Polypoid uterine lesions mimicking endometrial stromal sarcoma
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Abstract
Two polypoid submucosal uterine lesions were examined histologically and immunohistochemically with monoclonal antibodies to desmin and α-smooth muscle actin. One case comprised a leiomyoma and the other a polypoid form of adenomyosis. Both polyps had prolapsed through the external cervical os. The lesions had an ulcerated surface with focal areas of marked increased cellularity and pronounced vascularity throughout, such that they mimicked a low grade endometrial stromal sarcoma infiltrating the myometrium. The cellular areas showed diffuse positivity for desmin and α-smooth muscle actin, confirming them to be of smooth muscle origin. The changes of marked hypercellularity and pronounced vascularity within polypoid submucosal uterine lesions have not been emphasised in published reports up to now. Pathologists should be aware of these morphological features in order to avoid misdiagnosis of such cases as endometrial stromal sarcomas. The changes described here are likely to be secondary to trauma associated with a polypoid lesion prolapsing through the external cervical os. 
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Uterine fibroids or leiomyomata are the most common tumours of the uterus and are among the most common neoplasms to arise in women, with a prevalence of between 20% and 40% after the age of 30 years.1,2 They may be classified as intramural, submucosal, or subserosal, depending on the location within the uterus. Submucosal tumours may project as polyps into the endometrial cavity or even into the cervix or vagina. Adenomyosis is a common non-neoplastic condition in which endometrial glands and stroma are found within the myometrium. Occasionally a focus of adenomyosis may assume a polypoid form and project into the endometrial cavity. Alternatively, adenomyosis may involve a submucosal uterine fibroid.

Recently we have encountered two polypoid submucosal lesions, both of which prolapsed through the external cervical os and which on histological examination created diagnostic confusion resulting in consideration of a low grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. One was a leiomyoma and the other an adenomyomatous polyp. We believe the morphological features we describe are secondary to trauma associated with a polypoid lesion. These features are not described in standard pathology texts and histopathologists should be aware of them if misdiagnoses are to be avoided.

Case reports
Case 1 was a 36 year old woman, para 2+0. She had suffered from menorrhagia for several years which resulted in iron deficiency anaemia. Her only medication on admission was the combined oral contraceptive pill, although she had been taking norethisterone (Primolut) in the past. She had never taken goserelin or any other gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist. Vaginal examination revealed a large fibroid which prolapsed through the external cervical os. This was connected to the uterus by a thick stalk. Myomectomy was performed by the vaginal route. The remaining uterus was bulky but no other fibroids were seen.

Case 2 was a 69 year old woman, para 4+0, who presented with a sensation of prolapse. There was no postmenopausal bleeding. She had been on hormone replacement therapy for 10 years but had never taken goserelin or any other gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist. Vaginal examination revealed a second degree uterovaginal prolapse with a large polyp.
protruding through the external cervical os. She underwent vaginal hysterectomy with anterior and posterior repair.

Methods

The surgical specimens were fixed in formalin. Sections for histological examination were routinely processed in paraffin wax and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Immunohistochemical staining was performed using a standard streptavidin–biotin–peroxidase method (Dako). Sections were stained with monoclonal antibodies to desmin (Dako) and α-smooth muscle actin (Sigma).

Results

PATHOLOGICAL FINDINGS

Case 1

The gross specimen in case 1 comprised two pieces of tissue, the larger measuring 4 cm in maximum diameter. On sectioning both were white in colour with a whorled appearance.

Histological examination of multiple sections showed a polypoid lesion with an ulcerated surface covered by actively inflamed necrotic debris (fig 2). Within this ulcerated surface, occasional residual endometrial glands were identified. Approximately 50% of the lesion comprised a typical leiomyoma with interlacing bundles and fascicles of spindle shaped cells with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm. In the remainder of the lesion there was pronounced vascularity with numerous thick walled blood vessels (fig 2A). Between these vessels, groups of ovoid to spindle shaped cells with scanty cytoplasm were present (fig 2B). These cells had vesicular nuclei and sometimes small nucleoli and were admixed with lymphocytes and plasma cells. There was no significant nuclear pleomorphism or evidence of lymphovascular permeation and no mitotic figures were identified. These groups of cells created the impression of myometrial invasion by low grade endometrial stromal sarcoma.

Case 2

The surgical specimen in case 2 comprised a uterus and cervix together weighing 127 g. An 8 cm long polyp with a club shaped distal end arose from the fundus of the uterus and projected through the external cervical os. The polyp was attached to the uterine wall by a stalk. On sectioning the uterus, areas of adenomyosis were seen within the wall.

