Isolation of *Legionella pneumophila* serogroup 14 from a human source
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Abstract

A strain of *Legionella pneumophila* serogroup 14 was isolated during a retrospective study, after death from the spectrum of a patient who had had acute leukaemia and pneumonia. This is the third strain of that serogroup to be isolated from a human source. This event emphasises the importance of performing culture as well as serological tests, so as to detect cases of legionellosis caused by strains which rarely cause fatal clinical illness.

Since 1976, when a "new" micro-organism, *Legionella pneumophila*, was first isolated,1 14 serogroups of this species have been described.2 4-7 All serogroups are potential pathogens for humans, but some seem to cause illness more frequently than others.

To our knowledge, only two human isolates of *L pneumophila* serogroup 14 have been described: one was isolated at the Minnesota Department of Health, Minneapolis, USA (strain 1109-MN-H); and the other was isolated at Ruchill Hospital, Glasgow, Scotland (strain 1586-SCT-H).

Case report

A 28-year-old man in relapse of acute leukaemia after autologous bone marrow transplantation a year previously was admitted to hospital with a 10-day history of fever, followed by diarrhoea, epigastric pain, earache, and a white cell count of 7 × 10^9/l. A chest x-ray picture was unhelpful. Laboratory examinations showed antibodies to hepatitis viruses A and B. The patient was initially treated with imipenem and teicoplanin and ceftazidime. After a positive blood culture for *Candida pseudotropicalis* vancomycin and amphotericin were added. He developed a scantily productive cough. Ceftazidime was replaced with piperacillin. A chest x-ray picture four days later showed the presence of confluent densities in the middle right and lower lobes and the left parahilar area. Antibiotic treatment was changed to imipenem and co-trimoxazole. Dyspnoea and peripheral cyanosis appeared the following day, and the
patient died a day later. Routine cultures of an expectorate sample taken a week before he died yielded Candida sp. but a blood culture performed the day before he died was negative.

Attempts at culturing legionellae from this patient during a retrospective study on in-patients with pneumonia yielded a Legionella from the expectorate sample. This strain was first tested against an L pneumophila monoclonal antibody (Diagnostics Pasteur, France) and monovalent antisera to L pneumophila serogroups 1–10. It seemed to react with the monoclonal antibody and the serogroup 10 antisera. The strain was subsequently examined using antisera against L pneumophila serogroups 1–14 and 34 other species at the Public Health Laboratory Service Legionella Reference Unit, Colindale, London. It reacted strongly with antisera to L pneumophila serogroup 14 and weakly with antisera against serogroups 8 and 10; no reaction was observed with antisera to the other Legionella species. The specificity of the reaction with serogroup 14 was confirmed using absorbed L pneumophila serogroup 14 specific antiserum. The strain gave positive results in tests for catalase, hippurate hydrolysis, gelatin liquefaction, browning on tyrosine containing media and β-lactamase production, and negative results for oxidase, autofluorescence, and bromocresol-purple tests. Blood for serology for Legionella infection was not available.

Discussion

L pneumophila subgroup 14 is a rare cause of clinical illness in man. The infection seems likely to have been acquired nosocomially. Studies on the water supply on the ward where the patient was staying yielded only L pneumophila serogroup 3. However, this could have been due to the fact that an autonomous electric heater had been installed in the bathroom of the ward, obviating the need to use the central hot water supply, and the shower and taps had been replaced before the water samples were collected.

To our knowledge, the isolate described here (called strain Roma 9/1539) is the third L pneumophila serogroup 14 strain to be isolated from the human source. The possibility that it may represent a contaminant resulting from specimen collection procedures is highly unlikely, because the expectorate sample examined was the only one which yielded legionellae among a group of 80 collected in the same hospital during a one month period.

Reagents for detection of legionellae in pathophysiological material by immunofluorescence or for antibody testing are not always available in the laboratory for Legionella species or serogroups that are rare causes of infection. Moreover, patients with severe legionellosis, who are often immunocompromised, are usually serologically negative at an early stage of the illness. The need to perform culture as well as serological tests is emphasised by the results described here. This case was only detected after the patient had died because Legionella was not suspected and would have been missed if sputum culture specifically for Legionella species had not been performed as part of a retrospective investigation on patients with pneumonia.

We are grateful to TG Harrison (Legionella Reference Unit, Central Public Health Laboratory, Colindale, London) for examining and typing our strain.
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