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Abstract
Aim—To assess the value of the intensive histological work up of axillary sentinel lymph nodes (SLN) to demonstrate regional metastatic disease.

Methods—From a series of 58 successful lymphatic mapping procedures, 78 SLN were analysed by serial sections (mean of 49 levels/SLN) and by immunostaining to cytokeratin and epithelial membrane antigen, and the results compared with those obtained by assessing the central cross section.

Results—The central cross section would have failed to detect metastases in eight of 26 lymph nodes (31%) in patients with breast cancer metastasising to the SLN only. This would have led to a false negative node status in six of 21 patients (29%). Two micrometastases were detected with the aid of immunostains.

Conclusions—The results suggest the need to examine SLN at multiple levels and to use immunohistochemistry in negative cases. Serial sections are also useful in the case of micrometastases, as some of these may convert to macrometastases at deeper levels. Multiple level investigation of SLN and immunohistochemistry in the event of the negativity of standard stains would result in improved staging and an increase in the proportion of node positive disease detected.

(\textit{J Clin Pathol} 1999;52:922–924)
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The sentinel lymph node (SLN) concept has been studied in various contexts, including penile carcinoma, breast cancer, malignant melanoma of the skin, breast cancer, 

1
d, and recently thyroid neoplasms. 

2
Oral cancers and colorectal cancers have also been investigated. More and more data are accumulating to suggest that the SLN is the first target of lymphogenic metastasis in these tumours. The aim of the present study was to investigate the value of serial sectioning and immunostaining of SLN for an assessment of the overall axillary nodal status of breast cancer patients.

Methods
Most tumours reported here were diagnosed as malignant by fine needle aspiration (FNA) cytology preoperatively, except for 10, where intraoperative frozen sections were performed because of equivocal or missing FNA results. From 70 lymphatic mapping procedures involving the use of peritumorally injected patent blue dye, SLN were successfully identified in 58 tumours: one in situ ductal carcinoma, and one microinvasive, 18 pT1, and 38 pT2 breast cancers. There were six tubular mixed, three tubular, three lobular, one mucinous, and one ductal and lobular mixed special type carcinomas. All the remaining tumours were no special type ductal carcinomas. In all, 78 SLN (mean 1.3, range 1 to 3) were recovered, with a mean of 19 non-SLN per patient (range 7 to 42). The SLN were removed separately before completion of axillary dissection. Further technical details of the mapping procedure have been reported elsewhere.

All SLN were fixed in 7% buffered formalin. Smaller nodes were embedded in paraffin in toto, while larger nodes were bisected, with both halves being processed. All the SLN were sectioned serially up to extinction of the blocks, except for those of five patients in whom there was massive involvement macroscopically. Not all 3–5 \mu m thick levels were taken for histology, but every 10th to 20th level was examined and, for a given node, the depths between the examined sections were approximately the same. After every 6th section taken for haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining, one was taken for cytokeratin (Novocastra, NCL-PAN-CK, 1:100 dilution) and epithelial membrane antigen (EMA Biogenex, MU-182-UC, 1:50 dilution), alternately. These latter were investigated only in the case of negative H&E findings. The third dimension of the SLN was then reconstructed on the basis of serially numbered sections.

Results
Of the total of 39 node positive patients, judged on the basis of all nodes, 36 were also SLN positive; in 21 patients the SLN were the only nodes with metastasis, with five instances of micrometastasis (N1a as defined by the American Joint Committee on Cancer; metastases not larger than 2 mm). The SLN involvement of these 21 patients is shown in fig 1.

With the exclusion of SLN Nos 8 and 13b, which were macroscopically metastatic and cut at only nine and three levels, respectively, the SLN were investigated by means of H&E staining at a mean of 49 levels (range 25 to 102). It can be seen from fig 1 that one or two close levels from the central area of the SLN would have failed to detect metastases in eight of the 26 SLN (31%), and this would have led...
to the assignment of a false negative node status to six of the 21 patients (29%) with SLN located metastases only.

In two cases (both ductal carcinomas of no special type), micrometastases were seen on H&E slides only after the immunostains had drawn attention to them. One of these (patient No 2; PAN-CK positive only) is included in fig 1; the other (EMA positive only) had metastases in three non-sentinel nodes as well. Immunostains were of no help in identifying further metastases.

