Problematic pigmented lesions: approach to diagnosis
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Abstract
A number of pigmented lesions are difficult to classify and raise the possibility of a melanoma diagnosis. Care should be exercised to exclude non-melanocytic lesions, and benign melanocytic entities, both of which can mimic melanoma histologically. In addition, the possibility of the lesion being a melanoma variant or epidermotropic metastasis should be considered. There will still be some cases that are difficult to resolve. These usually fall into one of three categories: atypical junctional melanocytic lesion versus early melanoma; naevus versus naevoid melanoma; and atypical Spitz, cellular blue, and deep penetrating naevi versus thick melanoma. These will pose problems even for experts. The atypical Spitz lesions are perhaps the most important category because they tend to be from younger individuals, the differential diagnosis is thick melanoma, and there is no single discriminating histological feature.

(Poplin Pathol 2000;53:409–418)
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Pigmented skin lesions comprise a large component of most histopathologists’ workload, and although there is a wide spectrum of histological appearances, most can be reported as simple benign naevi. A small number will be typical malignant melanomas. However, some pigmented skin lesions will be more difficult to classify when there are unusual features present such as asymmetry of the silhouette, excessive junctional activity, deep extension into the subcutis, cellular pleomorphism, or mitotic activity. These latter cases, although small in number, are very important clinically because of the time and attention they require and the potential for litigation, as a result of both under- and over-diagnosis of melanoma. Therefore, it is important to approach all melanocytic and pigmented lesions in a ritualistic and consistent manner to avoid potential serious diagnostic errors. Our review attempts to present a logical approach to this problem and highlights the problematic diagnostic areas, particularly Spitz naevi.

General guidelines
Before looking at a pigmented lesion it is vital to know certain clinical factors, particularly the age of the patient, the anatomical location of the lesion, and if there has been previous surgery or trauma. The age is important because melanomas are more common with increasing age and are extremely rare (although they do occur) in children. Spitz naevi occur predominantly in children and young adults, and are increasingly uncommon in the older age groups. Some features related to an actively growing lesion are more acceptable in children and adolescents than they would be in an adult. For example, a lentiginous junctional growth pattern in an adult would be a pointer to in situ melanoma, whereas it would not infer the same in a child.

It is also essential to know the site of the biopsy. This is important for two reasons. First, different anatomical locations have different qualities of skin, which cause both benign and malignant melanocytic lesions to grow in characteristic patterns. This is particularly pertinent to the in situ component of melanomas, which aids their recognition. For example, lentigo maligna occurs on sun exposed skin of older individuals and presents as a lentiginous proliferation of atypical melanocytes in an atrophic epidermis. Benign melanocytic lesions at these sites and in this age group are predominantly intradermal naevi. Acral lentiginous melanoma in situ occurs on acral skin and histologically grows as a subtle lentiginous proliferation of atypical melanocytes in an atrophic epidermis. Benign melanocytic lesions at these sites and in this age group are predominantly intradermal naevi. Acral lentiginous melanoma in situ occurs on acral skin and histologically grows as a subtle lentiginous proliferation on the background of an epidermis with psoriasiform hyperplasia, whereas acral naevi are typically nested and can show florid junctional activity (figs 1 and 2). Second,
benign melanocytic lesions from certain sites in the body can, as a normal feature, exhibit architectural and possibly cytological atypia (see below). Therefore, care should be exercised when examining naevi from these sites to prevent over diagnosing atypical naevi or melanoma.

It is also important to know whether there has been a previous shave biopsy or incomplete excision, because regrowth of melanocytes in scar tissue can mimic melanoma, and create a so called pseudomelanoma phenomenon. For the remaining lesions that are not readily classifiable, all the tissue should be examined, with levels cut where appropriate. Before attempting to remove these cases it is important first to exclude lesions that can mimic melanoma and to consider melanocytic lesions that are recognised entities, and which could account for the atypical features present within a particular melanocytic lesion. These other lesions to be considered and excluded can be categorised as follows:

- Non-melanocytic entities that might be clinically pigmented and histologically can mimic melanocytic lesions (table 1).
- Benign melanocytic entities that can mimic malignant melanoma:
  1. Those that mimic thick melanoma (table 2).
  2. Those that mimic thin melanoma (table 3).
- Malignant melanoma variants that can mimic benign melanocytic lesions (table 4).
- Epidermotropic metastatic melanoma that can mimic primary melanoma and benign naevi.

