Solid and papillary epithelial neoplasm arising in heterotopic pancreatic tissue of the mesocolon
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Abstract

Aim—Solid and papillary epithelial neoplasm (SPEN) is an uncommon pancreatic tumour. Very rarely it has also been described outside the pancreas, usually arising from heterotopic pancreatic tissue. This report summarises all the published extrapancreatic SPENs and documents the sixth such case arising from heterotopic pancreatic tissue of the transverse mesocolon in a 15 year old girl.

Methods/Results—Histological and immunohistochemical examination revealed typical papillary and solid areas composed of columnar, cuboidal, and round cells, which were focally positive for vimentin, cytokeratin, neurone specific enolase, carcinoembryonic antigen, α1-antitrypsin, α1-antitrypsin, α1-antichymotrypsin, and negative for neuroendocrine markers (neurofilament, PGP 9.5, chromogranin A, synaptophysin, and S100), p53, and oestrogen and progesterone receptors. Electron microscopy showed scant zymogen but no neurosecretory granules. In agreement with the flow cytometric result of diploidy, comparative genomic hybridisation (CGH) did not reveal loss or gain of genetic material, and the in situ hybridisation analysis of the RB1 and p53 genes revealed no abnormality in the 13q and 17p arms. Conclusions—Immunohistochemical and electron microscopic data support exocrine differentiation. The CGH and the flow cytometric results suggest a subtle, yet unknown genetic change, rather than a large genetic alteration. RB1 and p53 in situ hybridisation ruled out the role of deletion at these sites in the pathogenesis of SPEN. Interestingly, review of the published and the present heterotopic pancreatic SPENs identified the mesocolon as the most common anatomical site (four of six), despite the very rare occurrence of ectopic pancreatic tissue at this site.
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Solid and papillary epithelial neoplasm (SPEN) is a rare tumour in the pancreas. Although more than 300 cases have been reported in the pancreas, the occurrence of SPEN at a heterotopic site is not well recognised. Our literature review identified only five previously published cases.4,5 The histological diagnosis of SPEN is often difficult, even more so when it occurs at an ectopic site. The histogenesis is still poorly understood and the molecular pathological data are limited.6,7

A 15 year old girl was admitted to the department of paediatrics, University Medical School of Pécs, Hungary, with a history of abdominal pain of recent onset and abdominal distention of several years duration. On physical examination, a large tumour filling the left hypochondrium was identified. On the computed tomography (CT) scan a hypodense, intraperitoneal, circumscribed mass dislocating the spleen and left kidney was observed (fig 1). On laparotomy, a spherical, encapsulated tumour mass was located in the mesocolon. The tumour was not attached to the pancreas and did not appear to invade the colonic wall. It was resected with a segment of transverse colon.

The surgical specimen consisted of a circumscribed mesocolonic tumour mass with an attached 200 mm length of normal transverse colon. The tumour weighed 2500 g and measured 210 mm in the largest diameter. It was easily separable from the colonic wall. The cut surface revealed an encapsulated neoplasm with cystic changes, large areas of necrosis and haemorrhage.

In addition to routine and immunohistochemical staining, electron microscopy, flow cytometry, fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH) on tumour imprints, and comparative genomic hybridisation (GCH) were also performed to characterise the immunophenotype and ultrastructure, the ploidy, the p53 (17p13.1, biotin labelled; Oncor, Gaithersburg, USA), and the RB1 (13q14.2, biotin labelled; Oncor, Gaithersburg, USA), and the RB1 (13q14.2, biotin labelled; Oncor, Gaithersburg, USA), and the RB1 (13q14.2, biotin labelled; Oncor, Gaithersburg, USA), and the RB1 (13q14.2, biotin labelled; Oncor, Gaithersburg, USA), and the RB1 (13q14.2, biotin labelled; Oncor, Gaithersburg, USA), and the RB1 (13q14.2, biotin labelled; Oncor, Gaithersburg, USA).
labelled; Oncor) gene status of the tumour cells. D17Z1 (cen.17, digoxigenin labelled; Oncor) and D13S327 (13q34–qter, digoxigenin labelled; Oncor) probes were cohybridised with the p53 and RB1 probes, respectively. The biotin labelled probes were detected by means of avidin–fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC; Vector, Burlingame, California, USA), the digoxygenin labelled ones by means of the anti-digoxygenin–rhodamine (Boehringer, Mannheim, Germany) system, resulting in green and red fluorescence, respectively. CGH was used to define the possible gain and/or loss of genetic material. For DNA extraction, the “salting out” method described by Miller et al.8 CGH was performed according to the protocol used by du Manoir et al.9

Histologically, the tumour had a fibrous pseudocapsule with ectopic, compressed pancreatic tissue around and in it (fig 2A). Because of the widespread necrosis and haemorrhage, only the peripheral parts of the tumour were suitable for histological evaluation. The neoplasm consisted of small, rather uniform, round to polygonal cells with eccentrically placed nuclei containing single small nucleoli. The cytoplasm was pale eosinophilic with slight granularity. The tumour cells were arranged in solid sheets or pseudopapillary structures. In the latter, the cells had a columnar appearance pallisading around a central vascular core (fig 2B and C). In the solid areas, numerous cholesterol granulomata and sheets of foamy macrophages were present. The tumour was richly vascularised and contained thin walled blood vessels. In some cell clusters, the periodic acid Schiff (PAS) staining revealed dot-like positivity. Immunohistochemically, the cells showed strong, focal cytoplasmic positivity for vimentin, KL-1, neurone...
specific enolase (NSE), and intracytoplasmic carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), and focal, granular cytoplasmic positivity for \(\alpha_1\)-antitrypsin (\(\alpha_1\)AT) and \(\alpha_1\)-antichymotrypsin (\(\alpha_1\)ACT) (fig 2D and E). All the other markers, including EMA, BerEP4, desmin, leucocyte common antigen (LCA), glial fibrillar acidic protein (GFAP), the oestrogen receptor (ER), the progesterone receptor (PR), p53, cytokeratin (CK), and the neuroendocrine markers such as chromogranin-A, synaptophysin, neurofilament (NF), S100, and PGP9.5 were negative. No mitoses were found, and the Mib1 (Ki67) antibody showed nuclear staining in about 1% of the tumour cells at the viable periphery of the neoplasm. In spite of the signs of necrosis, mitochondria, desmosomes, rough endoplasmic reticulum fragments, Golgi apparatus, and scant zymogen granules with finely granular matrix and eccentric dense bodies could be identified on electron microscopic examination. No neurosecretory granules could be detected.