**Discussion**

Because of the relatively high cost of gamma probes, the lymphatic mapping procedure involved in this study used a vital blue dye technique. Technetium-99m labelled colloids and gamma probes have been increasingly used for the identification of SLN, and the combination of the two techniques seems to give the highest success rates. However, vital blue dyes alone may give reliable results. The series suggests that serial sectioning or immunostaining of the SLN would lead to better staging and would result in the conversion of six of 21 patients (29%) considered node negative on the basis of routinely processed SLN. These techniques are not cost-effective as concerns all nodes, but the costs seem to be reasonable for a limited number of SLN.

A pilot study comparing the routine (one central cross section) work up of SLN and subsequent serial sectioning and immunostaining to cytokeratin with CAM 5.2 revealed a 23% conversion rate (three of 13) in originally SLN negative patients. Giuliano and colleagues also compared their SLN biopsy and axillary dissection results (with special processing of SLN) with those gained by axillary dissection and routine work up of lymph nodes. They found a statistically significant increase in node positive cases (38.2% v 29.1%) which could be explained by the more intensive investigation of the SLN. The significance was even higher for micrometastatic disease (3% v 16%). These data are somewhat different as regards absolute values, but seem similar in tendency to our own experience. Because of the lack of an organised screening programme, the median tumour size is larger (2.5 cm), and the proportion of node negative cases is 40–50%. With the introduction of sentinel lymphadenectomies and the special work up of these nodes, nodal positivity has risen to 62%. The frequency of diseases considered to be micrometastatic on the basis of the central cross section generally used was 1–2% during the previous five years, but has become 12% (seven of 58; two micrometastases in non-sentinel nodes) during the one year period of the series analysed.

The extent of histopathological work up remains controversial. Many investigators find routine processing enough, while others use special techniques such as serial sectioning and immunohistochemistry. Jannink and colleagues worked with a mean 14 sections per node and immunohistochemistry, while Giuliano’s group used three levels in bivalved nodes, including immunostained slides. Our approach is certainly not to be recommended as a routine procedure for all SLN, because it is too labour intensive and costly. Before the era of immunohistochemistry, Pickren proposed a three level approach for all nodes, but the histopathologically validated SLN theory could indicate that only the SLN should be investigated with this technique. This would result in reduced costs. The adequacy of investigating three levels at approximately 25%, 50%, and 75% of the tissue blocks has recently been substantiated by finding only one micrometastasis with further serial sectioning and cytokeratin immunohistochemistry in 707 lymph nodes from 34 patients considered node negative on the basis of the three levels originally investigated. Even this micrometastasis was found in one of the two patients whose nodes were originally analysed at the central cross section only. On the other hand our results suggest that a three level approach would have missed four of the metastases (15%) in SLN Nos 2a, 6, 9a, and 19, and would have resulted in downstaging three patients (14%). All but one of these four metastases were micrometastases. Thus more than three levels should be investigated with H&E and immunostains in the event of the negativity of the SLN, but a three level approach may be sufficient and advisable as a first investigation. (Taking up to four separate blocks from each node, depending on overall nodal size, has also been recommended by the United Kingdom NHS breast screening programme.) Further serial (or step) sectioning would also be necessary for micrometastases, as these might become larger at deeper
levels. Figure 2 gives an estimate for the number of steps to be sectioned for revealing all metastatic deposits.

We feel there are increasing data supporting the need for an intensive histopathological work up of SLN and the resulting improved staging. Although there is no consensus about the significance of finding micrometastatic deposits in loco-regional lymph nodes, a recent review has concluded that even micrometastases represent a survival disadvantage.28 However, such a disadvantage can be demonstrated only in studies that involve enough patients and a substantial follow up period. The presence of micrometastases would indicate adjuvant systemic treatment in most clinical settings. SLN involvement detected by the more sensitive reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction will also require survival studies.29 However, care must be taken when subgroup analyses of patient survival are performed, and the Will Rogers statistical artefact of stage migration should be considered seriously.30 A final consideration is that, although the SLN theory of work up of SLN.
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