Non-melanocytic lesions that can mimic melanocytic lesions

These lesions can mostly be excluded if a thorough examination is undertaken and appropriate special stains are performed. Melan-A and S100 stains are the most useful to detect melanocytic lineage, with S100 being more sensitive but less specific than Melan-A. Both stain benign and malignant melanocytic cells strongly and diffusely, with the exception of Melan-A in desmoplastic melanomas, where there is little or no staining. All of the lesions in this group are Melan-A negative. Most are S100 protein negative, with only granular cell tumours being S100 protein positive, and most but not all reports of cellular neurothekeoma being S100 negative.

ATYPICAL FIBROXANTHOMA

This tumour occurs most commonly on sun exposed skin of elderly individuals, and is composed of atypical histiocyte like cells, giant cells, and spindle cells. Mitotic figures including atypical forms are usually readily identifiable. It is a diagnosis of exclusion with S100 positive, Melan-A, and pancytokeratin staining being negative. More recently, a monomorphic spindle cell variant and a pigmented variant have been described, which have the clinical and immunohistochemical pattern identical to typical atypical fibroxanthoma. The former variant lacks the prominent cellular pleomorphism, being composed predominantly of spindle cells, whereas the cellular component of the pigmented variant contains erythrocytes and/or haemosiderin in the cytoplasm.

BEDNAR TUMOUR

Pigmented dermatofibrosarcoma protubersans although rare could mimic spindle cell melanoma.

CLEAR CELL DERMATO Fibroma

This is a rare variant of dermatofibroma, which tends to occur on the lower limbs of middle aged adults. Microscopically, it is a well circumscribed dermal to subcutaneous tumour, which stains faintly, because over 90% of the constituent cells have a clear cytoplasm and stain with PAS (periodic acid Schiff). They may mimic balloon cell nae void and/or melanoma, but they are S100 protein and cytokeratin negative.

EPITHELIOID HISTIOCYTOMA

This is an unusual and still poorly recognised variant of dermatofibroma that was first described by Edward Wilson-Jones et al in 1989, and typically presents as a nodule on the extremities. It differs from conventional dermatofibroma in its relatively sharp circumscription, prominent vascularity, centering on the papillary dermis, predominance of epithelioid cells, and relative lack of other secondary features such as haemosiderin and giant cells (figs 3 and 4). A deep seated cellular variant has been recognised. This entity can mimic other non-fibrohistiocytic tumours such as...
as desmoplastic Spitz naevus and pyogenic granuloma. In some cases, the differential diagnosis of secondary melanoma might be considered because of the presence of a rare mitotic figure and the lack of maturation in its depth (fig 4). The epithelioid cell histiocytoma can be distinguished by its symmetry, circumscription, absence of a true junctional component, nesting, and melanin pigmentation, and can be confirmed by the immunohistochemical profile.

**EXTRAMAMMARY PAGET'S DISEASE, GRANULAR CELL TUMOUR, MASTOCYTOMA (FIGS 5–7), METASTATIC LOBULAR CARCINOMA, AND MYCOSIS FUNGOIDES**

In certain instances, these entities can be mistaken for both benign and malignant melanocytic lesions. All are Melan-A negative, and all with the exception of granular cell tumour are S100 protein negative.

