Tumour histological grade may progress between primary and recurrent invasive mammary carcinoma

G Cserni

Aims: To assess the constancy of the histological grade of invasive breast carcinomas by comparing primary tumours with their axillary metastases and local or regional recurrences.

Methods: Eighty-four recurrent invasive breast carcinomas with a primary tumour or previous recurrence were available for histological review from the period 1980 to 2000. These and any further recurrences were graded by one observer.

Results: Nine, 24, and 51 tumours with grades 1, 2, and 3, respectively, recurred. Grade 1, 2, and 3 tumours recurred within a median time of 88, 42, and 23 months, respectively. The intraobserver reproducibility of the histological grade was good (κ = 0.66), and the grades of the primary tumours and their axillary metastases or next recurrence also exhibited good agreement. However, when further (second to sixth) recurrences were included in the analysis, the agreement between the grade of the tumours and their last recurrence was only moderate (κ = 0.48). Only two of the nine grade 1 and 1.5 of the 24 grade 2 tumours retained their grade in their last recurrence.

Conclusions: Low grade carcinoma require a longer follow up. These long term data support the possibility of a transition from low grade invasive breast carcinomas to higher grade tumours. It is suggested that low grade (well differentiated) breast carcinomas are not a single entity: some may progress to higher grade tumours, whereas others appear not to progress.

“...and the relatively high proportion of patients with breast cancer cured with locoregional treatment alone, favour the spectrum theory and the progressive nature of breast cancer”

METHODS
Locally or regionally recurrent invasive breast tumour specimens assessed between January 1980 and December 2000 were identified from the hard copy (1980–1997) and electronic (1998–2000) files at the department of pathology of the Bacs-Kiskun County Teaching Hospital. The basis of the analysis was formed by those recurrent invasive carcinomas for which either the primary tumour or (in the absence of a primary tumour assessed between 1980 and 2000) a previous recurrence was available for histopathological review from the same period. Only ipsilateral recurrences were taken into consideration. Several of the patients had multiple metachronous recurrences. Recurrences were ranked according to the time of their appearance. For the few cases with simultaneous local and axillary recurrence, the local recurrence was thought to precede the regional one. The time between the recurrences in these cases was set at 0 months. In general, the time to recurrence was defined as the number of months that elapsed between the two operations in which the given specimens were removed. The primary tumours had been treated surgically by mastectomy or quadrantectomy and complete or level 1 and 2 axillary dissection, on occasion complemented by...
with radiotherapy and adjuvant systemic treatment, depending on the tumour and patient parameters. Because the data on the tumours were not always complete in the initial part of the period investigated, and the tumour sizes were often given as comparative sizes rather than as measured values, the tumours were systematically assigned to a T category in an earlier study. This was done to match the estimated clinical T category of the TNM system. Because breast cancer screening was initiated in our region only in 1999, most of the assessed tumours were symptomatic. All specimens were originally fixed in buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin wax. Original slides were re-evaluated and their histological grades were determined by the author. The investigator was blind to the origin of the specimens. The determination of histological grade involves subjective elements. Accordingly, \( \kappa \) statistics were also performed to assess the agreement between the grades of the primary tumours and their recurrences. A great advantage of this type of analysis is that it is independent of the “real” grade of the cancer; it simply assesses the constancy or lack of constancy of the given parameter. The following arbitrary limits and labels were used to interpret agreement on the basis of the \( \kappa \) values: < 0.00, poor; 0.00–0.20, slight; 0.21–0.40, fair; 0.41–0.60, moderate; 0.61–0.80, substantial; and 0.81–1.00, very good. Independently, 50 breast carcinoma specimens were graded by the author at three different times to check the intraobserver reproducibility of the histological grading. All gradings were performed with the inspection of all slides from the given tumour.

