Cytoblock preparations for examination of cervical and other cells
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Abstract
There are a number of antibodies which may be of value in the investigation of cervical smears, effusions, and cells grown in monolayer culture. The Shandon Cytoblock method was used to prepare discs of such cells suitable both for diagnosis and for a variety of other techniques.

(Clin Pathol 1992;45:1122-1123)

Cervical smears stained by the Papanicolaou technique have the advantages of speed, simplicity, and familiarity. Considerable experience has been built up in their interpretation, and though there are problems with inter- and intra-observer error,1 in those countries in which screening programmes have been widely implemented there has been a considerable fall in incidence of cervical carcinoma and mortality.2 Smears have the disadvantage of being unique so that if several immunostains are to be performed there will be considerable differences in cell populations between slides made at the same time from the same scrape. The epithelial cells may be obscured by pus in the presence of severe inflammation, or the smear may be too thick to interpret.

Methods
The efficacy of the Shandon Cytoblock method (Shandon, Cheshire) for the evaluation of cervical cytology was investigated with a view to using the preparations for immunocytochemical techniques. Specimens were obtained from 28 unselected patients referred to a colposcopy clinic because of an abnormal smear result. A Cervex brush (Vernacare, Lancs) which has a gently concave flattened portion that brushes the external cervix, and a pointed centre that samples the upper portion of the endocervical canal, was used.3

The brush head was detached by pushing it off manually and put into unbuffered 10% formalin in a Universal container. The container was shaken to dislodge as many cells as possible, and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for three minutes. The brush floated to the surface and was discarded, and the pellet was spun down in the same container at 3000 rpm for a further 10 minutes. The formalin was poured off and the pellet resuspended by vortexing in four drops of the gelling reagent (Reagent 2 of the Cytoblock kit). The cell disc was prepared by centrifuging the cells into the embedding cassette according to the manufacturer’s instructions. It was then routinely processed to paraffin wax and folded in half before embedding to obtain maximum thickness for sectioning.

Sections were cut at 4 µm and were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Unstained sections were mounted on silane treated slides and immunostained with our murine monoclonal antibody TDM39 raised against the XH1 cervical carcinoma cell line.4 A streptavidin/biotin/periodinase technique5 with a rabbit anti-mouse biotinylated second antibody (Dako, Bucks) was used. Endogenous peroxidase was inhibited as described previously.6

Results
Twenty five out of 28 of the sections contained sufficient cervical epithelial cells for diagnosis and 14 also contained strips of either squamous or endocervical cells or both (figs 1, 2 and 3). Three contained too few cells for diagnosis. Four others had not been cut into deep enough, but with further experience this proportion should decrease. However, we would prefer to cut deeper levels as required, rather than risk wasting previous material by cutting too far into the block to begin with. One further block contained relatively few cells even when cut deeper, but as these showed severe dysplasia the preparation was considered satisfactory. Twelve blocks contained pus, often in large sheets, which might have made a conventional smear difficult to interpret. In the Cytoblock sections sufficient epithelial cells for diagnosis were seen between the sheets of polymorphs (fig 3). There was satisfactory immunostaining with our TDM39 antibody (fig 4).
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Discussion

Cytoblock preparations are easier to prepare than cell pellets using agar or gelatin. Both of these reagents have to be specially prepared and kept warm. The cells need to be centrifuged, the agar or gelatin added, and when set it is sometimes quite difficult to scrape out the pellet, and those at the bottom of the centrifuge tube may be left behind. When the agar or gelatin block is processed and therefore warmed again, the cells often disperse around the edges, and it is difficult to control the size of the block. When Cytoblocks are used the blocks are of small uniform size, reduced quantities of reagents can be used, and immunostaining is more easily standardised. In a preliminary experiment we used direct cyto- 

Figure 2 Sheets of polymorphs surround squames showing some reactive nuclear enlargement but no dysplasia.

Figure 3 There is a sheet of koilocytic dysplastic focally keratinising cervical cells in the centre. Surrounding this there is a mixture of dysplastic cells, cells showing inflammatory change, normal mature cells, and a few polymorphs.

Figure 4 Inflamed smear immunostained with TDM39 antibody using an ABC peroxidase technique. Two overlapping squames with perinuclear haloes in the centre are positive, normal squames among the inflammatory cells are negative, while occasional polymorphs are weakly positive.
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