**NEUROTHEKEOMA**

There is considerable confusion as to the terminology and the histogenesis of these lesions. Similar appearing lesions have been called nerve sheath myxomas, pacinian neurofibromas, and neurothekeoma. They have been subdivided into cellular and myxoid variants with different clinical, histological, and immunohistochemical appearances. The myxoid variant, occurring in older individuals, is a well circumscribed encapsulated tumour located in the reticular dermis, and is composed of packets of myxoid stroma containing whorls or fascicles of spindle cells. The cells are bipolar in appearance. The tumour cells are S100 protein positive, and negative for myelin basic protein (MBP), epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), and glial fibrillary acid protein (GFAP). The cellular variant occurs in individuals of a wide age range. It is described as being limited to the reticular epidermis with a Grenz zone. The tumour is multilobular and composed of plump spindle cells or epithelioid cells arranged in a concentric or linear array. There might be nuclear pleomorphism and hyperchromasia. Occasional mitotic figures have been described. There is confusion regarding the immunohistochemical profile, with most studies claiming that the cellular variant stains S100 negative whereas one group claims that the staining pattern is positive.

Clearly, this is a heterogeneous group of lesions but the importance is to consider these in relation to desmoplastic melanoma. When encountering a spindle cell lesion with atypia and mitotic activity from the head and neck area in an older individual, which stains S100 positive, it would be prudent to consider it more likely to be a desmoplastic melanoma than a neurothekeoma. Careful attention should be made to the dermo-epidermal junction, although a junctional component is not always required for the diagnosis of desmoplastic melanoma (see below).

**Benign melanocytic lesions that can mimic melanoma**

**THOSE THAT CAN MIMIC THICK LESIONS**

**Ancient naevus**

Some naevi from the face of older (> 50 years) individuals can show some degree of atypia that could lead to the erroneous diagnosis of melanoma, particularly melanoma arising in association with benign naevus. These lesions
are usually exo-endophytic, intradermal proliferations composed of two populations of cells. One population has large pleomorphic nuclei and might resemble Spitz cells, and the other is a population of small monomorphous cells. There might be secondary degenerative changes, which include thrombi, zones of haemorrhage, pseudoangiomatous pattern, thick rims of sclerosis around dilated venules, and mucin. A few mitotic figures might be present.\(^{28}\) However, if the mitotic figures are in the base of the lesion, are atypical, or numerous, the diagnosis is almost certainly that of melanoma.\(^{29}\)

**Balloon cell naevus**

These have the conventional histology of naevi with variable amounts of balloon cells as constituent cells. The balloon cells are large, round to oval, and have abundant clear or pale foamy cytoplasm. They need to be differentiated from balloon cell melanoma.\(^{30}\)

**Cellular blue naevus**

Blue naevi have traditionally been divided into common and cellular blue types but the separation is artificial because there is frequent overlap. Lesions, which are predominantly cellular blue naevi, can mimic both primary and secondary melanoma. They can cause diagnostic difficulty if they are of a large size, and extend into fat. They are typically unencapsulated and grow in fascicles or in an alveolar pattern. They can show mild cytological atypia.\(^{31,32}\) Myxoid change has been described as a degenerative phenomenon in large lesions and this is associated with cellular pleomorphism and pseudovascular invasion.\(^{33}\) However, these myxoid areas are in the centre of the tumour, and myxoid areas are not a feature of lesions that have been called malignant blue naevus. Epithelioid and sclerosing variants of blue naevus have also been described,\(^{34,35}\) as have atypical cellular blue naevi, which exhibit cytological atypia but have a benign clinical behaviour.\(^{36}\) Features indicating malignancy in blue naevi like lesions are pronounced cytological atypia, gross variation in pigmentation, foci of necrosis, and numerous mitotic figures.\(^{37}\)

**Combined naevus**

Any combination of naevi can occur within the same lesion—for example, Spitz, blue, and common naevi, and this can cause diagnostic problems, particularly if there is a deep component.\(^{38}\)

**Compound Spitz naevus**

Spitz naevi can be junctional, compound, or intradermal (desmoplastic naevus). They can also be part of a combined naevus. In all these situations they can cause major diagnostic problems, with the differential diagnosis being melanoma. These lesions form a major component of expert referral practices.\(^{39}\) It is particularly the deep compound lesions that cause most of the diagnostic problems because they frequently occur in children and young adults, and if diagnosed as melanoma they carry a poor prognosis.