**RESULTS**

Eighty four patients with locally or regionally recurrent breast carcinomas, for whom data on either primary (n = 78) (table 1) or previous recurrent tumours (n = 6) (further referred to as baseline tumours) and subsequent recurrences were available were reviewed (fig 1). In the case of the six neoplasms with only a previous recurrence accessible, the primary carcinoma was either diagnosed before 1980 or was operated on at another institution because the patient moved from one town to another; the slides of the primary cancers were therefore not available for review, but at least two metachronous recurrences could be compared. The material of a second recurrence of a tumour with three metachronous recurrences but no primary neoplasm identified in our inspected files was lost, and therefore it is represented in fig 1 (row 6), but is missing from the R2→R3 column of table 2. It should be noted that the number of node negative cases in this population might have been overestimated because the number of lymph nodes assessed in the very early part of the period was suboptimal, as described previously. The mean and median age of the patients at diagnosis of the primary tumours was 58 years (range, 30–84). The histological grade of the baseline tumours was 1, 2, and 3 in nine, 24, and 51 cases, respectively. Grade 1, 2, and 3 tumours recurred within a median time of 88, 42, and 23 months, respectively. Changes in grades for baseline tumours, axillary metastases, and consecutive recurrences are given in table 2, which also includes data on the grades of the tumours as first and last seen. Tables 3 and 4 show the changes in the individual components of the histological grade for the baseline tumours and their last recurrence.

The intraobserver variability in the assessment of the histological grade resulted in an overall \( \kappa \) value of 0.66 (SE = 0.06)—substantial reproducibility. Grades 1, 2, and 3 had \( \kappa \) values of 0.67, 0.6, and 0.72 (SE = 0.08), respectively, with the reproducibility of grade 2 just falling in the moderately reproducible category. Table 5 gives the \( \kappa \) values for the agreement between the grade of the baseline tumours and their next recurrence (n = 84), last recurrence (n = 84), and axillary metastasis (n = 43 node positive cases). The \( \kappa \) values for the grades of the primary tumours and their axillary metastases, and those for the grades of the baseline tumours and their next recurrences, are close to the \( \kappa \) values for the intraobserver reproducibility of the histological grade. In contrast, the \( \kappa \) values for the grades of the tumours and their last recurrence all fall into a lower category, reflecting a decrease in agreement.

<p>| T category and nodal status of the primary tumours involved in this study |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T category</th>
<th>Nodal status</th>
<th>Node negative</th>
<th>Node positive</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1** Changes in the histological grades of the tumours assessed. Each tumour is represented by one row and the worsening grades by darker tones. Pr, primary; R, recurrence.
DISCUSSION
The progression of low grade invasive carcinomas to higher grade tumours is suggested by the results of screening studies. A relation between larger tumour size and higher grade has also been described. It has been proposed that small tumours discovered only on mammographic screening are more commonly of lower grade than symptomatic cancers; with time, small tumours worsen with regard to their grade of malignancy.

Table 2 Comparison of grades of baseline tumours, their axillary metastases and consecutive recurrences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in grade</th>
<th>Tubules</th>
<th>Pleomorphism</th>
<th>Mitoses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>3 (4%)</td>
<td>5 (6%)</td>
<td>13 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>69 (82%)</td>
<td>71 (85%)</td>
<td>45 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>12 (14%)</td>
<td>8 (10%)</td>
<td>26 (31%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses are the percentages of all (n=84) tumours.

Table 3 Data on baseline tumours and their last recurrence scored for the presence of tubules, nuclear pleomorphism, and mitotic rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline score recurrence score</th>
<th>Tubules</th>
<th>Pleomorphism</th>
<th>Mitoses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>1 (25%)</td>
<td>11 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>2 (50%)</td>
<td>9 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>1 (25%)</td>
<td>4 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>14 (58%)</td>
<td>11 (69%)</td>
<td>3 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>9 (38%)</td>
<td>5 (31%)</td>
<td>13 (72%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-2</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td>5 (8%)</td>
<td>7 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-3</td>
<td>53 (96%)</td>
<td>59 (92%)</td>
<td>31 (74%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses are the percentages of all tumours with the same initial grade, followed by the times to recurrence in months (median; range). Ax, axillary metastasis; G, grade; Last R, last recurrence; Pr, primary tumour; R1–6, first to sixth recurrence.

