In our study, we analysed cervical carcinomas to determine the frequency and pattern of immunohistochemical expression of hepatoid and neuroendocrine differentiation and any correlation with prognosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hysterectomies for cervical carcinoma, including consultation cases, diagnosed in the department of pathology, National University Hospital, Singapore, were reviewed from January 1997 to December 2002. All available archival material and medical records were retrieved. Ten hysterectomies for uterine leiomyomata/adenomyosis were included as controls. Formalin fixed, paraffin wax embedded blocks of the tumour and adjacent non-neoplastic cervical tissue, in addition to normal cervical tissue from the controls, were used for immunohistochemical studies. Immunostaining for Hep Par 1 was performed with a monoclonal mouse antihuman hepatocyte antibody (Hepatocyte antibody; clone OCH1E5; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) using the Dako EnVision® method. Dewaxed and rehydrated 4 μm histological sections were heated with Dako target retrieval solution at pH 9.9 for 25 minutes. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 minutes. The sections were then incubated with Hepatocyte antibody diluted 1/500 for one hour. After washing in Tris buffered saline (TBS) solution for 10 minutes, the sections were incubated with peroxidase labelled polymer antimouse antibody complex for 30 minutes and then counterstained with haematoxylin.

Abbreviations: AC, adenocarcinoma; AFP, α fetoprotein; AIS, adenocarcinoma in situ; CIN3, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 3; FNA, fine needle aspiration; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; LCNEC, large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; TBS, Tris buffered saline.

The monoclonal antibody Hep Par 1 has been used to identify tissues of hepatocytic origin and also those with hepatoid differentiation. Although useful in identifying hepatocyte derived tissues/tumours, subsequent studies have shown that tissues from a wide variety of sites and diverse types of tumours may be positive for this marker. Some of these tumours have “hepatoid” histomorphology, exhibiting bile production, α fetoprotein (AFP), and albumin expression. These extrahepatic hepatoid tumours, with or without Hep Par 1 staining, have been noted to have an increased incidence of vascular invasion, earlier recurrence, and a worse prognosis. Although the incidence of positive staining of these “non-hepatocytic” tissue types has so far been low and the Hep Par 1 staining focal, the cumulative experience with this “aberrant” staining has lowered the specificity of Hep Par 1 for detecting hepatocyte derived tissues. Most of the reported extrahepatic tumours with Hep Par 1 expression and/or hepatoid morphology have been in endodermally derived organs, such as carcinomas of the gastrointestinal tract and lung. However, hepatoid carcinomas expressing AFP have also been described in the uterine corpus, cervix, and urinary bladder, all mesodermal structures. In addition, Hep Par 1 expression has recently been shown in one case of carcinoma of the uterine corpus and cervix, and in four cases of adenocarcinoma of the urinary bladder. We have encountered a case of large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (LCNEC) of the cervix with an adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS) component that expressed Hep Par 1 in the in situ component and AFP and neuroendocrine markers in the invasive areas.

“The cumulative experience with this aberrant staining has lowered the specificity of Hep Par 1 for detecting hepatocyte derived tissues”
washed again in TBS solution. Diaminobenzidine chromogen solution was applied and the coloured product was allowed to develop before counterstaining and mounting. Normal liver tissue served as positive controls.

Immunostaining for AFP (Neomarker, Freemont, California, USA), chromogranin (Dako) and synaptophysin (Dako) was also performed using the Dako EnVision system.

The microscopic parameters examined were tumour type, histomorphology, and vascular invasion. The tumours were typed according to the World Health Organisation classification. Hepatoid features included polygonal cells with abundant granular or eosinophilic cytoplasm, trabecular/solid growth pattern, and bile production. A semiquantitative analysis was performed for Hep Par 1, AFP, chromogranin, and synaptophysin immunoreactivity. The extent (percentage) and the intensity of staining (graded mild, moderate, to strong) were recorded, as were the histological and topographical locations of the positive areas.

**RESULTS**

There were 29 cervical carcinoma specimens comprising 17 squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs), seven adenocarcinomas (ACs), two ACs with AIS, one LCNEC with AIS, one AIS, and one AIS with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 3 (CIN3). The age range of the patients was from 34 to 65 years. The tumour size ranged from 0.7 to 4.8 cm in maximum dimension. The disease ranged from stage IA1 to IIIB (American Joint Committee on Cancer staging).  

Seven of the 29 cervical carcinomas studied were positive for Hep par 1 (table 1).

