CASE REPORT

Gastric duplication in an adult mimicking mucinous cystadenoma of the pancreas
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Gastric duplication cyst (GDC) in an adult can have several clinical presentations. A review of the literature showed previously reported cases of GDC presenting as pancreatic pseudocyst or with greatly raised concentrations of carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9). It is often difficult to discriminate GDC from pancreatic cystic tumour, in particular pancreatic mucinous cystadenoma, in which concentrations of carcinoembryonic antigen and CA 19-9 are classically raised. This report describes an adult case of GDC mimicking a mucinous cystadenoma of the pancreas. This is the first report of a simultaneous increase in carcinoembryonic antigen and CA 19-9 in GDC in the absence of malignancy. Although few cases of carcinoma arising from a GDC having been reported, the production of oncofetal antigens raises the problem of a precancerous condition in long standing intestinal duplications. In this situation surgical resection must be performed.

A gastric duplication cyst (GDC) in an adult is a rare congenital anomaly, comprising 4% of all alimentary duplications,1 can have several clinical presentations. A review of the literature showed previously reported cases of GDC presenting as pancreatic pseudocyst,2 or with greatly raised concentrations of carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9). It is often difficult to discriminate GDC from pancreatic cystic tumour, in particular pancreatic mucinous cystadenoma, in which concentrations of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and CA 19-9 are classically raised.4

“It is often difficult to discriminate gastric duplication cyst from pancreatic cystic tumours, in particular pancreatic mucinous cystadenoma”5

We report an adult case of GDC mimicking a mucinous cystadenoma of the pancreas. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a simultaneous rise in CEA and CA 19-9 in GDC in the absence of malignancy. Few cases of carcinoma arising from a GDC having been reported1,1 the production of an oncofetal antigen raises the problem of a precancerous condition in long standing intestinal duplications. In this situation surgical resection must be performed.

CLINICAL PRESENTATION

A healthy 29 year old white woman was admitted to our institution because of recurrent epigastric pain and unspecified lipothyismia. Her medical history was unremarkable. Physical examination was normal. Ultrasound examination and computed tomography (CT) scan revealed a homogeneous cystic mass, measuring 8 cm, in the epigastric region, in contact with the pancreas and the stomach (fig 1A). Peripheral calcifications were noted on CT scan around the cyst. CT scan also revealed another cystic mass located near the spinal cord in the posterior mediastinum, which showed no evidence of malignancy (fig 1B). Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) revealed that the cystic mass had its own clear thin wall and an exploratory puncture was made. A diagnosis of GDC was suggested by EUS but the clinical presentation led us to make another diagnosis. The cyst contained a yellowish serous fluid, which was aspirated so that the concentrations of CEA and CA 19-9 could be measured. Although serum concentrations of CEA (< 0.5 μg/litre) and CA 19-9 (17 kui/litre; normal range, < 35 kui/litre) were within the normal range before surgery, the contents of the cyst revealed very high concentrations of CEA (36 050 μg/litre) and CA 19-9 (659 510 kui/litre). Fluid cultures grew no bacteria and cytological examination of the fluid revealed scanty cellularity, with no malignant cells. A diagnosis of pancreatic mucinous cystadenoma was established with the following supporting arguments: young woman, morphological presentation, peripheral pancreatic calcifications, and very high CEA and CA 19–9 concentrations.

Laparotomy was performed because of the risk of malignancy. The lesion was identified on the greater curvature of the stomach (fig 2). The pancreas was strictly normal. The lesion was locally excised with a margin of normal tissue (fig 3). There was no communication with the lumen of the stomach. Pathological examination revealed strict morphological criteria for the diagnosis of gastric duplication cyst,1 namely: the cyst was attached to the

Figure 1  Computed tomography (CT) findings. (A) A diagnosis of pancreatic mucinous cystadenoma was established with the following supporting arguments: young woman, CT presentation (peripheral calcifications, own clear thin wall), and greatly raised concentrations of carcinoembryonic antigen and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 in the cyst (a, gastric duplication cyst; b, stomach; c, peripheral calcifications). (B) CT scan found another cystic mass (a) located near the spinal cord in the posterior mediastinum, which showed no signs of malignancy.

Abbreviations: CA, carbohydrate antigen; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CK, cytokeratin; CT, computed tomography; EUS, endoscopic ultrasonography; GDC, gastric duplication cyst.
stomach and contiguous with its wall, the lesion was surrounded by at least one coat of smooth muscle fusing with the muscularis propria of the stomach, and the cyst was lined by typical gastric mucosa, accompanied by patches of ectopic or immature cells (figs 4–6). Although most of the epithelium was missing, some parts of the cyst contained simple cuboidal epithelium and ciliated pseudostratified epithelium, and these resembled normal mucosa of the alimentary tract at various embryonic stages (figs 7 and 8). Immature cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm and a round nucleus with large amounts of chromatin were seen in the gastric glands of the duplication cyst.

The pathological examination also revealed that the cyst comprised three non-communicating cysts: a main cyst measuring 6 cm and two accessory cysts measuring 1 cm.

Immunohistochemical analysis revealed synaptophysin and chromogranin positive cells in the epithelium (fig 9), indicating that the gastric wall and the duplication wall had a normal endocrine component. GDC was immunopositive for cytokeratin 7 (CK7) and CK20. The gastric mucosa was immunopositive for CK20 but was immunonegative for CK7, suggesting that the epithelium of the GDC derived from the alimentary tract and contained some ectopic cells immunopositive for CK7. In fact, the anti-CK7 antibody reacts with proteins that are found in most ductal, glandular, and transitional epithelia of the urinary tract and bile duct epithelial cells. The GDC was immunopositive for CA 19-9 and weakly positive for CEA, whereas the gastric mucosa was immunonegative for both. The CA 19-9 and CEA antigens are highly expressed in gastrointestinal adenocarcinomas, although the pathological examination of the GDC found no sign of malignancy. A diagnosis of GDC was made. The postoperative outcome was uneventful and the patient was discharged.