Table 4 Changes in score for baseline tumours and their last recurrence, scored for the presence of tubules, nuclear pleomorphism, and mitotic rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in score</th>
<th>Tubules</th>
<th>Pleomorphism</th>
<th>Mitoses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>3 (4%)</td>
<td>5 (6%)</td>
<td>13 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>69 (82%)</td>
<td>71 (85%)</td>
<td>45 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>12 (14%)</td>
<td>8 (10%)</td>
<td>26 (31%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses are the percentages of all tumours.

Table 5 \( \kappa \) Values and their standard errors (and the agreement reflected by these values) for the agreement of the histological grades of baseline tumours and their axillary metastases, and their next and last recurrence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \kappa ) Values for Pr–Ax</th>
<th>Baseline–Next R</th>
<th>Baseline–Last R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>0.64 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(substantial)</td>
<td>(substantial)</td>
<td>(fair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>0.61 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(substantial)</td>
<td>(moderate)</td>
<td>(moderate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>0.65 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(substantial)</td>
<td>(substantial)</td>
<td>(moderate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.63 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(substantial)</td>
<td>(moderate)</td>
<td>(moderate)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ax, axillary metastasis; G, grade; Last R, last recurrence; Next R, next recurrence; Pr, primary tumour.
breast carcinomas. Indeed, tubular mixed carcinoma is generally a grade 2 cancer with a grade 1 central area and a higher grade periphery, suggesting dedifferentiation within a single tumour with heterogeneous features.

In addition, it has also been postulated that low grade invasive breast carcinomas develop from low grade DCIS, whereas poorly differentiated cancers are derived from high grade DCIS. This theory is supported by the finding of a concordant grade DCIS component in most invasive cancers.26-30 Cyto- genetic alterations may be so characteristic in DCIS that their presence has been proposed as an adjunct to be included in new classification schemes of the disease.31 The finding of a common genetic lesion identified with comparative genomic hybridisation in most low grade invasive carcinomas (loss of 16q), and missing from most high grade invasive cancers, also favours this last theory because regaining an already acquired oncogenic lesion during tumour progression is very unlikely.32-34 Morphometric nuclear studies lend further support to a different route of progression for low and high grade tumours.35

Poorly differentiated tumours in this series recurred more frequently and within a shorter time than did moderately or well differentiated tumours, and the well differentiated tumours were those that recurred least often and with the longest time to recurrence. This is in accord with long term follow up data on patients with breast cancer,36 which suggest that the ultimate outcome of low grade breast carcinomas should be assessed on the basis of a long term (10 to 20 year) follow up, because metastases in these low “virulence” tumours are manifested only later, and the death from disease curves may therefore level off later than for poorly differentiated carcinomas.

"Morphometric nuclear studies lend further support to a different route of progression for low and high grade tumours"

The histological grade of breast carcinoma31-33 is a composite prognostic factor with confirmed prognostic value.33-35 In contrast to time related factors, such as tumour size and probably nodal stage, it reflects tumour aggressiveness. Its determination involves subjective elements, especially concerning the scoring of nuclear pleomorphism. The sampling of the tumour tissue may also affect the score given for any of the variables, especially in tumours with mixed features. Despite these reservations, the determination of histological grade has been shown to be reproducible.36-38 Not only interobserver, but also intraobserver variability may arise, and this could influence the interpretation of the results of this study; accordingly the intraobserver reproducibility was evaluated and was found to be good.

It was found that low and intermediate grade carcinomas often recur as higher grade tumours. This phenomenon was encountered in 16 low or intermediate grade tumours. The opposite phenomenon—higher grade tumours recurring as better differentiated ones—was seen only rarely, and only in connection with six grade 3 tumours recurring as grade 2 carcinomas. The downgrading of subsequent (recurrent) tumours could in theory be a result of several factors; as shown in table 3, the lower scores for the mitotic rate in the recurrent tumours might be the principal cause. Indeed, all six tumours that recurred with a lower grade had a lower mitotic rate score in the recurrence than in the primary. Apart from mitotic score variations as a result of sampling variations, the factors initiating or influencing mitoses might have been different at the time of subsequent operations. Of the factors determining the combined histological grade, the mitotic scores were the least stable. Table 3 reveals that changes in all scores contributed to a worsening of the histological grade.

