Conclusions from a study of venous invasion in stage IV colorectal adenocarcinoma
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Aims: Venous invasion is an established predictor of prognosis in colorectal cancer (CRC). The reported incidence of venous invasion in CRC specimens varies between 10% and 89.5%, mainly as a result of interobserver variability and differences in specimen processing (for example, staining with haematoxylin and eosin (H+E) alone versus the addition of an elastic fibre stain). This study was performed with three purposes in mind, namely: (1) To assess and compare the incidence of venous invasion diagnosed on H+E stained tissue versus tissue stained with both H+E and an elastic fibre stain. (2) To estimate the inherent false negative rate associated with the diagnosis of venous invasion by histopathological evaluation of resected CRC specimens. (3) To compare the resulting data regarding incidence, quantity, site, and type of venous invasion to the pertinent literature.

Methods: Venous invasion was assessed on sections from 81 CRCs resected from patients with synchronous distant metastases (hepatic and non-hepatic). Only stage IV tumours were studied for the following reasons: (1) it can be assumed that in all patients with distant haematogenous metastases venous invasion had occurred, thus enabling the false negative rate to be calculated; (2) there can be no dispute about the clinical relevance of the various characteristics of venous invasion identified in the tumours of patients with synchronous distant haematogenous metastases; and (3) to eliminate the effect of variance in tumour stage on the incidence of venous invasion. Initially, H+E stained sections were studied for venous invasion. Sections that were negative or questionable with regard to venous invasion were then stained with an elastic fibre stain, and a second search for venous invasion was carried out. Venous invasion was characterised by incidence, quantity, type, and site. The χ² test for independence was used to compare the incidence of venous invasion in colonic versus rectal and rectosigmoid primary tumours, and in patients with hepatic versus non-hepatic metastases.

Results: Venous invasion was identified in 42 (51.9%) of the 81 specimens on H+E stained sections. The addition of the elastic fibre stain enabled the diagnosis of venous invasion in an additional 15 (18.5%) of the remaining 59 specimens, increasing the overall incidence to 57 (70.4%) of the 81 positive specimens, venous invasion was minimal in 27 (47.4%), intermediate in five, (8.8%) and massive in 25 (43.9%). Only intramural veins were involved in 18 (31.6%), only extramural veins in 26 (45.6%), and both intramural and extramural veins in 13 (22.8%) of the 57 positive specimens. The filling type of venous invasion was found in 41 (71.9%), the floating type in 28 (49.1%), and the infiltrating type in six (10.5%) of the 57 positive specimens. There was no significant difference between the incidence of venous invasion in the colon (42 of 60; 70%) versus rectal and rectosigmoid tumours (15 of 21; 71.4%; p = 0.8539), nor in the incidence of venous invasion in patients with hepatic (49 of 70; 70%) versus non-hepatic (eight of 11; 72.7%) metastases (p = 0.9018).

Conclusions: The addition of an elastic fibre stain enables the identification of venous invasion in a considerable proportion of sections from CRC tumours that are falsely negative for venous invasion on H+E stain alone. The inherent chance of missing venous invasion on histopathological evaluation of CRC tumours stained with H+E and elastic fibre stains is at least 10.5%, and may be as high as 29.6%. In a large proportion of stage IV CRCs, despite the presence of synchronous distant metastases, only a minimal extent of venous invasion (that is, one to two involved veins) is demonstrable in the primary tumour. This suggests that only minimal venous invasion is required for the seeding of clinically relevant haematogenous metastases, and emphasises the careful, dedicated search for venous invasion that is required from the pathologist. Although extramural venous invasion was predominant in stage IV CRCs, in a considerable proportion of tumours (about a third) only intramural venous invasion was found. This suggests that intramural venous invasion may also seed clinically relevant haematogenous metastases, and should therefore also be considered as an indicator of poor prognosis.