Typically they are non-pigmented, symmetrical, and composed of either large epithelioid and/or spindle cells. There might be pronounced cytological atypia, with nuclear atypia and mitotic figures, and intranuclear inclu-

ions. The cells should have an infiltrative growth pattern at the lower margin, with cells drifting in single fashion between the collagen bundles. The overlying epidermis frequently shows pseudop epitheliomatous hyperplasia, the stroma is oedematous, particularly in the upper portion, and there are Kamino bodies in the papillary dermis.\(^{40,41}\) Many authors have emphasised that there is no single discriminating factor for melanoma and compound Spitz naevi, because virtually every attribute of Spitz naevi has been described in melanoma.\(^{42-50}\) The most dependable discriminating features are the lack of maturation of the cells at the base, presence of numerous, deep, or atypical mitoses, and deep extension in a bulbous manner into the fat, which favour a diagnosis of melanoma over Spitz naevus.\(^{51}\)

Spitz lesions that show some of these latter features and yet lack the full criteria for the diagnosis of melanoma have been termed by some “atypical Spitz lesions”\(^{52}\). Barnhill et al have described these lesions in children and young adults.\(^{4,53}\) In young children, they are larger than Spitz naevi, are asymmetric with deep extension (mean depth, 4.36 mm), show prominent cellularity, and have deeply located mitoses, occasional atypical mitoses, and cytological atypia, with some having dermal nodules with rounded pushing margins. These features are similar to those described by Smith et al, also in young adults,\(^{54}\) and he called these lesions malignant Spitz naevi. In Smith’s series, six of the 32 cases had resulted in nodal metastasis, but they could not distinguish histologically those lesions that did not metastasise from those that did. This is similar to Barnhill’s series, where histology could not separate those lesions that he called “atypical Spitz tumours” from those that he categorised as “metastasising Spitz naevi.”\(^{52}\)

The problem is further confounded by the proposal that there exists a group of Spitz tumours in children that will result in nodal metastasis, but will not progress beyond this stage. These have been called “malignant Spitz naevi” and also “atypical Spitz naevus with metastasis”\(^{52,55}\) The problem is the length of follow up. Many, however, would regard these lesions as spitzoid melanomas.

**Deep penetrating naevus (plexiform spindle cell naevus)**

This was first described by Seab et al in 1989 as a lesion that clinically and histologically can mimic thick melanoma, and occurs predominately in the head and neck and upper extremities of young individuals. Histologically, they described a symmetrical, wedge shaped lesion, which extended into and had its apex in the reticular dermis or fat (fig 8). The cells are arranged in nests or fascicles interspersed with melanophages (fig 9). The nests surround hair follicles, sweat glands, blood vessels, and nerves. The cells are spindle in
morphology, with nuclear pleomorphism, but mitoses are rare. A junctional component is present in most cases.53–57 Others have termed similar lesions “plexiform spindle cell naevi”, because of their plexiform architecture, and commented that not all lesions were deeply penetrating.58 Some of these lesions might be variants of cellular blue naevi. However, the most important differential diagnosis is that of desmoplastic melanoma.34

Naeveus with atypical dermal nodules
These naevi, which present clinically with a new focus of increased pigmentation, have the corresponding histology of sharply demarcated dermal nodules of large melanocytes usually showing mild nuclear atypia.61 This entity might be similar to the entities called atypical epithelioid tumours in congenital naevi, proliferating dermal lesions in congenital naevi, bizarre naevi, and naevus with a biphasic dermal pattern.62–66 They might be a variant of combined naevus.

THOSE THAT CAN MIMIC THIN LESIONS
This group of lesions comprises predominantly junctional melanocytic proliferations that can mimic early melanoma and atypical (dysplastic) naevi. It includes: junctional/pagetoid Spitz naevus, pigmented spindle cell naevus, acral and genital naevus, naevus following UV irradiation, pseudomelanoma, naevus of infancy, and halo naevus. These lesions have been the subject of a recent review.67 Because most of the other lesions can be distinguished with the help of the clinical history, we will discuss junctional Spitz naevus only. Naevi from special anatomical locations other than acral and genital sites will also be mentioned.

