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Abstract

Aims—To assess the effect of cellulosic dialysis membranes on the production of complement degradation products to determine to the role of the classical pathway.

Method—Complement activation was studied in 33 patients during a single haemodialysis session using cellulosic membranes. Pre- and post-dialysis plasma EDTA values of C3, C4, C3dg, C4d and C reactive protein (CRP) were measured. Statistical analysis was done using the Wilcoxon signed rank test.

Results—Post-dialysis C4 (p = 0.0003), C3dg (p < 0.0001), and C4d (p = 0.003) concentrations were increased compared with pre-dialysis values. There was no significant change in C3 (p = 0.095) and CRP (p = 0.13) values. Post-dialysis C3dg and C4d concentrations correlated significantly (p = 0.007). IgG, an undialysed molecule, was quantified and post-dialysis values were significantly higher than those before dialysis (p = 0.0002), indicating a degree of haemoconcentration. To remove this effect, the C3:IgG, C4:IgG, C3dg:IgG, C4d:IgG and CRP:IgG ratios were calculated. Compared with pre-dialysis values, post-dialysis C3dg:IgG and C4d:IgG ratios were increased and C3:IgG decreased significantly. No change was observed in C4:IgG and CRP:IgG ratios.

Conclusion—This study confirms that significant complement activation takes place following dialysis with cellulosic membranes. This is denoted by an increase in C3dg. This was paralleled by a rise in C4d, implying a contributory role for the classical pathway. Concomitant post-dialysis increases in IgG and C4 indicate a degree of haemoconcentration; but removal of this effect shows that C3dg and C4d are increased following dialysis—suggesting classical, in addition to alternative, pathway activation.

Methods

Thirty three patients (22 men, 11 women; mean age 62 years: range 25–79 years) receiving regular haemodialysis at a unit which does not practice re-use of dialysers, volunteered to be studied during a single, four hour, mid-week dialysis session. The renal diseases are summarised in table 1. Dialysis was carried out using the patient's usual cellulosic membrane: cuprophan membrane (3N or 5N (Gambro AB, Lund, Sweden), Allegro, or Andante (Organon Teknika BV Boxtel, Holland) in 28 patients; cuprammonium rayon membrane (TAF08 or TAF10 (Terumo Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) in four patients; and haemophan membrane (HTI130 Baxter Corporation, Round Lake, USA) in one patient.

Plasma EDTA samples were obtained immediately before and after dialysis from all patients and rapidly separated and stored at −186°C in aliquots in a vapoour phase liquid nitrogen container. Each aliquot was thawed only once for analysis, which included C3, C4, the C3 conversion product C3dg, the C4 conversion product C4d, C-reactive protein

Table 1 Renal diseases in patients receiving haemodialysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Underlying disease</th>
<th>Nos of patients</th>
<th>Mean pre-dialysis C4d values in group (units/ml)</th>
<th>Range of C4d values in group (units/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polycystic disease</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic nephropathy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14-3</td>
<td>13-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glomerulonephritis*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14-6</td>
<td>11-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other†</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14-9</td>
<td>6-26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes crescentic, membranous, mesangiocapillary glomerulonephritis and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis.
†Includes hypertension, obstructive uropathy, chronic renal failure and cause (non-immunological).
(CRP) and IgG. C3 and C4 were measured turbidimetrically on a centrifugal fast analyser using goat anti-human C3 and goat anti-human C4 (Atlantic antibodies, Incstar Ltd, Wokingham, England). CRP was quantified by latex enhanced turbidimetry using goat anti-human CRP (Atlantic antibodies) bound to 0.112 μm polystyrene microspheres (Polysciences Warrington, USA) and IgG was measured by a turbidimetric method on a centrifugal analyser using goat anti-human IgG (Atlantic Antibodies).

C3dg concentrations were measured using the double decker rocket immunoelectrophoresis method of Brandslund et al.12 Essentially, electrophoresis of 4 μl EDTA plasma was performed overnight (2.5 V/cm) initially through a gel layer containing anti-human C3c (Dako Ltd, High Wycombe, Bucks) and then through a gel layer containing anti-human C2dg (Dako) where the C3dg rockets were formed. After drying and staining, the rocket heights of test samples and standards were measured and the C3dg values calculated from a standard curve. The C3dg concentration of the standard (normal serum incubated at 37°C for four days) was assigned an arbitrary value of 100 units/ml.