Venous invasion is an established predictor of prognosis in colorectal cancer (CRC). It is associated with an increased incidence of recurrence (especially visceral metastases) and decreased survival. The incidence of venous invasion is directly related to tumour stage, and inversely related to tumour differentiation. The reported incidence of venous invasion in CRC specimens varies between 10% and 89.5%. To a certain extent, this variance may indicate currently unidentified inherent differences between patient populations, and it obviously reflects differences in tumour differentiation and stage among the reported cohorts. Owing to the focal nature of vein invasion and to the non-continuous nature of the process of tumour embolism, it appears that pure chance also influences the identification of venous invasion. However, the most decisive factors influencing the identification and reporting of venous invasion are probably variations in histological malignancy.
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in specimen processing (for example, staining with Meyer’s haematoxylin and eosin (H+E) alone versus the addition of elastic fibre stain) and in the awareness and dedication of the pathologist involved.

“The incidence of venous invasion is directly related to tumour stage, and inversely related to tumour differentiation”

Because of the element of chance mentioned previously, and the destruction of some of the involved veins beyond recognition, the identification of venous invasion by histopathological evaluation of resected colorectal tumours has an inherent (and probably peremptory) false negative rate.

Our histopathological study was performed with three purposes in mind, namely:
(1) To assess and compare the incidence of venous invasion diagnosed on H+E stained tissue compared with tissue stained with both H+E and an elastic fibre stain.
(2) To estimate the inherent false-negative rate associated with the diagnosis of venous invasion by histopathological evaluation of resected CRC specimens.
(3) To compare our data regarding incidence, quantity, site, and type of venous invasion with data reported in the pertinent recent literature.

We chose to study only tumours of patients with stage IV disease for the following reasons: (1) we may assume that venous invasion had occurred in all patients with synchronous distant haematogenous metastases, thus enabling the false negative rate to be calculated; (2) there can be no dispute as to the clinical relevance of the various characteristics of venous invasion identified in the tumours of patients with synchronous distant haematogenous metastases; (3) the effect of variance in tumour stage on the incidence of venous invasion will be eliminated in these patients.

There is no apparent reason why the findings and conclusions from this study should not be applicable to patients with colorectal tumours of any stage.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
During the period 1988–97 we performed 81 palliative tumour resections in patients with CRC with synchronous distant metastases. Review and analysis of the clinical and histological data of these patients constitute the basis for our study.

Characteristics of the studied cohort
Locations of the primary tumours: rectum, 12; rectosigmoid, nine; sigmoid, 27; all other colonic sites, 33. Locations of documented distant metastases: liver, 70; peritoneum, 18; lung, four; ovary, four; bone, three; skin, breast, adrenal, spleen, and kidney, one each. Nineteen patients had confirmed distant metastases: liver, 70; peritoneum, 18; lung, four; ovary, four; bone, three; skin, breast, adrenal, spleen, and kidney, one each. Nineteen patients had confirmed distant metastases in multiple sites.

Specimen processing
CRC specimens were opened, washed, and fixed in 10% formaldehyde solution. After macroscopic description and measurement of the specimen, the tumour and the longitudinal resection margins, four to seven (mean, five) representative sections from the primary tumour were submitted for microscopic examination. Tangential sections from the periphery of the tumour, sections from the deepest point of tumour penetration, and from the area of transition between tumour and non-tumoural mucosa were studied in all cases. Specimens were also submitted from the proximal, distal, and radial resection margins. Mesocolic and mesorectal lymph nodes were identified and harvested by the manual dissection technique, without chemical clearing of mesocolic fat, and were submitted for microscopic evaluation. Mesocolic blood vessels were also trimmed for histological evaluation.

All sections were fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin wax, and stained with Meyer’s H+E.

The microscopic diagnosis of venous invasion on H+E stained tissue was based on the identification of tumour cells within an endothelium lined space, surrounded by a rim of smooth muscle, and/or containing red blood cells. When present, an adjacent artery of similar size verified that the involved vascular structure was indeed a vein.

H+E stained sections in which venous invasion was not identified or was questionable were stained with Weigert’s stain for elastic fibres, and a second search for venous invasion was carried out.

