Abstract
A 75 year old female presented with a sellar tumour, and was subsequently found also to have a cauda equina tumour, a parietal dural tumour, a pontine tumour, an intradural spinal tumour, and several vertebral body tumours. Histological examination revealed a neuroendocrine tumour forming cell nests surrounded by reticulin. There was moderate nuclear pleomorphism, prominent mitoses, and focal necrosis. Immunohistochemistry showed diffuse positive staining with cytokeratins, chromogranin and 5-hydroxytryptamine, and focal positive staining with S100. This case is an unusual and ultimately insoluble, diagnostic problem; however, the differential diagnoses include pituitary carcinoma, malignant parangangioma, and atypical carcinoid.
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The histopathological examination of neuroendocrine tumours poses particular diagnostic problems for several reasons. One of the most frustrating of these is that the histological appearances do not correlate well with behaviour; metastasis from tumours with a bland cytological appearance is well documented, and tumours showing nuclear atypia may not behave in a malignant fashion. While neuroendocrine differentiation may be evident on light microscopy in some cases, in others immunocytochemistry or electron microscopy may be required. Another problem is that neuroendocrine tumours arise from a wide variety of sites ranging from organoid collections of endocrine and neural cells to a diffuse and scattered neuroendocrine cell population present within many organ systems. The nomenclature of neuroendocrine tumours is consequently confusing as, for historical reasons, different names have been given to tumours that are histologically similar, but have arisen in different sites. In contrast the same name is often applied to tumours with a widely differing prognosis. Histopathological classification of metastatic neuroendocrine tumours is therefore extremely difficult if the primary site is not known or if multiple possibilities are present.

We present the results of histological and immunohistochemical examination of a neuroendocrine tumour present at five sites within the central nervous system: sella turcica, pons, cauda equina, spinal intradural, and parietal falx, together with multiple foci within vertebral bodies. All these tumours presented within months of each other, thus obscuring which, if any, was the primary site.

Case report
A 75 year old female first presented in June 1995 with symptoms and signs of hypopituitarism, together with a deterioration in visual acuity. Computed tomography (CT) revealed a lesion arising from the pituitary fossa with suprasellar extension. The appearances were consistent with a pituitary adenoma and she was treated with replacement therapy; however, no surgical procedure was undertaken at that time.

In October 1995 the patient presented with an exacerbation of lower back pain of one year's duration. A two day history of urinary retention was elicited. Examination revealed decreased sensation within dermatomes L3-L4, and L5, together with absent ankle and knee reflexes bilaterally. CT myelography revealed an intradural lesion at the level of L3–L4. This was surgically decompressed, and tissue removed for histology.

Postoperative recovery of function was good, however, her visual acuity continued to deteriorate. Ophthalmological examination suggested a bitemporal defect, probably produced by the chiasmal lesion. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) confirmed the previously identified sellar lesion, but also detected a central pontine lesion, a lesion apparently arising from the parietal falx, and a smaller, equivocal dural lesion nearby. In addition, an MRI of the cervical and thoracic spine showed a rounded intradural tumour at the level of T1 and vertebral body tumours at T5, T7, and T8. A right pterygoid craniotomy and debulking of the chiasmatic tumour was performed and tissue was submitted for histology. The histological appearances were similar to that of the cauda equina tumour.

There was no improvement in visual acuity and further treatment was felt to be inappropriate because of the presence of widespread tumour. Of note was a complete absence of any symptoms related to other organ systems. Hormone assays were also consistently negative and there was no relevant past medical or family history.
Pathology

Sections of both the spinal and sellar lesions were similar and showed a vascular tumour composed of cells arranged in variably sized nests, some of which were focally arranged in acinar formation (fig 1). Sheets of cells were also present with no specific architectural pattern. No ribbon-like or trabecular areas were seen. Foci of necrosis were present but small. The cells ranged from plump and epithelioid to spindle cell in type, and had a moderate amount of eosinophilic cytoplasm. The nuclei showed moderate pleomorphism, with occasional giant forms. The nuclear chromatin had a speckled distribution and occasional single nucleoli were seen. Mitotic figures were easily identified with, at most, three mitoses per 10 high power fields.

Special stains showed reticulin encapsulation of the cell nests, but no reticulin around individual cells. Stains for mucin and glycogen were negative. A Grimelius stain showed positive cytoplasmic granularity.

There was diffuse immunoreactivity of tumour cells with cytokeratin markers (CAM 5.2 (Becton Dickinson, UK) and MNF 116 (Dako, Denmark)) and neuroendocrine markers neuron specific enolase (NSE, Dako), NCAM (Serotec Ltd, UK), chromogranin A (Dako), and focal positive staining with anti-5-hydroxytryptamine (Dako). Focal positivity for S100 was also seen within the tumour, but appeared to correspond to sustentacular cells, in that the S100 positive cells were situated predominantly at the edges of the cell nests (fig 2). Staining for carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA, Dako), glial fibrillary acidic protein (Dako), human melanoma clone B45 (Dako, USA), and pituitary secretory hormones (adrenocorticotroph hormone, alpha subunit, thyroid stimulating hormone, follicle stimulating hormone, growth hormone, luteinising hormone, alpha human chorionic gonadotrophin, and prolactin) was negative.

As both biopsy specimens were small, no residual tissue was available for de novo electron microscopy.

Discussion

The presence of widespread tumour precluded a diagnosis on the basis of primary site. The tumours were neuroendocrine in nature, with features that increased the likelihood of malignant behaviour. Several differential diagnoses were considered: an atypical carcinoid of unknown primary site, a malignant paraganglioma, and a pituitary carcinoma. This fascinating case posed an ultimately insoluble diagnostic conundrum, and to our knowledge no such case has been reported previously.

Neuroendocrine tumours of the diffuse endocrine system, particularly those of the lung and gastrointestinal tract, have traditionally been referred to as carcinoid tumours. Their
Abstract
While the cytological features of hepatocellular carcinoma on fine needle aspiration cytology are well described, cases of hepatocellular carcinoma with malignant cells in ascitic fluid and their characteristics are not. A patient is described with cirrhosis resulting from chronic hepatitis B virus infection, ascites, and hepatocellular carcinoma diagnosed by effusion cytology. The malignant cells in the effusion were shown to be positive for α-fetoprotein using immunocytochemistry, and for human albumin using in situ hybridisation, confirming the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. Further investigations in a terminally ill patient were thus avoided.

(J Clin Pathol 1997;50:442–444)
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Ascites is a common complication of both cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. The yield of malignant cells from hepatocellular carcinoma is generally low (approximately 10% in the series described by Falconieri et al.), and they may be more often identified in cases of hepatocellular carcinoma without cirrhosis. There may be difficulty distinguishing the malignant cells from reactive and atypical mesothelial cells which are commonly seen in effusions from cirrhotic patients. The immunocytochemical staining profile of hepatocellular carcinoma expressing positivity for keratins and negativity for CEA does not help to distinguish hepatocellular carcinoma cells from mesothelial cells. Positive staining for α-fetoprotein, while helpful, is not specific. Albumin gene detection by in situ hybridisation is a highly specific aid to the confirmation of the origin of the malignant cells in an effusion.

Conclusion
This widespread tumour illustrates the problem of classifying neuroendocrine tumours when there is no obvious primary site. The diagnoses of atypical carcinoid, malignant paranglioma, and pituitary carcinoma were all considered; however, our favoured diagnosis was atypical carcinoid.
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