**Histomorphology of the Hep par 1 positive tumours**

The Hep Par 1 positive tumours comprised the LCNEC with AIS, three ACs, one AIS with CIN3, one AC with AIS, and one SCC. Only one case (case 5) exhibited hepatoid features in addition to the AC and AIS components. The hepatoid cells were polygonal with ample eosinophilic granular cytoplasm and grew in sheets with no obvious gland formation (fig 1); occasional mucin positive signet ring cells were noted. Case 2 was a well differentiated AC, endometrioid type, composed of glands and papillary structures with clear cell areas reminiscent of fetal gut epithelium. The tumour cells had ample amphophilic to vacuolated cytoplasm and most had large subnuclear and/or apical mucin vacuoles (fig 2). Case 1 was the LCNEC characterised by solid sheets of large, highly pleomorphic undifferentiated cells with high mitotic rate occurring in association with AIS (fig 3).

**Invasive component**

Four of a total of 27 invasive carcinomas showed moderate to strong Hep Par 1 immunoreactivity, ranging from very focal (<5% in case 7) to 100% (case 2) positive cells (fig 4). The SCC showed 50% staining (fig 5).

**In situ component**

Three of the five AIS lesions showed Hep Par 1 positivity (fig 6); staining was strong but patchy, ranging from 10–80% in extent. It was notable that the incumbent invasive components in two of these cases with AIS (cases 1 and 5) were negative.

### Table 1  Cervical carcinomas with Hep Par 1 expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Tumour type</th>
<th>Immunohistochemical markers (extent of staining)</th>
<th>Clinical correlates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HP</td>
<td>AFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LCNEC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AIS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AIS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CIN3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AIS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Focal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seven of the 29 cases expressed Hep Par 1 in the form of distinct cytoplasmic granules. Normal refers to normal endocervical epithelium. AC, adenocarcinoma; AFP, α-fetoprotein; AIS, adenocarcinoma in situ; A+W, alive and well; CG, chromogranin; CIN3, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 3; DOD, dead of disease; HP, Hep Par 1; LCNEC, large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma; ND, not done; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; SYN, synaptophysin; VI, vascular invasion.
Endocervical epithelium
In case 1, the non-dysplastic looking endocervical glands showed strong Hep Par 1 positivity in addition to the AIS component (fig 7). No staining was detected in the normal epithelium in the other 28 cases.

Biological behaviour
Three of the four Hep Par 1 positive invasive carcinomas (cases 2, 6 and 7) showed the presence of extensive intravascular tumour emboli; they appeared to have a worse outcome, irrespective of tumour stage at the time of surgery.
Hep Par 1 expression in cervical carcinoma

Two of the six patients (cases 5 and 6) with stage IB1 disease at the time of diagnosis died of widespread metastatic disease within two years of surgery; one patient was alive with liver metastases (case 1) and the remaining three were alive and well with no evidence of disease. Patient 3 with stage IIIB disease was also alive and well.

**AFP expression**

The LCNEC (case 1) was the only sample that expressed AFP, albeit focal (< 5%) and weak to moderate. Staining was confined to the undifferentiated carcinoma cells and not the Hep Par 1 positive AIS areas. When liver metastases developed four months later, the serum AFP concentration was 4697 µg/litre, with corresponding tissue AFP positivity in the fine needle aspiration material of the liver lesions.

**Neuroendocrine marker expression**

Three Hep Par 1 positive cases (one each of LCNEC, AC, and AIS) showed the presence of neuroendocrine markers (fig 8). However, there was no apparent histological or topographical correlation between the immunoreactive cells. Vascular invasion was observed only in the LCNEC.

Twenty two of the 29 cases were negative for Hep Par 1 (table 2); they comprised 16 SCCs, four ACs, one AC with AIS, and one AIS. None had hepatoid histomorphology. Two ACs expressed neuroendocrine markers (cases 8 and 9). Five of 21 patients with invasive lesions (all SCCs) showed vascular invasion, with two of them having adverse outcomes—one developed bone metastases two years after initial surgery, whereas the other developed an abdominal wall recurrence one year after surgery. One patient was lost to follow up and the remaining patients are alive and well.

**Control cases**

No Hep Par 1 staining was detected in the endocervical glands of the 10 non-cancer related hysterectomies.

**DISCUSSION**

A large proportion of cervical carcinomas (seven of 29) stained with Hep Par 1. However, only one displayed hepatoid features, although another was a clear cell adenocarcinoma resembling fetal gut, a feature observed in hepatoid gastric carcinomas.17 As such, in most instances there was no reason to suspect a hepatoid connection, least of all to stain with Hep Par 1. It was also notable that there was staining of AIS lesions and even normal looking endocervical epithelium.

