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Abstract

Aims—To compare the AUXACOLOR yeast identification system with the API 20C system.

Methods—Yeast isolates (n = 215), comprising 16 species, were identified using the AUXACOLOR system and the API 20C system. Isolates that could not be identified with the API 20C system or which produced discrepant results in the two systems were identified by assimilation and fermentation procedures.

Results—AUXACOLOR correctly identified 150 (85.7%) of 175 germ tube negative isolates while API 20C identified 155 (88.6%). Incorrect identifications were more common with API 20C (7.4%) than with AUXACOLOR (3.7%). Of 110 isolates of four common pathogens (Candida glabrata, C parapsilosis, C tropicalis, and Cryptococcus neoformans), 82-7% (91/110) were identified by AUXACOLOR while API 20C identified 74-5% (82/110). Of 65 less common germ tube negative isolates, 55-4% (36/65) were identified by AUXACOLOR while API 20C identified 63-1% (41/65).

Conclusion—Although it has a limited database of 26 species, the AUXACOLOR system is a useful method for identification of germ tube negative clinical yeast isolates. Compared with the API 20C, the AUXACOLOR system is simpler and quicker to set up, easier to interpret, and comparable in cost.

(J Clin Pathol 1995;48:807–809)
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The increasing incidence of opportunistic infections by yeasts has stimulated the development of both manual and automated commercial systems for identification of these pathogens. The new commercial systems are generally less time-consuming to set up, are simpler to interpret, and permit more rapid identification of isolates than the conventional methods which they have replaced.1–6

The API 20C yeast identification system (bioMerieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France) provides reliable results in comparisons with classic identification methods.1,2 As a result, it has become the standard method for yeast identification in most clinical laboratories. Even though the system is faster than the classic assimilation and fermentation methods,2 the API 20C system is still time-consuming to set up, and the results are often difficult to interpret. The AUXACOLOR yeast identification system (Sanofi Diagnostics Pasteur, Marnes-la-Coquette, France) has been introduced recently to provide a simpler method of identifying a number of the more common yeast pathogens. Here, we report the results of a comparison of the AUXACOLOR system with the API 20C performed with a large number of clinical yeast isolates representing a wide range of species.

Methods

TEST ORGANISMS

A total of 215 yeast isolates, comprising 16 species, were tested. Of these, 194 were recent clinical isolates submitted to the Mycology Reference Laboratory (MRL), Bristol, for identification and 21 came from the National Collection of Pathogenic Fungi, held at the MRL, Bristol, or the culture collection of the Mycology Unit, Public Health Laboratory, Cardiff. The culture collection isolates were coded before being passed to the authors for testing. Their identities were unknown until the final results were examined. Isolates to be studied were subcultured onto Sabouraud dextrose agar plates and incubated at 37°C for at least 18 hours, but not more than 48 hours, before being tested by the two identification systems.

AUXACOLOR SYSTEM

The AUXACOLOR system consists of disposable plastic microplates containing 16 wells (figure). The first well is a negative control, while the second contains glucose and serves as a positive control. Twelve of the remaining 14 wells each contain a different sugar that may be assimilated by the test organism. Each sugar is dehydrated in the presence of a basic solution and a pH indicator, bromocresol purple. Growth in these wells results in turbidity and a colour change from blue to yellow. The final two wells contain a test for actidione resistance and a test for the detection of the phenoloxidase activity of Cryptococcus neoformans. The actidione resistance test well contains an oxido-reduction indicator and growth in this well results in a colour change from blue to pink or colourless. The development of a green or brown colour denotes a positive result in the phenoloxidase test well. All testing with the AUXACOLOR system was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Kits were stored at 4°C and were brought to room temperature before use.

The microplates were incubated at 30°C and read at 24, 48 and 72 hours. A five digit
the practice of most clinical laboratories processing routine specimens, we took the first organism listed under the profile number as the API 20C identification of the isolate, provided the profile had a 50% or greater chance of being correct.

MORPHOLOGICAL TESTS
Isolates were tested for germ tube formation in horse serum following incubation at 37°C for three hours. Isolates were inoculated onto cornmeal agar plates using the Dalmau method. The plates were incubated at 30°C and examined at 48, 72 and 96 hours for the presence of true hyphae, pseudohyphae, blastospores, chlamydospores, arthrospores, and capsules. India ink preparations were made to detect the presence of capsules.