Junctional Spitz naevus
Junctional Spitz naevi are the least common variant of Spitz naevi, and the diagnosis should be considered when the melanocytic lesion is predominantly junctional, and is composed of large epithelioid or spindle cells from a child or young adult.51 The principal differential diagnosis is early/in situ melanoma. Features favouring the diagnosis of Spitz are young age, the cells all being very similar cytologically to each other, and a uniformity of activity throughout the lesion.

Naevus from special anatomical locations
It is well recognised that naevi from the acral and genital sites, the latter from premenopausal women, can exhibit architectural and cytological atypia as a normal feature.67 However, these atypical features have also been described in...
lesions from certain other sites; namely, axilla, perineum, groin, umbilicus, and inframammary sites. The atypical features seen in these lesions include a lentiginous growth pattern, large nest size, and mild cytological atypia. These factors must be taken into account when assessing melanocytic lesions from these anatomical sites.

**Malignant melanoma variants that can mimic benign lesions**

**BALLOON CELL MELANOMA**

These are rare malignant tumours in which the cellular component should contain more than 50% balloon cells. These cells are large polygonal with abundant vacuolated or finely granular cytoplasm. There is only low grade nuclear atypia and occasional intraepidermal pagetoid spread. The principal differential diagnosis is that of balloon cell naevus.

**DESMOPLASTIC MELANOMA**

Also called neurotropic melanoma, desmoplastic melanomas are a relatively uncommon melanoma variant composed of spindle cells with a prominent desmoplastic reaction and frequent perineural involvement. They occur predominantly, but not exclusively, on the head and neck areas of individuals in their seventh to eighth decade. They are poorly circumscribed, deeply infiltrating (usually > 4 mm), and are composed of spindle cells with atypia, no maturation, but with neurotropism, lymphocytic response, mitotic figures, atypical mitotic figures, triangular and perivascular fibroplasia, and possibly necrosis. Atypical junctional activity is seen in less than half the cases. They are almost always S100 protein positive and Melan-A and HMB-45 negative (figs 10 and 11). Although they might be mistaken for a variety of benign and malignant mesenchymal tumours, other important differential diagnoses include desmoplastic naevus, sclerosing blue naevus, and neurothekeoma.

**REGRESSED MELANOMA**

Malignant melanoma undergoing active regression can mimic a halo naevus and it is important to differentiate between them. Clinical features can help, in that halo naevi are more common in children and young adults and melanomas are more common in adults, although there is potential overlap. Halo naevi tend to be smaller lesions and the regression is equal in intensity and stage throughout the lesion. Patchy variable regressive features in an older individual is a pointer to the fact that the lesion is melanoma.

Melanomas that have undergone complete regression, leaving only heavy dermal melanin pigmentation, can mimic blue naevi.

**SMALL CELL (NON-MERKEL) MELANOMA**

There are two categories of small cell melanoma. One is Merkel like, and is composed of sheets of small hyperchromatic cells with a high mitotic rate. The other is naevus like, and therefore is the one under consideration in this category. This entity is recognised by the presence of an atypical junctional proliferation, which is in situ melanoma, and a dermal component composed of large nests of cells with scanty cytoplasm, nuclear hyperchromasia, and pleomorphism. Between the dermal nests is a prominent vascular response and a patchy lymphocytic infiltrate (fig 12). They may be misdiagnosed as either benign naevus or in situ melanoma.

**SPITZOID MELANOMA**

Most of the features seen in Spitz naevi have been described in melanoma. It is not surprising that a small number of unequivocal melanomas will have several features seen in Spitz naevi, particularly the silhouettes and the large epithelioid cells. These have been termed spitzoid melanomas. These are not Spitz
naevi that have become malignant, but melano-
mas that resemble Spitz naevi. However, some
believe that there is not only a spectrum of hist-
tological appearances between Spitz naevi and
spitzoid melanoma, but that the two entities
represent a biological spectrum of one disease
process.79

