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Abstract

**Aims**—To investigate the association of cagA positivity and non-opsonic neutrophil activation capacity in wild-type *Helicobacter pylori* strains with peptic ulcer disease or chronic gastritis only.

**Methods**—*Helicobacter pylori* were isolated from antral biopsies of 53 consecutive patients with chronic antral gastritis, of whom 24 had peptic ulcer disease endoscopically. The presence of cagA, a marker for the cag pathogenicity island, was determined by polymerase chain reaction with specific oligonucleotide primers, and non-opsonic neutrophil activation capacity by luminol enhanced chemiluminescence.

**Results**—The cagA gene was present in 39 of 53 (73.6%) strains, 20 of which (83.3%) were from the 24 patients with peptic ulcer disease and 19 (65.5%) from the 29 patients with chronic gastritis only. Non-opsonic neutrophil activation was found in 29 (54.7%) strains, 16 of which (66.7%) were from patients with peptic ulcer disease, and 13 (44.8%) from those with chronic gastritis. Non-opsonic neutrophil activation was found more frequently in cagA+ than cagA− strains (59% v 42.9%). Whereas four of the 14 cagA− strains and eight of the 24 non-opsonic neutrophil activation negative strains were from patients with peptic ulcer disease, only two of 24 (8.3%) peptic ulcer disease strains expressed neither cagA nor non-opsonic neutrophil activation. The cagA gene and non-opsonic neutrophil activation capacity were co-expressed in 14 of 24 (58.3%) strains from patients with peptic ulcer disease, and in nine of 29 (31%) strains from individuals with chronic gastritis.

**Conclusions**—Positivity for cagA and non-opsonic neutrophil activation occur independently in wild-type *H pylori* strains. However, co-expression of the two markers enhanced the prediction of peptic ulcer disease.
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There is convincing evidence that *Helicobacter pylori* infection is the main cause of peptic ulcer disease and a very important cofactor for the development of gastric cancer.1–3 It is also one of the world’s most common bacterial infec-

**Materials and methods**

**HELICOBACTER PYLORI STRAINS AND PATIENTS**

A total of 53 wild-type *H pylori* strains were included in our study. They were all isolated from antral biopsies taken from 53 individual patients referred for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy at the division of gastroenterology, department of internal medicine, Örebro Medical Centre Hospital, Örebro, Sweden.
Forty nine of the strains had been used in a previous study.17 None of the patients had previously received eradication treatment for *H pylori* infection, and patients on non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) were excluded. Histologically, all patients had chronic antral gastritis. Endoscopically, 24 patients had peptic ulcer disease; 10 patients had a duodenal ulcer, one of whom also had a gastric ulcer; seven patients had a prepyloric ulcer; five patients had a gastric ulcer; two patients had a pyloric ulcer, one of whom also had a gastric ulcer.

All the strains showed typical colony morphology, were oxidase, catalase and urease positive, and identified as typical curved rods by Gram stain. For the in vitro experiments, the strains were grown on brain heart infusion agar with 10% horse blood, without antibiotics, in a microaerophilic atmosphere for two or three days at 37°C. The individual strains were stored in preservation medium at −70°C until assayed. DNA was extracted from the bacterial pellets using phenol/chloroform and precipitated. The presence of cagA was determined by PCR using cagA specific primers (table 1), as described previously.24 PCR amplification of ureA was used as a positive control. In each PCR assay, DNA extracted from the cagA positive strain NCTC 11637 and the cagA negative G50 strain2 was used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Strains were considered cagA positive when the product of expected size was observed.

### STATISTICS

Fischer’s exact test was used for the statistical calculations.

### Results

Tables 2 and 3 show the presence of the cagA gene and non-opsonic neutrophil activation in the 53 *H pylori* strains, individually and combined, in the 24 patients with peptic ulcer disease and the 29 non-ulcer patients with chronic gastritis only.

The cagA gene was present in 39 of 53 (73.6%) strains, 20 of which (83.3%) were from patients with peptic ulcer disease, and 19 (65.5%) from patients with chronic gastritis only. The corresponding figures for cagA negative strains were four (16.7%) and 10 (34.5%), respectively (table 2). The difference between the patient groups was not significant (p = 0.212).