The extent of venous invasion (minimal, one to two involved veins; intermediate, three to four involved veins; massive, five or more involved veins), and the anatomical sites of the involved veins (intramural, extramural, or both) were recorded. Venous invasion was classified into three types: (1) filling, when tumour cells filled the vascular lumen; (2) floating, when tumour cells were located in the centre of the vascular lumen; and (3) infiltrating, when tumour cells were seen infiltrating the wall of the vessel. Combinations of the various types of venous invasion are common (fig 1A–C).

All specimens were independently evaluated by two senior pathologists (MA and GG), who then compared their results.
Venous invasion in stage IV colorectal carcinoma

Overall (alone and in combination), the filling type of venous invasion was found in 41 (71.9%), the floating type was seen in 28 (49.1%), and the infiltrating type was seen in six (10.5%) of the 57 positive specimens.

There was no significant difference between the incidence of venous invasion in colonic tumours (42 of 60) and in rectal and rectosigmoid tumours (15 of 21; 71.4%; p = 0.8539). Similarly, there was no significant difference between the incidence of venous invasion in patients with documented liver metastases (alone or combined with other metastatic sites) (49 of 70; 70%) and the incidence of venous invasion in patients with non-hepatic stage IV tumours (eight of 11; 72.7%; p = 0.9018).

DISCUSSION

A study of venous invasion in stage IV CRC solely has not been reported in recent years. However, of the 61 patients with CRC studied by Ouchi et al, 19 had synchronous liver metastases, and 18 of the 103 patients reported by Dirschmid et al had stage IV tumours, 12 of them with synchronous liver metastases. The data from these authors can be meaningfully compared with ours.

Dirschmid et al found venous invasion in 13 (72.2%) of 18 patients with Dukes's stage D disease, and in 10 (83.3%) of the 12 patients in whom the distant metastases were hepatic. Our data indicate that the incidence of venous invasion associated with hepatic versus non-hepatic metastases is not significantly different. Inoue et al identified venous invasion in 30 (61.2%) of 49 patients with CRC who had died within two years of potentially curative resection; the incidence of venous invasion increased to 26 of 32 (81.3%) when they looked only at those patients who had documented evidence of haematogenous metastases. Ouchi et al found venous invasion in 17 (89.5%) of 19 patients with CRC who had synchronous liver metastases. This is the highest reported incidence of venous invasion in CRC, and the authors attribute it to the routine use of elastic fibre stains. Minsky and colleagues and Dirschmid and colleagues also routinely use the elastic fibre stain to differentiate between lymph vessels and veins. Inoue et al conclude that the elastic fibre stain increases the incidence of diagnosing venous invasion when compared with the use of H+E alone. Conversely, Talbot et al report that the various elastic tissue stains that they used were rarely found to be helpful. Horn et al also do not use an elastic fibre stain.

Our findings support the proponents of elastic fibre stain. We found that the addition of an elastic fibre stain to H+E staining not only enabled the identification of venous invasion in 38.5% of the sections that were falsely negative for venous invasion by H+E alone, but that it greatly facilitated the pathologist’s search for venous invasion, shortened the time required for the histopathological evaluation of the tissue sections, and prevented false negative and false positive diagnoses of venous invasion in some of the cases that were questionable or unrecognisable by the H+E stain alone (for example, identification of elastic fibres of veins destroyed beyond recognition by infiltrating/filling venous invasion, that are easily missed on H+E stain alone; and lymphatic invasion, which in some instances can be falsely mistaken for venous invasion).

Inoue et al have shown that factor VIII related antigen is not a good stain for identifying venous invasion, possibly because the endothelium of involved veins is destroyed.

“Some veins are destroyed beyond recognition by the invading tumour cells”

Assuming that haematogenous spread has occurred in all patients who present with synchronous distant metastases,
and that access to the bloodstream is by invasion of veins by tumour cells, the inherent chance of missing venous invasion during histological evaluation of the primary tumour (using both H+E and elastic fibre stains) is 10.5%, according to Ouchi et al., and 29.6%, according to our data. (Inoue and colleagues and Dirschmid and colleagues found intermediate rates.) Because the data of Ouchi et al. are based on 19 patients only, we believe it is reasonable to conclude that the inherent chance of missing venous invasion on histological examination of primary colorectal tumours is at least 10.5%, and is possibly as high as 29.6%.