The Hep Par 1 positive invasive carcinomas in our study showed a higher rate of vascular invasion (three of four) compared with their Hep Par 1 negative counterparts (five of 21). In some studies, the incidence of vascular invasion in cervical carcinoma has been reported to be as high as 43% in stage I and II tumours,19 but more commonly in the range of 20–30%,20–22 correlating with the data for the Hep Par 1 negative cases (24%). Other studies have also noted the propensity of hepatoid carcinomas for vascular permeation.23–25 Hence, hepatoid appearance, clear cell features, and/or vascular invasion might be an indicator to stain for Hep Par 1 and AFP. The overall rate of neuroendocrine marker expression was five of 29. This contrasts with a recent study of cervical carcinoma with synaptophysin expression in only five of 54 cases.26

The expression of the gene(s) encoding the Hep Par 1 antigen may result from the genetic instability inherent in cancer cells. Hep Par 1 expression appears to be more prevalent in AIS (three of five) than in invasive carcinoma (four of 27). In addition, in the two cases with both AIS and invasive components, only the AIS components showed Hep Par 1 staining. The acquisition of the Hep Par 1 positive phenotype may be an early event in the sequence of progression from dysplasia of glandular cells through in situ to invasive malignancy—a property that is lost in some cases of AIS as they progressively become invasive. If this is the case, then the morphologically normal looking endocervical glands with Hep Par 1 expression may represent an even earlier genetic mutation, with the acquisition of the Hep Par 1 positive phenotype before the acquisition of dysplastic morphology.

The Hep Par 1 positive invasive tumours in our study had a generally worse outcome than the Hep Par 1 negative group. This outcome was apparently not associated with hepatoid

---

**Table 2 Hep Par 1 negative cases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Tumour type</th>
<th>Hep Par 1</th>
<th>AFP</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>SYN</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Focal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>IB1</td>
<td>A+W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Focal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>IB1</td>
<td>A+W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–25</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>I (10)</td>
<td>A+W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II (4)</td>
<td>1 Rec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>III (2)</td>
<td>1 Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–27</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>IB1,IA</td>
<td>A+W, NFU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>AC+AIS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>IB1</td>
<td>A+W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>AIS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>A+W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AC, adenocarcinoma; AIS, adenocarcinoma in situ; A+W, alive and well; Met, metastases; NFU, no follow up data available; Rec, local recurrence; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.

**Figure 8** Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma expressing strong and diffuse chromogranin immunoreactivity (immunoperoxidase).
Histomorphology or AFP expression, and was independent of tumour stage.

The two patients with neuroendocrine expression only (Hep Par 1 negative) were alive and well with no evidence of disease recurrence at two years of follow up. This differs somewhat from the findings of Chavez-Blanco et al., who found that their patients with synaptophysin positive cervical carcinomas all relapsed within six months of follow up. In contrast, the two patients with invasive carcinoma who expressed both Hep Par 1 and neuroendocrine markers in the invasive/in situ components (cases 1 and 5) had adverse outcomes, with one patient dying of the disease 2.5 years after initial surgery and the other developing liver metastases. Thus, the coexpression of Hep Par 1 and neuroendocrine markers may herald rapid progression of disease and a poor prognosis, even worse than Hep Par 1 expression alone.

Hep Par 1 expression by carcinomas of the cervix may have diagnostic relevance in the setting of patients with known cervical carcinoma who subsequently develop liver masses. In such cases, the diagnostic differential would be between a primary hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and liver metastasis from the primary cervical tumour (case 1). The problem arises when there is a solitary liver mass and the scanty fine needle aspiration (FNA) material shows equivocal cytological features. The usual immunohistochemical panel used to differentiate metastatic carcinoma and HCC may show Hep Par 1 and even AFP positivity in the metastatic carcinoma cells, thereby compounding the diagnostic dilemma.

“The coexpression of Hep Par 1 and neuroendocrine markers may herald rapid progression of disease and a poor prognosis, even worse than Hep Par 1 expression alone”

In conclusion, Hep Par 1 expression was seen in almost a quarter of the cervical carcinomas studied, covering a spectrum of histological types, including in situ carcinoma. Hep Par 1, with or without neuroendocrine coexpression, is apparently associated with a worse prognosis. Hep Par 1 immunostaining may be useful in selected cases of cervical carcinoma as an adjunct to other commonly assessed parameters, such as vascular invasion, in prognostic determination. Further studies involving larger numbers of cases are required to confirm this observation. Another diagnostic implication that must be entertained, in the light of positive Hep Par 1 staining of cervical carcinoma cells, is the interpretation of FNA material of liver masses from patients with primary cervical carcinomas—not all Hep Par 1 and AFP positive tumour tissue in the liver is necessarily of hepatic origin. Lastly, the diagnostic usefulness, in particular, the specificity of the Hep Par 1 immunostain for its intended tissues (hepatocyte derived) must be re-evaluated. The use of this antibody in situations of diagnostic difficulty must be coupled with the awareness and knowledge of the increasing range of tissue types that it may stain.
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