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Abstract

The results of a study of a screening test for urinary tract infection (UTI) in infants under 18 months is reported. Two hundred and forty three urine specimens were tested in the laboratory using AMES Multistix 8SG reagent strips read by photometer. The strips included three potential markers for urinary tract infection: leucocyte esterase, nitrite, and protein. The predictive value of a positive result (PPV) was low. The predictive value of negative test (NPV) when combining the screen of leucocyte esterase, nitrite, and protein was 99.4% with no difference between boys and girls. The test for leucocyte esterase had a 97.6% negative predictive value. An examination of the results by age confirms the good NPV in all age groups.

Paediatricians should find Multistix 8SG strips a useful aid in the diagnosis of urinary tract infection in infants, and that costly culture of samples with negative strip tests can be avoided.

Boreland and colleagues reported the diagnostic value of a screening test for urinary tract infection in paediatric inpatients using three tests: nitrite, blood, and protein. We studied consecutive urine samples from 243 neonates and infants under 18 months: 85 were less than 28 days old; 81 aged between 21 and 182 days; and 77 were more than 182 days old. Our aim was to identify the dipstick test which gave the highest diagnostic accuracy in routine practice for this age group and to evaluate the leucocyte esterase test.

Method

Urine reagent strips for nitrite, leucocyte esterase, and protein were used (Multistix 8 SG AMES) and read by the Clinitek System photometer (AMES). All screening tests were performed by the same investigator in our laboratory. All samples were microscopically examined, cultured, and bacteria enumerated (DGUI Institut Pasteur Production, France). The criteria for diagnosis of urinary tract infection were as follows: a combination of a white cell count of >25x10^3/l for boys or 50x10^3/l for girls under 8 days of age; >10x10^5/l for those older than 8 days; and a bacterial count of >10^3 ml^-1 with a maximum of two bacterial species. Samples with >10^6/ml^-1 or less, or those with more than two bacterial species were considered contaminated.

The statistical analysis of the screening tests results was based on Baye’s tests and compared with the criteria for diagnosis of urinary tract infection.

Results

Thirty seven (15.2%) specimens met the criteria for bacteriological infection, and 81 (33%) were regarded as contaminated. One hundred and forty six (60%) specimens were negative by the strip test and 97 were positive for one or more of leucocyte esterase, nitrate, or protein. The percentage of false negative results was 1.6% (four urine specimens) and was attributed to asymptomatic infection (>10^6/ml^-1) after review of the medical records.

The negative predictive value (NPV) obtained with the combination of leucocyte esterase and protein, and with the combination of leucocyte esterase, nitrite, and protein...
Efficacy (in per cent) of screening test in neonates and infants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive test area</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Positive predictive value</th>
<th>Negative predictive value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte esterase</td>
<td>89-2</td>
<td>78-2</td>
<td>42-3</td>
<td>97-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite</td>
<td>16-2</td>
<td>97-6</td>
<td>54-5</td>
<td>86-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>8-1</td>
<td>95-1</td>
<td>23-1</td>
<td>85-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte esterase and nitrite</td>
<td>89-2</td>
<td>91-6</td>
<td>87-2</td>
<td>98-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte esterase and protein</td>
<td>89-2</td>
<td>95-1</td>
<td>76-7</td>
<td>98-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

was highest (table), but the NPV of leucocyte esterase alone did not differ significantly. The nitrite test alone showed a low sensitivity and the highest specificity. The result of this test when combined with leucocyte esterase and protein did not provide additional information in infants with urinary tract infection, contrary to the results obtained from adult patients.1

Conclusion
We have confirmed the value of urine strips as a screening test to exclude diagnosis of urinary tract infection among inpatient infants. We recommend that the test is done at the bedside using photometry to avoid the variations due to readings by nurses. The most important aspect of the test is the NPV, detection of leucocyte esterase alone or in combination with proteinuria or detection of nitrite having the most value.

The absence of leucocyturia, proteinuria, or a positive nitrite test practically excludes the diagnosis of urinary tract infection among infants and in our hospital urine cultures on infants could be reduced by 60% on the basis of this screening test.


Oncocytic metaplasia of the nasopharynx or extra-parotid Warthin’s tumour?
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Abstract
A case of oncocytic metaplasia obstructing the Eustachian tube in an elderly patient is described. Histologically, it was similar to Warthin’s tumour of the parotid gland. The lymphocytes were predominantly T cell, unlike those of Warthin’s tumour which are predominantly B cell. It is proposed that oncocytic metaplasia represents an early stage in the evolution of Warthin’s tumour.

Warthin’s tumour, or adenolymphoma, occurs almost exclusively in the parotid gland where it accounts for 8% of tumours.2 Outside the parotid, it has been reported in juxtaparotid lymph nodes, the submandibular gland and, very rarely, in minor salivary glands of palate, cheek, lip and tongue.4 5 Lesions of identical histological appearance occur in the nasopharynx and could be mistaken for a rare example of extra-parotid Warthin’s tumour. Friedmann, however, attributed the histological appearances of this lesion from the post-nasal space to a combination of chronic inflammation and hyperplasia of mucous glands, denying that it constituted a “true tumour”.4

Case report
An 81 year old woman presented with left-sided otalgia and conductive hearing loss. A raised red plaque was present, obstructing the pharyngeal ostium of the left Eustachian tube. This was excised. The gross specimen consisted of a mucosal ellipse 0.5 x 1.0 cm and 0.9 cm thick. Histological examination showed a well circumscribed, though not encapsulated, nodule of tissue 0.8 cm in maximum diameter with a microscopic appearance similar to that of a Warthin’s tumour or adenolymphoma (fig 1). Cystically dilated ducts lined by partly infolded, double-layered oncocytic columnar epithelium were embedded in stroma rich in lymphocytes. Immunophenotyping of this lymphocytic stroma showed that these were T cells (fig 2).

Discussion
The case described above and a similar recently reported case6 were both initially diagnosed as examples of extra-parotid Warthin’s tumour of the nasopharynx. Both were subsequently diagnosed as oncocytic metaplasia in view of the reported rarity of Warthin’s tumour in the nasopharynx. Indeed, the credibility of reports of Warthin’s tumour outside the parotid is questioned by some authors.7 8

Oncocytic metaplasia, on the other hand, is a common occurrence in both major and minor salivary glands, its incidence increasing with age.7 When oncocytic metaplasia accompanied by dilatation of ducts occurs in close proximity to the ostium of an Eustachian tube it may cause obstruction, middle ear effusion, and
Evaluation of a screening test for detecting urinary tract infection in newborns and infants.

B Lejeune, R Baron, B Guillois and D Mayeux

J Clin Pathol 1991 44: 1029-1030
doi: 10.1136/jcp.44.12.1029

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://jcp.bmj.com/content/44/12/1029

These include:

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/