshire, Northamptonshire, and north Buckinghamshire are referred to the Oxford Regional Transfusion Centre (RTC) for antenatal serology tests. These routinely comprise: ABO and rhesus (D) blood group; screening tests for atypical red cell antibodies; hepatitis B (HBsAg) and treponemal infection (miniaturised TPHA). To obtain confirmation of blood group and to monitor for the development of atypical alloantibodies, Rhesus (D) negative patients are, on average, tested three times during pregnancy and those who are rhesus (D) positive twice.

The modified miniaturised spin TPHA test used at Oxford uses Fujirebio TPHA kits (Mast Diagnostics Ltd, Bootle, Merseyside), Terasaki plates, and a serum dilution of 1 in 10: the method is described in detail elsewhere.5 The cost of each TPHA screening test in 1988 was estimated at 6p (reagent costs 1p; labour 4p; capital costs, overheads, and sundries 1p).

Sera positive by the TPHA method are further tested both by Rapid Plasma Reagin (RPR), quantitatively after titration in physiological saline if necessary,4 and by TPHA using absorbed sera. Positive
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TPHA samples are referred to the Oxford regional reference laboratory of the Public Health Laboratory Services (PHLS) for confirmatory tests comprising Fluorescent treponemal antibody-absorbed (FTA-ABS), standard TPHA, and RPR tests. Only samples consistently FTA-ABS and TPHA positive are considered to be indicative of treponemal infection. Tests on cord blood samples, including IgG and IgM FTA-ABS tests, are independently arranged and carried out by the Oxford PHLS laboratory.

At the end of the study period a questionnaire, designed to determine whether the mother was known to have had a history of either syphilis or non-venereal treponemal infection, was sent confidentially to the attendant general practitioner. It was recognised, however, that the clinical diagnoses would most probably have been determined by local specialists in genitourinary medicine. Information was also sought regarding clinical presentation, previous treatment, ethnic origin and residence abroad, influence of a positive TPHA test on clinical management during pregnancy, and the outcome of pregnancy including details of serological tests performed on the baby. Additional data were obtained from hospital case notes where required.

This survey covered five years between 1983 and 1987. The number of births recorded during this period in the four health districts concerned was determined from Oxford regional health authority statistical sources.

Results

The total number of live births in the catchment area during the study period was 76,519. The number of pregnancies associated with a positive TPHA and FTA-ABS test result was 62 (one in 1234 or 0.81 per 1000 births) in 51 patients. One seropositive patient had three pregnancies during the study period and nine patients had two pregnancies.

Clinical data were not obtained for three patients who had moved from the Oxford region; information was incomplete for some of the remaining 48 patients. A definitive clinical diagnosis was available for 46 of these 48 patients and a laboratory interpreted diagnosis, based on medical history and serological data, was made for the other two (European) patients.

Of the 48, 30 (63%) had acquired syphilis, two (4%) had congenital syphilis, and 16 (33%) were regarded as having had yaws or pinta (table 1). Antenatal screening identified 13 patients with acquired syphilis (table 2) and another 13 patients in whom non-venereal treponemal infections had been previously unsuspected. The serology of two patients with acquired syphilis was incorrectly regarded as false positive.

The clinicians reported the ethnic group or country of origin of 49 of the 51 patients; 25 being European, 16 from Afro/Caribbean countries, four from the Indian subcontinent, two from South America, and two from China or Vietnam.

The RPR remained negative throughout 36 (58%) of the 62 pregnancies; a titre of 1/8 or greater was seen in 10 (16%) pregnancies, of which eight were associated with the clinical diagnosis by a venereologist of acquired syphilis and two with yaws.

As a result of antenatal screening it was decided to prescribe antibiotic treatment in 29 (50%) of 58 pregnancies (table 1); one patient was given erythromycin, the remainder a penicillin. One baby was also given penicillin as a precautionary measure because of delayed treatment to the mother (table 2). Antibiotics had been given before the index pregnancy to 19 (40%) of the 48 patients; 21 had not been previously treated; and the treatment history of eight was unknown (table 1). Of the 13 patients with unsuspected acquired syphilis who were identified by antenatal screening, 11 were given penicillin during their current pregnancy; of these, five probably had active syphilis (table 2). Furthermore, seven of 16 patients previously treated for acquired syphilis received additional chemotherapy.