C4d was quantified using rocket immunoelectrophoresis based on the methods of Nitsche et al.13 using an anti-human intact C4 (Behring, Hoechst UK Ltd, Hounslow, Middx) where the faster rocket is C4d. After drying and staining, the rocket heights were measured and C4d values calculated from a standard curve. The C4d concentration of the standard (normal serum plus heat aggregated IgG, incubated at 37°C for 1 hour) was assigned an arbitrary value of 100 units/ml.

Statistical analysis of paired samples was done using Wilcoxon’s signed rank test as the data were not normally distributed.

Results
No significant clinical reactions were noted during the study dialyses. Pre- and post-dialysis values for C3, C4, C3dg, C4d and CRP are shown in figs 1–5. Table 1 lists the mean pre–dialysis C3dg values according to the various disease categories leading to end stage renal failure. Post-dialysis C4 (p = 0.0003), C3dg, (p < 0.0001), and C4d (p = 0.003) values were significantly increased compared with pre-dialysis values. No significant difference was observed between pre– and post-dialysis C3 and CRP values (p = 0.095 and p = 0.13, respectively).

Comparison of post-dialysis C3dg and C4d concentrations showed a significant correlation (r = 0.46 and p = 0.007); but the pre- and post-dialysis catabolic fractions C4d/C4 remained unchanged, whereas C3dg/C3 increased. Concentrations of the undialysed marker molecule IgG were significantly higher after dialysis (p = 0.0002). To factor out the effect of haemoconcentration, the C3:IgG, C4:IgG, C3dg:IgG, C4d:IgG and CRP:IgG ratios were calculated. Post-dialysis
Complement activation by cellulosic dialysis membranes

C3dg (p < 0.0001) and C4d:IgG (p = 0.025) were significantly higher than pre-dialysis values and post-dialysis C3:IgG was significantly lower (p = 0.0003) (table 2). No change was observed in pre- and post-dialysis C4:IgG and CRP:IgG values (p = 0.12 and p = 0.58, respectively) (table 2).

Discussion
Complement activation, denoted by an increase in C3dg, occurring during dialysis with previously unused cellulosic membranes, has been confirmed and the classical pathway may be implicated by the finding of increased C4d values after dialysis. The effect of haemoconcentration on these plasma proteins, however, cannot be ignored, even though the samples were obtained at a mid-week dialysis session when weight gain, and hence the need for fluid removal, was minimised. The post-dialysis increase in IgG and C4 suggests that fluid shifts did indeed occur. To prove that a true increase in complement
degradation products occurred, the effect of haemoconcentration was removed by calculating the C3:IgG, C4:IgG, C3dg:IgG, C4d:IgG, and CRP:IgG ratios. The increase in the ratios of C3dg:IgG and C4d:IgG provide evidence for both alternative and classical pathway activation, independent of haemoconcentration. As the catabolic fraction of C4d/C4 did not change significantly during dialysis, contrasting with the involved C3dg:C3 ratio, alternative pathway activation would seem to be dominant.

The triggering substances for complement activation during dialysis might include the polysaccharide in the cellulose membranes,14-15 the limulus-amebocyte-lysate-reactive material (LAL-RM) in the cotton linters of the membranes, and immune complexes formed by antibodies to LAL-RM and other haemodialysate extracts. Such antibody responses would be anticipated to engage classical activation should they be involved in complement fixing reactions, although there is considerable overlap between activation stimuli of the classical and alternative pathways.

Several previous studies have shown that complement is activated during dialysis with cellulose membranes,1-4 but have indicated that this is via the alternative pathway as they have been unable to demonstrate classical pathway conversion products. In particular, Hakim et al2 failed to show increased C4a desarg concentrations during dialysis with previously unused cuprophan hollow fibre dialysers. But they only tested a small number of patients and samples were collected only during the first 30 minutes of dialysis. A further study stated that there were no significant changes in the C4a antigen, using a cuprophan dialyser, at any time during the dialysis procedure, but no data were shown.1 Our results support the dominance of alternative pathway activation, but the correlation between C3dg and C4d in the post-dialysis samples in our study would be compatible with a cascade effect so well illustrated by the complement system, and suggest a classical component to this activation.

In conclusion, this investigation has confirmed complement activation during haemodialysis with previously unused cellulose membranes. Some of the results may relate to the haemoconcentration consequent on the fluid removal on haemodialysis, but when this effect is removed the rise in both C3dg and C4d persists. The rise in C3dg paralleled by the increase in C4d and the rise in C4d:IgG suggest a classical component to this complement activation.
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