As a possible means of assessing tumour progression, we also analysed axillary metastases whenever they were present and tissue specimens were available for this purpose. A worsening of the malignancy grade was seen in two of four grade 1 tumours and in three of 12 grade 2 tumours, whereas only three of 27 grade 3 tumours gave grade 2 regional metastases; no other examples of histological grade regression were encountered. These values could point to a progression in grade. However, the κ values for the grades of these tumours did not reflect a lack of constancy, and were very similar to the results of an earlier study at Guy's Hospital in London, in which 102 metastases were compared with their primary carcinomas.39 Theoretically, metastases are formed by tumour cells that have acquired all the qualities necessary for this, and they therefore represent progressed tumour cells in comparison with the cancer cells of a non-metastatic or in situ carcinoma. When a primary tumour has achieved the propensity to form metastases, however, it will not necessarily display a different grade from that of its own metastasis. Our results indicate a relative similarity between the grade of a primary breast cancer and that of its axillary metastasis. The similarity of metastases and primary tumours is likewise often a confirmatory finding in diagnostic histopathology, demonstrating that the metastasis really is derived from the given primary. Our data on axillary metastases and their primary tumours are therefore not self evident in relation to tumour progression, and the limited number of node positive cases in the study limits the drawing of conclusions from the grade of differentiation of axillary metastases.

Although the number of low grade tumours in this series was small, some of these tumours recurred several times, and this gave us the opportunity for a relatively long follow up in some of the cases. These longer follow up data revealed that, despite more than half of the grade 1 tumours first recurring with the same grade, the last recurrence in patients with initially low grade tumours exhibited the same differentiation in only one fifth of the cases. This favours the hypothesis that tumours progress in grade. These results contradict those of the Guy’s Hospital series of 49 recurrent tumours, which were compared with their primaries, but that series included only one grade 1 tumour.40 Although the changes in grade of the first recurrence were minor compared with the grade of the baseline tumour, which closely matched the results in the Guy’s Hospital study, the longer follow up period and the later recurrences pointed to a worsening of the histological grade. The higher number of grade 1 tumours that progressed in this series might have contributed to the discrepancy between the results of the two series, despite the similarities in the methods. The Nottingham group has also investigated the consistency of the histological grade in breast carcinomas and their ovarian and axillary metastases.41 Although 20 of their 36 cases of advanced breast cancer, including one grade 1 breast carcinoma, retained their grade in the metastatic deposits, seven grade 2 and three grade 1 tumours became grade 3 in their metastases. Again, the numbers are low, but the fact that three of four grade 1 tumours turned to poorly differentiated carcinomas by the time of developing distant metastasis favours the hypothesis that histological grade may progress.

Although the question of tumour progression is far from being definitively answered and many controversial points

---

**Take home messages**

- Patients with low grade carcinomas require a longer follow up because metastases manifest later in this group of patients.
- The long term data support the possibility of a transition from low grade invasive breast carcinomas to higher grade tumours.
- It is possible that low grade (well differentiated) breast carcinomas are not a single entity: some may progress to high grade tumours, whereas others appear not to progress.
remain, the present study appears to indicate that at least a proportion of low grade invasive breast carcinomas may progress to higher grade cancers during a long follow-up period. If this is so, then high grade carcinomas therefore not only arise from high grade DCIS, but (at least in some cases) may also be a result of tumour progression from better differentiated invasive tumours. The incidence of this last phenomenon is unknown. It may be that grade 1 tumours capable of dedifferentiation are different from those that do not progress in grade; this would be consistent with the results of the comparative genomic hybridisation studies.17 18
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