No live born child has so far shown stigmata of congenital syphilis. There were six (11%) fetal losses (table 1) among the 57 pregnancies where the outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Summary of findings of TPHA positive pregnancies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis*</td>
<td>Acquired syphilis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of patients/pregnancies</td>
<td>30/37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous treatment/patients</td>
<td>16/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment during current pregnancy</td>
<td>18/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome of pregnancy:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal loss</td>
<td>4†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination</td>
<td>1 (for non-venereal reasons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Does not include three patients for whom questionnaires were not returned.
†Miscarriages at 24 weeks; 15 weeks and eight weeks (same patient); eight weeks.
Table 2  Details for 13 patients with previously unsuspected acquired syphilis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>Serology in previous pregnancy</th>
<th>RPR titre</th>
<th>Penicillin given at: (weeks)</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Probable nature of syphilis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>VDRL negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Normal*</td>
<td>Past treated†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Latent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>VDRL negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Past treated‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Late latent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>VDRL negative</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Primipara</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Miscarriage 15 wks</td>
<td>Past treated¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>VDRL negative</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Latent or treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Primipara</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&lt;14</td>
<td>Termination 14 wks</td>
<td>Early latent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>VDRL negative</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Primipara</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Miscarriage 8 wks</td>
<td>Active*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Primipara</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Active**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VDRL = Venereal Disease Reference Laboratory test.
NK = Multipara, but syphilis serology results in previous pregnancies not known.
*Baby given penicillin as a precaution because of delayed treatment of mother.
†Initially thought to be false positive by clinicians and treatment delayed.
‡Laboratory diagnosis; treatment presumably not given because RPR negative.
§Laboratory diagnosis; interpreted as false positive by clinicians.
¶Recurrent miscarriages.
**IgM FTA-ABS positive (all other patients above not tested).

was known; five of these mothers had been treated with penicillin at some time either during their current pregnancy or previously, the exception being the patient who had yaws and a missed abortion. One further patient had a terminated pregnancy for reasons not related to the serological findings. Necropsies were performed on the 24 week and 30 week fetuses, and neither showed any evidence of congenital syphilis.

Although eight of the 10 cord blood samples tested were found to be TPHA and FTA-ABS positive, none of four additionally tested for IgM FTA-ABS was positive and all eight babies subsequently became seronegative.

The total cost of the 174 643 antenatal TPHA screening tests performed during the study period, at £6p per test, was estimated to be about £10 500—that is, about £170 per TPHA positive pregnancy identified.

Discussion

Specific tests for syphilis, such as TPHA, are gaining favour over reagin tests with screening laboratories because of their considerably lower incidence of false positive results and greater sensitivity. Although a positive TPHA confirmed by a FTA-ABS test is diagnostic of a treponematosis at some time, it does not necessarily imply that the patient is currently infectious as the serological reactions will remain positive long after the infection has been successfully treated. In some instances, however, it would be the clinical judgment that additional antibiotic treatment should be given during pregnancy to previously treated patients lest latent infection still existed. Indeed, further antibiotic treatment was given to seven of the 16 previously treated patients during this study. Furthermore, none of the serological tests can distinguish between syphilis and non-venereal treponematoses such as yaws, and many would choose to treat these patients in a similar manner in case syphilis was also present.

A notable feature of this survey was that the serology results of two patients were incorrectly regarded as false positive by the clinicians, even though the FTA was positive and thus the results were unequivocal. The misinterpretation resulted in one patient not receiving treatment, and in the other it was delayed with the consequence that the baby was given precautionary antibiotics. Although on further review both patients had probably already received adequate treatment, this was not certain at the time. Another patient with unsuspected acquired syphilis did not receive antibiotics, possibly because the serology in this pregnancy suggested that the (French) patient might have had previous undeclared treatment. In this era of low infection rates these incidents emphasise the need for improved interdisciplinary communication.

The one in 1234 incidence of treponematosis found in the antenatal population of the Oxford region compares with one in 170 found by others in a London borough using TPHA and one in 2037 in New South Wales, Australia using the RPR method. The reduced unit cost of the Oxford RTC miniaturised TPHA test compares very favourably with that obtained by others. The cost for identifying each case of maternal syphilis would have been about £350.

The six fetal losses which occurred in the study group were not attributable to congenital syphilis (table 1). In four pregnancies fetal death occurred...
before the 18th week of gestation, before the time 
when transplacental infection is likely to occur; 
necropsies on the two late abortions showed no 
evidence of congenital syphilis.

Although no case of congenital syphilis is known to 
have occurred in the catchment area during the study 
period, most of the cord blood samples tested gave 
positive reactions with the FTA-ABS test. It is 
assumed, however, that passively acquired IgG 
antibody from the mother was probably responsible 
for these reactions, and all of four tested were negative 
using a specific IgM assay.

Thirteen patients with previously unsuspected 
aquired syphilis were identified during this study, of 
whom five probably had active disease and one had 
early latent syphilis (table 2). Had these not been 
identified and given treatment the pregnancy might 
have resulted in congenital syphilis. Others have 
estimated that out of every 10 affected pregnancies, 
the outcome would be two normal infants, three 
miscarriages or stillbirths, one neonatal death, two 
mentally handicapped children needing institutional 
care, and two others requiring special schooling. On 
this basis at least one child from our group might 
have required long term institutional care; the lifetime 
cost for this was estimated in 1985 to be about £174 000.

Notwithstanding the monetary and human costs of 
the other sequelae of congenital syphilis, an 
vestment of about £10 500 at 1988 prices for antenatal 
screening will have yielded considerable 
ancial savings for the National Health Service.

We believe, therefore, that despite the current low 
idence of congenital syphilis in the United 
kingdom, it remains imperative to continue antenatal 
serological screening and to emphasise the importance 
of early, adequate treatment of those women found to 
have been infected. We would also agree with others 
that antenatal screening for syphilis remains highly 
cost effective.

We thank those general practitioners, genitourinary 
medicine physicians, and obstetricians within the 
Oxford Region who contributed to this study.
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