Non-opsonic neutrophil activation capacity was found in 29 of 53 (54.7%) strains, 16 of which (66.7%) were from patients with peptic ulcer disease, and 13 (44.8%) from patients with chronic gastritis alone. The corresponding
figures for strains without non-opsonic neutrophil activation capacity were eight (33.3%) and 16 (55.2%), respectively (table 2). The difference between the patient groups was not significant (p = 0.166).

Non-opsonic neutrophil activation was found more frequently in cagA than cagA− strains (59% vs 42.9%). Whereas four of the 14 cagA− strains and eight of the 24 non-opsonic neutrophil activation negative strains were from patients with peptic ulcer disease, only two of 24 (8.3%) peptic ulcer disease strains expressed neither cagA nor non-opsonic neutrophil activation (tables 2 and 3). The cagA gene and non-opsonic neutrophil activation were co-expressed in 14 of 24 (58.3%) strains from patients with peptic ulcer disease, and in nine of 29 (31%) strains from individuals with chronic gastritis. Only one marker—that is, either cagA or non-opsonic neutrophil activation capacity—or none, was expressed in 10 (41.7%) strains from patients with peptic ulcer disease, and in 20 of 29 (69%) strains from individuals with chronic gastritis only. This gives a p value of 0.056 between the two patient groups (Fischer’s exact test).

Discussion

Neutrophils are important cells in the first line of defence against invading microorganisms in general, and pathogenic bacteria in particular. When stimulated to phagocytose they produce an array of reactive oxygen metabolites and release highly biologically active enzymes and other factors, which can be detrimental not only to invading microbes but also to cells and tissues of the host. Helicobacter pylori strains with the cag pathogenicity island are associated with an enhanced neutrophil response in vivo, probably because of their ability to induce IL-8 production in gastric epithelial cells. Therefore, we hypothesised that the co-expression of cagA and non-opsonic neutrophil activation capacity in wild-type clinical H pylori isolates might be related to the mucosal damage associated with H pylori infection. Our findings support this view, and co-expression of cagA and non-opsonic neutrophil activation enhanced the prediction of peptic ulcer disease as compared with chronic gastritis only.

The mosaicism of the cagA genotype and non-opsonic neutrophil activation capacity among the clinical isolates was obvious. One or both of these factors occurred in 45 of 53 (84.9%) strains, and they were more frequently found in patients with peptic ulcer disease than in individuals with chronic gastritis only (91.7% vs 79.3%). The lack of a significant association between non-opsonic neutrophil activation and peptic ulcer disease is in contrast to one previous study. However, only 49 of the 55 strains used in our previous study were included in our present study. Nonetheless, non-opsonic neutrophil activation was more frequent in patients with peptic ulcer disease than in individuals with chronic gastritis (66.7% vs 44.8%).

Helicobacter pylori are broadly divided into type I and type II strains, the former characterised by the presence of CagA, a marker of the cag pathogenicity island, and the production of vaculating cytotoxin, VacA. In between the two extremes of type I and type II, there are a number of subpopulations of intermediate strains. In studies to predict the clinical outcome of H pylori infection, considerable attention has been paid to vacA and cagA genotypes. Even though many studies from Europe and the USA show particular vacA genotypes to be significantly more frequent in patients with peptic ulcer disease than in individuals with non-ulcer dyspepsia, there are studies, particularly from countries in Asia, with conflicting results. In earlier studies, we found that all the strains with vaculating cytotoxin activity were positive either for non-opsonic neutrophil activation or for cagA, or both (D Danielsson et al. Presented at the North American Helicobacter pylori meeting, Foundation for Gastrointestinal Microbial Pathogens; Phoenix Arizona, February 27–28, 1998). In fact, more than 80% of cytotoxin producing strains co-expressed the cagA gene and non-opsonic neutrophil activation. Even though CagA, non-opsonic neutrophil activation, and the VacA cytotoxin may occur independently, it is obvious that the concomitant occurrence of two or all three markers will enhance the prediction of clinical outcome. Whereas the genotypic loci for cagA and vacA are known, the gene encoding non-opsonic neutrophil activation capacity remains to be determined.

In summary, co-expression in H pylori strains of non-opsonic neutrophil activation and the cag pathogenicity island will enhance gastric mucosal inflammation, and together with the vaculating cytotoxin could be considered as virulence factors that can be used to predict clinical outcome.
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