On the flow cytometric histogram a single diploid peak was seen with a low, 3.25% S-phase fraction and 96% G0–G1 cells at CV: 5.31 (fig 3A). In situ hybridisation analysis of the 13q and the 17p arms, by means of the RB1/D13S327 and TP53/D17Z1 probes, respectively, gave two red and two green signals in both cases, ruling out loss or gain at the investigated regions. The results indicate structurally normal 13q and 17p chromosome arms. CGH did not reveal gain or loss in the genetic material of the tumour (fig 3B), which is in agreement with the flow cytometry finding of diploidy.

SPEN constitutes less than 1% of all pancreatic tumours. It can occur in any part of the gland, more frequently in the tail and the head. The occurrence of SPEN outside the pancreas is still regarded as a curiosity and only five previously published cases have been identified (table 1). Although it is sometimes difficult to make a diagnosis of SPEN in the pancreas, it becomes a diagnostic challenge when it occurs elsewhere. The presence of ectopic pancreatic tissue, as seen in three of the five previously published cases, is an important clue to the diagnosis. Barbosa de Castro et al studied the distribution of ectopic pancreatic tissue in 471 necropsies and reported only 0.4% in the mesocolon.10 In contrast, four of the six tumours reviewed here were localised to the mesocolon (or just beneath the transverse mesocolon in case 5). These six tumours (table 1) tended to grow to a large size (60–210 mm), usually occurred in young female patients (only one was in a man), and produced similar clinical signs. In all five published cases the tumour was found to be separate from the main pancreas at surgery. All had a pseudocapsule and in four of the specimens (not cases 4 and 5) heterotopic, compressed pancreatic tissue was present at the periphery. In case 5, only ductular structures resembling pancreatic ducts were found.1 In case 4, the neoplasm developed in the liver, but the presence of pancreatic tissue in or around the tumour was not mentioned.3 The fact that SPEN developed in the liver raises the possibility of the presence of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age/sex</th>
<th>Size (cm)</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Heterotopic pancreas</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13/F</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mesocolon</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17/F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Omentum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>33/F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mesocolon</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>41/F</td>
<td>7.5 and 5.5</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>Distension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25/M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mesocolon*</td>
<td>Yes**</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>15/F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Mesocolon</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In case 5 the tumour was located beneath the mesocolon transversum.
**In case 5 only pancreatic ducts could be found in the capsule.
Numbers in superscript are the original references.
F, female; M, male.
pluripotent, primordial “pancreatic” cells in this organ, which seems to be supported by the common development of both pancreas and liver from the primitive duodenum.

The histopathological pattern of SPEN is very characteristic, as is the cytological appearance. Some of the reports emphasise the value and role of fine needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB), which gives preoperative diagnosis and helps in planning surgical intervention by distinguishing SPEN from other, more aggressive neoplasms. In contrast, the immunophenotype of the tumour cells is inconsistent in the different publications.
The most frequently positive markers are α1AT, α1ACT, NSE, vimentin, and broad spectrum cytokeratin, as was found in our case. However, apart from these markers, strong intracytoplasmic CEA positivity was also noted, which is in contrast to the negative results reported by many authors. Negative with the known neuroendocrine markers such as NF, chromogranin, synaptophysin, PGP9.5, and S100 does not support a neuroendocrine origin or differentiation. The striking female predominance provoked immunohistochemical studies for oestrogen and progesterone receptors that led to controversial results. Some authors found the neoplasm negative for oestrogen and positive for progesterone receptors; however, in other publications, several cases proved to be negative for both hormone receptors. Complicating the issue, oestrogen receptor positive SPEN has also been described. The tumour in our case failed to react with any of the above antibodies for hormone receptors. This is probably a true result and not the result of widespread tumour necrosis because other antibodies worked well on the same preparation. The p53 negativity indicates no overexpression, which is in agreement with the ISH findings.

On ultrastructural studies, some authors found zymogen-like and/or neurosecretory granules (exocrine and/or endocrine features) in the tumour cells; however, others saw no granules (exocrine and/or endocrine features). The similar and unique macroscopic and microscopic morphology, clinical presentation, and biological behaviour of these tumours suggest a common, discrete genetic abnormality that remains unclear. This does not cause detectable gain or loss in the genetic material as shown by the investigations carried out.

The clinical course of SPEN is usually favourable; only forty three (14.7%) cases behaved in a malignant fashion. However, because this tumour (although rarely) can have metastatic potential and may recur, wide and complete surgical resection is recommended and regular clinical ultrasonographic investigations must be carried out. The histological features of SPEN are sufficiently distinctive to be recognised even at a heterotopic site.
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