**DISCUSSION**

Duplications of the intestinal tract can occur anywhere from the mouth to the anus. The duplication cyst is entirely separate from the adjacent bowel and shares a common wall. GDC comprises 4% of all alimentary duplications, and diagnosis is usually made early in life; approximately 67% of patients present within the 1st year of life and it is a rare entity in adults. Strict morphological criteria have been established for the correct diagnosis of duplication cysts. The pathogenesis is controversial, but abnormal recanalisation after the solid epithelial stage of embryonic bowel development is thought by most to underlie this lesion. GDCs in adults are more common in female patients and can have several clinical presentations. It is often difficult to discriminate GDC from congenital cysts or malignant pancreatic cystic tumours. Differential diagnoses must be considered. The presenting symptoms are non-specific and can be revealed by complications such as infection, haematemesis, compression, or carcinoma arising in the cyst.

GDC can mimic a pancreatic pseudocyst, and recurrent episodes of pancreatitis have been described, especially in those patients in whom the duplication is contiguous with the stomach. It is often difficult to discriminate GDC from malignant pancreatic cystic tumour, in particular pancreatic mucinous cystadenoma. The diagnosis of pancreatic mucinous cystadenoma is based on the clinical presentation, the
CT scan, EUS, and the high concentrations of CEA. The diagnosis must be confirmed by surgery and pathological examination. Because cytology is a relatively insensitive test, cyst fluid tumour markers such as CEA have been used to improve the sensitivity for the detection of malignancy. Cyst fluid CEA values are uniformly low in serous cystadenomas, higher in mucinous lesions, and very high in mucinous cystadenocarcinomas. In our report, the contents of the cyst revealed very high concentrations of CEA and CA 19-9, but pathological examination found no signs of malignancy. Very high concentrations of CA 19-9 have been described in GDC previously, and high concentrations of CA 19-9 and CEA have been reported in an adult ileal duplication cyst. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a simultaneous increase in CEA and CA 19-9 in GDC in the absence of malignancy.

The production of oncofetal antigens by the epithelial lining of alimentary tract duplication cysts in adults raises the problem of a precancerous condition in long standing intestinal duplications. Malignant transformation has been described in a few adult cases. Carcinoma arising from a duplication cyst is extremely rare, with only five cases reported in gastric duplication cysts to date. It is possible that immature epithelia produce oncofetal antigens, although we did not verify this hypothesis. Otherwise, immunohistochemical analysis (synaptophysin and chromogranin) indicated a normal endocrine component in the gastric wall and the duplication wall with no indication of malignancy. The production of oncofetal antigens is the result of overexpression of embryonic antigens in immature cells. Their abnormal secretion by gastric duplication cysts may be caused by environmental agents that probably lead to benign metaplasia in a long standing cyst. Benign metaplasia may signal the presence of a potentially carcinogenic microenvironment, whereas other types of metaplasia, such as incomplete intestinal metaplasia, are regarded as precancerous. Some reports have suggested that longterm benign cysts with raised tumour markers have a greater degenerative potential.

Non-communicating gastric duplicating cyst is a rare entity in adults and it is difficult to discriminate from malignant pancreatic cystic tumour.

Various other congenital anomalies are present in 35% of gastric duplication cases. Alimentary duplication, oesophageal diverticulum, or spinal cord abnormalities are often encountered. Accordingly, in our case, a cystic mass with no signs of malignancy was located near the spinal cord in the posterior mediastinum. CT scans and EUS are the best way to identify GDC. Classically, radiographic examination shows an intramural filling defect indenting the gastric contour. GDCs have their own wall on CT scan, they are located along the greater curvature, and they have a cystic or tubular configuration. Sometimes the relation of these cysts with the neighbouring organs is difficult to specify. The imaging appearances of pancreatic cysts are well defined, but were indistinguishable from GDC on CT scan in our present report, namely: thin wall, calcifications, shape, size, location. Nevertheless, EUS enabled us to make a diagnosis of GDC.
and allowed us to complete the exploration by puncturing the cyst. Because malignant transformation has been described in a few adult cases,15 surgical removal is considered to be the best treatment. Non-communicating GDC is classically treated by complete resection, performing excision of the shared wall between the stomach and the duplication. Some recent studies reported successful laparoscopic resection,8 but in our case we performed laparotomy because a diagnosis of pancreatic mucinous cystadenoma was suspected before surgery. However, the size of the cyst required open surgery. Communicating GDC usually requires no intervention when both gastric lumens are patent.

Non-communicating GDC is a rare entity in adults and it is difficult to discriminate from malignant pancreatic cystic tumour. Classically, concentrations of CEA and CA 19-9 are raised in pancreatic mucinous cystadenoma, but only CA 19-9 is raised in GDC. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a simultaneous rise in CEA and CA 19-9 in GDC in the absence of malignancy. Few cases of carcinoma arising from a GDC having been reported, the production of oncofetal antigens raises the problem of a precancerous condition, so that in this situation surgical resection must be performed.

**Take home messages**

- We report an adult case of gastric duplication cyst (GDC) mimicking a mucinous cystadenoma of the pancreas in which concentrations of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and carbohydrate 19-9 (CA 19-9) were extremely high.
- To our knowledge, this is the first report of a simultaneous increase in CEA and CA 19-9 in GDC in the absence of malignancy.
- Although few cases of carcinoma arising from a GDC having been reported, the production of oncofetal antigens suggests the possibility of a precancerous condition, so that in this situation surgical resection must be performed.
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