FURTHER TESTS
Isolates that could not be identified with the API 20C system or which produced discrepant results in the API 20C and AUXACOLOR systems were identified by 35 traditional assimilation and fermentation procedures, utilising 29 different carbon sources.

Results
A total of 215 yeast isolates were tested with both the API 20C and the AUXACOLOR yeast identification systems. The API 20C correctly identified 155 (88.6%) of the 175 germ tube negative isolates, while the AUXACOLOR correctly identified 150 (85.7%) (table). Incorrect identifications were more common with the API 20C (16 strains, 7.4%) than with the AUXACOLOR (eight strains, 3.7%) system. Of the 110 more common germ tube negative isolates (strains of Candida glabrata, C parapsilosis, C tropicalis, and C neoformans), 82 (74.5%) were correctly identified with API 20C, while the AUXACOLOR correctly identified 91 (82.7%). Of the 65 less common germ tube negative isolates tested, 41 (63.1%) were correctly identified with API 20C, while AUXACOLOR correctly identified 36 (55.4%).

Only 23 (57.5%) of the 40 C albicans isolates tested were correctly identified with AUXA-

Identification of 215 yeast isolates with the AUXACOLOR and the API 20C systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>AUXACOLOR</th>
<th>API 20C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of isolates</td>
<td>Correctly identified (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida glabrata</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida guilliermondii</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida inopinicus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida krusei</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida kefyr</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida krusei</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida lusitaniae</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida norvegensis</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida parapsilosis</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptococcus albidus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptococcus laurentii</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptococcus neoformans</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blastomyces capsulatus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichosporon beigeli</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = Number of isolates.
COLOR while the API 20C identified 32 (80-0%). The major reason for this difference was that 10 of the 40 isolates tested failed to produce chlamydospores. Seven of these 10 chlamydospore negative isolates had profiles that were otherwise correct for C albicans.

**Discussion**

In any evaluation of a new commercial identification system it is essential to perform independent identification of all isolates using an established procedure to act as an objective standard. With yeast identification this has, in the past, meant performing cumbersome and time-consuming assimilation and fermentation tests with 30 to 40 carbon sources. Not surprisingly, most recent comparisons have omitted this and instead have used one of the well established commercial systems, such as API 20C, as a reference standard. Supplemental tests have been reserved for use where disagreement occurs between the method under evaluation and the reference method, or where the reference method fails to give an identification. This approach was adopted in the present evaluation.

The AUXACOLOR system provides a simple and rapid method for the identification of the most commonly encountered yeasts in clinical practice. Our results suggest that this system is as reliable as the API 20C for the identification of C neoformans, C tropicalis and Trichosporon beigelli. The AUXACOLOR system proved more reliable than API 20C for the identification of C krusei and C glabrata, but less reliable for C parapsilosis. The poor results for the identification of C glabrata with the API 20C were because of the high proportion of isolates that failed to assimilate trehalose with this system, even after 72 hours of incubation.

In most laboratories identification of C albicans isolates is accomplished using the serum germ tube test. Therefore, biochemical systems are seldom required for this organism. However, methods such as the API 20C and AUXACOLOR systems are useful for the identification of germ tube negative strains of C albicans, which can account for up to 5% of isolates of this species. Our results for the identification of C albicans with the AUXACOLOR system were poor, but this was more often due to the failure of isolates to produce chlamydospores on the corn meal agar used than to the production of an incorrect biochemical profile. It must be emphasised that morphological observation remains essential to avoid errors in identification, no matter what system is used.

The AUXACOLOR system has a limited database of 26 species. This is smaller than the databases of other identification systems, but it includes the species usually encountered in clinical practice. Compared with API 20C, the AUXACOLOR system is quicker and simpler to set up, easier to read, and is comparable in cost. This system is recommended as an attractive alternative for the routine identification of germ tube negative isolates.

We thank Stuart Cranmer and Daniel Malais, Sanofi Diagnostics Pasteur, for supplying AUXACOLOR and for helpful discussion.
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