VARICOSE MELANOMA
Malignant melanoma that arises in skin with
pronounced stasis damage might be over-
looked clinically because of the brownish
discoloration of the skin and, histologically,
because of the stasis changes in the papillary
dermis and the ulcerated and atrophic epider-
mis. The absence or obscuring of the superfi-
cial part of the tumour might lead to the diag-
nosis of benign naevus when looking at the
deep dermal nests.80

VERRUCOUS NAEVOID MELANOMA
This is a heterogeneous group of melanomas,
which comprises melanomas with a warty
hyperkeratotic appearance, and others that
resemble papillomatous benign intradermal
naevi at low power, but at high power can be
seen to be cytologically malignant.81–87 How-
ever, a very small proportion will be composed
of small naevus like cells, which lack matura-
tion, and have another feature that points to
melanoma, namely an atypical junctional com-
ponent, an asymmetric lateral growth pattern,
or foci of regression in an older individual (figs
13–15).

In the past (and even currently), some of
these groups might have been called minimal
development or borderline melanomas, particu-
larly the verrucous, small cell, and spitzoid
types. The terms “minimal deviation
melanoma” and “borderline melanoma” have
been used to describe melanomas with a less
aggressive clinical course and less cytological
atypia in their vertical growth component than
typical melanomas.85,86 There is no doubt that
not all melanomas behave in a similar clinical
manner and some, even though they are in no
doubt malignant, behave in a sometimes
surprisingly benign fashion. Whether the term
minimal deviation is the most appropriate for
these lesions remains unresolved. The major
problem is that the terminology and defining
criteria for minimal deviation lesions appear
too vague, with the inclusion of heterogeneous,
even possibly benign, melanocytic lesions
under this term, making it difficult to compare
series and produce prognostic data. The situa-
tion has been complicated further by the
description of multiple subtypes of minimal
development melanoma.89 Until the problem of
terminology is resolved, it is advisable to group
together only lesions with very similar histo-
tological features to obtain a clearer picture of the
clinical behaviour of particular types.

Epidermotrophic metastatic melanoma
that can mimic primary melanoma or
naevi
Melanoma that metastasises to the skin (epi-
dermotropic) can mimic, both clinically and
histologically, primary melanoma and benign
naevus when the clinical information is not
available.90,91 Melanoma metastases to the skin
can also mimic cellular blue naevus.32

Atypical melanocytic lesions that are
difficult to categorise
After taking all these factors into consideration,
including the clinical history, excluding non-
melanocytic lesions by immunohistochemistry,
and melanocytic entities, a small number of
problematic melanocytic cases will remain.
Although clinical factors can help decide
whether a lesion is benign or malignant, they
do not help if—for example, the lesion comes
from a patient aged between 20 and 30 years, if
the lesion looks malignant but the individual is
a child, or if there is pronounced atypia in
lesions from specific sites, such as acral or
genital locations. Most of these lesions would
cause diagnostic difficulty even for recognised
experts in the field of melanoma pathology. The problematic lesions usually fall into one of three groups:

- Atypical, predominantly junctional, naevus versus early melanoma.
- Atypical naevoid lesions versus naevoid melanoma.
- Atypical variants of Spitz/cellular blue/deep penetrating naevi versus thick melanoma.

**ATYPICAL PREDOMINANTLY JUNCTIONAL NAEVUS VERSUS EARLY MELANOMA**

This is perhaps less of a practical problem, because once excised these lesions should cause the patient no further problems. However, it is important to attempt to achieve the correct diagnosis. The benign melanocytic entities that can resemble early melanoma should be considered and they can cause problems if they show some atypical features. Using pure histology, it might be impossible to separate atypical junctional Spitz and pigmented spindle cell naevi from early melanoma. Some lesions may be called dysplastic naevi, but this term should only be used after following strict adherence to the clinical and histological criteria.

For this group of lesions it is probably important to learn the characteristic appearances of the different types of in situ melanoma and the clinical situations in which they occur. The lesion that one of the authors has described as pigmented lentiginous naevus with atypia, which has also been called lentiginous dysplastic naevus of the elderly, is probably a precursor to an under recognised variant of in situ melanoma (naevoid lentiginous melanoma), which occurs as large macular lesions, preferentially on the trunk, but also the lower limbs of older individuals.