We believe that the main reason that there is an inherent false negative rate with regard to the identification of intramural venous invasion is that some veins are destroyed beyond recognition by the invading tumour cells. This is more likely to occur in small, thin walled veins (such as intramural veins) than in larger veins with thicker walls (such as extramural veins). Owing to the larger circumference of the extramural veins, we believe that false negative diagnoses regarding extramural venous invasion are mainly the result of sampling errors. Talbot and colleagues and Dirschmid and colleagues emphasise the importance of cutting multiple tangential blocks from the periphery of the tumour to facilitate the diagnosis of extramural venous invasion. The number of blocks is directly related to the size of the tumour; therefore, minimum standards for declaring a specimen negative for venous invasion have not been clearly defined in the literature.

Both in our data and in those of Ouchi et al., only minimal venous invasion was demonstrable in 27 of 57 (47.4%) and in eight of 17 (47.1%), respectively, of the tumours from patients with synchronous distant metastases in whom venous invasion was identified. This suggests that only minimal venous invasion is required for the seeding of clinically important distant metastases, and emphasises the careful and dedicated effort required from the pathologist when evaluating CRC specimens for the presence of venous invasion. Although the number of cases is small, our data indicate that the addition of an elastic fibre stain to staining with H+E triples the rate of detection of intermediate venous invasion, whereas the rate of detection of massive venous invasion decreases. Neither Talbot et al., Dirschmid et al., Inoue et al., Horn et al., nor Minsky and colleagues refer to the extent of venous invasion. Our present study cannot indicate whether the extent of venous invasion has an effect on the chances of developing clinically relevant distant metastases; therefore, we cannot state whether the extent of venous invasion should be regarded as an indicator of prognosis and reported routinely.

Similar to Ouchi et al., we found a predominance of extramural venous invasion in stage IV CRC. According to the those authors, Talbot et al., and Dirschmid et al., extramural venous invasion is predominant in other stages of colorectal cancer also. Conversely, Minsky et al. found a predominance of intramural venous invasion. Like Talbot et al., like Talbot et al., and Blumberg and colleagues recommend considering venous invasion as an indicator for the administration of systemic adjuvant treatment. Based on our study of 231 consecutive curatively resected patients with CRC, in which we found that distant metastases developed in 50% of the venous invasion positive patients, and in only 36% of the node-positive patients, we are inclined to agree with these authors.

CONCLUSIONS

(1) Although most instances of venous invasion in CRC can be identified on H+E stained tissue sections (in our study, 51.9%), the addition of an elastic fibre stain enables the identification of venous invasion in a large proportion of the sections that are falsely negative on H+E alone (in our study, 38.5%). By the addition of an elastic fibre stain the incidence of venous invasion in our present study was increased to 70.4%. This suggests that an elastic fibre stain should be used before declaring a CRC specimen negative for venous invasion.

(2) The inherent chance of missing venous invasion on histological evaluation of resected CRC specimens stained with H+E and elastic tissue stains is at least 10.5% (according to Ouchi et al., and may be as high as 29.6% (according to our data).

(3) In a considerable proportion of stage IV CRCs only a minimal extent of venous invasion (one to two involved veins) is demonstrable in the resected primary tumour. This suggests that only minimal venous invasion is required to seed clinically important distant metastases. If venous invasion is regarded as an indicator for the administration of adjuvant systemic treatment, this finding also emphasises the careful, dedicated work required from the pathologist in the evaluation of CRC specimens of all stages for the presence of venous invasion.

Take home messages

- The addition of an elastic fibre stain enables the identification of venous invasion in a large proportion of colorectal carcinomas that are falsely negative on haematoxylin and eosin alone.
- It is probable that only minimal venous invasion is needed to seed clinically important distant metastases.
- Both extramural and intramural venous invasion may seed clinically important haematogenous metastases.
(4) Although extramural venous invasion was predominant in stage IV CRCs, in a considerable proportion of tumours (about a third) only intramural venous invasion was found. This finding suggests that intramural venous invasion may also seed clinically important haematogenous metastases, and should therefore also be considered as an indicator of poor prognosis.
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