Histological examination of multiple sections taken from the polyp showed it to have an ulcerated surface covered by actively inflamed necrotic debris. The stalk of the polyp was focally lined by endometrial type glands and focally by metaplastic squamous epithelium. Much of the polyp was composed of obvious smooth muscle with interlacing bundles and fascicles of spindle shaped cells with abundant cytoplasm. Several collections of endometrial glands and stroma were present (fig 3A), in keeping with adenomyosis. Throughout the lesion there was a pronounced vascularity with numerous thick walled blood vessels similar to those in case 1. There were also groups of ovoid to spindle shaped cells with scanty cytoplasm, again creating the impression of myometrial invasion by low grade endometrial stromal sarcoma (fig 3B). The cells had an evenly dispersed chromatin and in areas were arranged in a trabecular pattern (fig 3C). There was no significant nuclear pleomorphism or evidence of lymphovascular permeation, and mitotic figures were not identified. There was a scattering of lymphocytes and plasma cells throughout the lesion. Histology of sections taken from the adjacent endometrium showed postmenopausal cystic atrophy. There were multiple foci of adenomyosis within the myometrium. No significant histological abnormality was seen within the cervix.

IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL FINDINGS

In both cases the small ovoid to spindle shaped cells with scanty cytoplasm, as well as the typical smooth muscle cells, showed diffuse strong cytoplasmic positivity for desmin (fig 4) and α-smooth muscle actin.

Discussion

The increased cellularity and prominent vascularity resulted in consideration of an endometrial stromal lesion in the two cases under consideration. The fact that the increased
cellularity and vascularity were focal within the lesions created the impression of myometrial infiltration by low grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. It was important to know that the histological sections examined were selected from a polypoid lesion, stressing the importance of close correlation between gross pathology and microscopy. A low grade endometrial stromal sarcoma was excluded by diffuse positivity of the cellular areas for desmin and α-smooth muscle actin, markers of smooth muscle differentiation. Although focal immunoreactivity to these proteins may be present in endometrial stromal lesions, diffuse positivity is unusual, and we believe the cellular areas within the two lesions to be of smooth muscle origin. A clue to the smooth muscle nature of the cellular areas was the pattern of the vascularity. In endometrial stromal lesions the prominent vascularity is usually in the form of numerous small arterioles whereas in the present cases blood vessels were thick walled and muscular, in keeping with those commonly found in smooth muscle neoplasms.

The microscopic admixture of smooth muscle areas and foci resembling endometrial stromal sarcoma raised the possibility of a mixed smooth muscle–endometrial stromal neoplasm (so called stromomyoma), especially in case 1 where endometrial glands and stroma were not present. The existence of such hybrid neoplasms is recognised in the World Health Organisation classification of uterine tumours and a recent report has drawn attention to these. The fact that these hybrid tumours exist is not surprising since it has been suggested that multipotential cells may be present in the uterus which can differentiate into endometrial stromal cells and smooth muscle cells. A mixed smooth muscle–endometrial stromal neoplasm was excluded in the present cases by immunohistochemical studies which showed unequivocal smooth muscle differentiation throughout the lesions with the exception of the obvious foci of adenomyosis in case 2.

When cellular areas similar to those described are present within a uterine fibroid the term “cellular leiomyoma” is often used. It is well known that the distinction between this and an endometrial stromal neoplasm may not be straightforward and it has been suggested that highly cellular leiomyomas are often misdiagnosed as endometrial stromal tumours. The morphological picture in a cellular leiomyoma generally does not resemble that seen in our two cases, where the focal increased cellularity created the impression of myometrial infiltration by low grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. In contrast, in cellular leiomyomas the cellular areas tend to be present throughout the lesion, or in a large part of it, and the main differential diagnosis is an endometrial stromal nodule rather than a low grade stromal sarcoma. Increased cellularity in leiomyomas has also been described in association with gonadotropin releasing hormone agonists, especially goserelin. Neither of the patients in our present report had been receiving such drugs, which are often used to reduce the size of uterine fibroids before surgical removal.

The two lesions in the present report were polypoid and submucosal in location. We believe the morphological features we describe were secondary to trauma associated with a
polypoid lesion which prolapsed through the external cervical os. Interestingly the foci of increased cellularity and prominent vascularity were not restricted to the surface immediately adjacent to the areas of ulceration but were distributed throughout the lesions. In our experience it is not uncommon to find such prominent vascularity within polypoid submucosal uterine fibroids which prolapse through the external cervical os. However, we feel the presence of such increased cellularity resulting in consideration of an endometrial stromal sarcoma is extremely uncommon.

CONCLUSIONS
In summary, we describe two submucosal polypoid uterine lesions with unusual morphological appearances which resembled low grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. This phenomenon of increased cellularity and pronounced vascularity within polypoid uterine lesions is not well described in standard pathology texts and we believe histopathologists should be aware of this potential diagnostic pitfall if erroneous diagnoses are to be avoided.
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