**ATYPICAL NAEVOD LESIONS VERSUS NAEVOID MELANOMA**

The group includes naevus like lesions in which the differential diagnosis includes small cell melanoma and the verrucous naevoid group of melanomas. In the former group, features favouring malignancy include an older age, the presence of an in situ melanoma component, dermal nests that are inappropriately large, and reactive stromal features. With the verrucous naevoid lesions, the cytological features usually clearly discriminate between benign and malignant. However, as mentioned earlier, warty melanocytic lesions composed of cells similar to those seen in small cell melanoma and other lesions that look like a papillomatous intradermal naevus but have some other abnormal feature (such as a spreading edge) are more of a problem. Other features have to be considered, including the extent and type of spreading edge, pagetoid invasion, mitotic figures, or areas of regression.

**ATYPICAL SPITZ/CELLULAR BLUE/DEEP PENETRATING LESIONS VERSUS THICK MELANOMA**

Although the cases in this group are probably small in number, they are potentially the most important, because they are thick lesions and the prognosis is very different depending on whether the lesion is benign or malignant. The main problem in these atypical variants is how much variation is “acceptable”. In the lesions resembling cellular blue or deep penetrating naevi, foci of necrosis and deep or atypical mitotic figures suggest that the lesions should be regarded as melanoma. The most important of this group are the Spitz with atypical features, because of their relative frequency in problematic cases, and because many of them will be from children or young adults. Features causing concern would be deep bulbous extension, lack of maturation, and the presence of multiple, deep, or atypical mitoses. All of these features are completely unacceptable in an adolescent and adult, as is the presence of a pronounced asymmetric junctional melanocytic growth, particularly if lentiginous. The features that are acceptable in a young child are contentious. Barnhill and Smith could not readily separate those spitzoid lesions that metastasised from those that did not on histology alone. Barnhill also suggests that these lesions in children should be divided into high and low risk atypical groups.

**Conclusions**

There is a wide spectrum of histological appearances of melanocytic lesions and in interpreting the clinical and histological features should be considered together. After considering certain non-melanocytic and melanocytic entities, difficulties remain. These difficulties can even be highlighted by the clinical history, when they occur in young adults and children, or where the lesion comes from a special site, such as acral or genital locations.

Consideration should then be given to referring the patient to a recognised expert, but it is acknowledged that there is a spectrum of diagnostic opinion even between experts. One study showed that there was only moderate agreement on a group of melanocytic lesions between recognised experts. These cases had been specifically selected by the experts as lesions that they would have considered for publication to illustrate a typical example of a particular type of melanocytic lesion. Occasional cases seen in the “British melanoma slide club” and “Scottish melanoma group” also prompt a range of diagnostic opinions.

When the lesion is from a middle aged to elderly adult, the diagnostic problems are less, because the weight of evidence in the background of an atypical melanocytic lesion would favour a malignant diagnosis. The more concerning diagnostic problem is the atypical melanocytic lesions in children and young adults, particularly, the atypical spitzoid lesions. Because of their relative rarity, there are no large series of these childhood lesions with long term follow up to give clearer guidance on diagnostic pointers and prognosis. The few cases that come to light are usually those that have resulted in metastasis, and these are the minority of lesions.

There is as yet no reliable marker to distinguish benign melanocytes from melanoma cells that can be used in every day practice with clear and unambiguous results. Some of the newer proliferation markers might...
be of help.84 Even if one is discovered, there would probably still be a grey area, with ambiguous results in those lesions that display more extreme atypical histological features; that is, the cases that cause the problems on histology alone. Until the time that a new antibody or marker is identified, it is important to collect larger series of these lesions with similar histology in an attempt to understand their biological behaviour more accurately. Perhaps the small cell, and some other naevoid melanomas, will have a better prognosis, and certain bizarre histological features might be acceptable as benign in childhood Spitz lesions. Finally, until the problem of the atypical Spitz has been resolved, it might be better to call these lesions “atypical spitzoid lesions of uncertain malignant potential” when found in children and young adults and to suggest follow up.
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