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Aims: Acoustic neuroma is a benign tumour, which develops through an overproliferation of Schwann cells along the vestibular nerve. Somatostatin is a naturally occurring peptide, which exerts antiproliferative and antiangiogenic effects via five membrane bound receptor subtypes. The aim of this study was to determine whether somatostatin receptor subtypes (SSTRs) 1, 2, 3, and 5 are present in acoustic neuromas.

Methods: The expression of SSTRs 1, 2, 3, and 5 was studied in both the Schwann cells and blood vessels of eight acoustic neuroma specimens, by means of immunohistochemistry using novel rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against human SSTR 1, 2, and 5 subtype specific peptides, and a commercial anti-SSTR3 antibody.

Results: SSTR2 was the most prevalent subtype in Schwann cells (seven of eight), with intermediate expression of SSTR3 (six of eight), and lower expression of SSTRs 1 and 5 (four of eight and five of eight, respectively). There was ubiquitous vascular expression of SSTR2, with no evidence of SSTR 1, 3, or 5 expression in blood vessels.

Conclusion: SSTRs 1, 2, 3, and 5 are differentially expressed in acoustic neuromas. Somatostatin analogues may have a therapeutic role in the management of this rare and challenging condition.
The administration and subsequent scintigraphic localisation of a radiolabelled somatostatin analogue (for example, Octreoscan®) is an established technique, which allows tumour SSTR status to be determined in vivo. It is primarily used to assess disease extent and the presence of metastases in neuroendocrine tumours, and can be used to monitor response to treatment.

The aim of our study was to define the expression of SSTRs 1, 2, 3, and 5 in a series of acoustic neuromas, to indicate whether SRIF analogues may have a potential therapeutic role in this condition. Therefore, immunohistochemical analysis was undertaken, using novel polyclonal antibodies to SSTRs 1, 2, and 5, and a commercial antibody to receptor 3.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Local ethics committee permission was obtained to access material from the pathology archives at Hull and East Yorkshire NHS Trust (Hull, UK). The pathology specimens of all eight patients treated surgically for acoustic neurona at Hull Royal Infirmary between 1995 and 2000 were retrieved. Five of the patients were men, three were women, and the mean age at diagnosis was 45 (range, 22–74). None of the patients had von Recklinghausen’s syndrome, and all specimens were unilateral, histologically confirmed acoustic neuromas. All patients had undergone primary microsurgical tumour resection, except for one, who had previously relapsed after stereotactic radiosurgery.

Sections were cut at 5 μm and floated on to positively charged slides (SuperFrost Plus; Menzel-Glaser, Portsmouth, New Hampshire, USA), from representative formalin fixed, paraffin wax embedded tumour blocks. The slides were dewaxed in xylene and rehydrated through graded ethanols. Heat induced epitope retrieval was carried out by immersing the slides in citrate buffer (pH 6) and microwaving at 600 W for 20 minutes, before cooling and rinsing with phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched by incubating the sections in 1% H₂O₂. Non-specific binding sites were then blocked by preincubation with 5% normal swine serum (Dako Ltd, Ely, Cambridgeshire, UK) and 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS/0.3% Triton X-100 for 20 minutes at room temperature. Sections were then incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibody, diluted 1/10 000 in PBS plus 1% BSA and 0.3% Triton X-100. The rabbit polyclonal antibodies to SSTRs 1, 2, and 5 were produced and provided by Dr Helboe, as described previously. Raised against the C-terminal part of the human receptor proteins, the antisera have been fully characterised, and lack of crossreactivity has been demonstrated. A commercial polyclonal anti-SSTR3 antibody was also at a dilution of 1/10 000.

After washing with 0.25% BSA and 0.05% Tween-20 in PBS, sections were incubated with biotinylated secondary antibody (biotinylated swine anti-rabbit IgG; E0353, Dako) at a 1/500 dilution for one hour at room temperature. Sections were then washed in PBS with 0.05% Tween-20, incubated with tyramide blocking buffer (NER Life Science Products, Boston, Massachusetts, USA) for 20 minutes, and then incubated with horseradish peroxidase conjugated streptavidin–biotin complex (HRP-StrepABC) for 45 minutes. After rinsing in washing buffer, the signal was then amplified by applying biotinylated tyramide (DuPont NEN) at a dilution of 1/100 for 10 minutes, before a final 45 minute incubation with HRP-StrepABC. Sections were again washed, and immunoreactivity was visualised by adding H₂O₂ as enzyme substrate, in the presence of 0.05% 3,3’-diaminobenzidine. Sections were then briefly counterstained with Harris’s haematoxylin, before rehydrating and mounting with DPX.

Samples of anterior pituitary and pancreas known to express the relevant antigen were used as positive controls. Negative controls included adsorption studies, as described previously, omission of the primary antibody, and incubation with 1% non-immune serum.

QUANTIFICATION OF IMMUNOSTAINING

Staining was graded independently by two blinded observers. Both Schwann cells and blood vessels were assessed, and cells were only regarded as positive if they showed an unequivocal brown reaction product in the cytoplasm. Because staining was uniform across the sections, it was possible to select representative areas for assessment. The immunostaining within these areas was estimated using intermediate power light microscopy (∗×200). A semiquantitative scoring system was used, according to the proportion of Schwann cells or blood vessels (0–5%, 6–25%, 26–50%, >50%, ++), staining positive. Positive immunostaining is defined by scores of +, ++, or +++.

RESULTS

Table 1 summarises the Schwann cell staining pattern for each tumour. Each tumour expressed at least one SSTR subtype; two of the tumours expressed all four subtypes. Positive vascular staining was seen only for SSTR2, none of the other SSTR subtypes was demonstrated in blood vessels (fig 1). Overall, SSTR2 was the most prevalent subtype, being
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*The patient was treated with radiotherapy before surgery.

Staining was graded as follows: 0–5%, 6–25%, 26–50%, >50%, +++.

SSTR, somatostatin receptor.

Figure 1 Positive vascular staining for somatostatin receptor 2.
expressed in the Schwann cells of seven of the eight tumours and in most of the vessels of all eight tumours. Receptor 3 was the next most prevalent, present to a low or moderate extent in six of the eight tumours studied (fig 2). There was intermediate expression of receptors 1 and 5, in four and five of the eight tumours, respectively. No difference in receptor expression was seen between Antoni A and Antoni B areas.

DISCUSSION

Acoustic neuroma has been described as “benign in nature, but malignant in position” because of its proximity to the brainstem and cranial nerves V, VII, and VIII.

Currently, the management options for unilateral acoustic neuromas are observation, with interval scanning by magnetic resonance imaging, stereotactic radiosurgery, and microsurgical tumour resection. Both interventions have the potential for serious complications, the treatment goals being long-term control of tumour growth and preservation of neurological function.18 19 There are no reports in the literature of chemotherapy trials for acoustic neuroma. Although there have been no reports on the expression of SSTRs in acoustic neuromas, relative overexpression of SSTR2 has been reported in meningiomas, raising the possibility of SRIF analogue treatment in this condition.20 This is interesting, because these two conditions may coexist in the 10% of patients with bilateral acoustic neuromas associated with neurofibromatosis type 2. Paradoxically, however, Koper et al showed that somatostatin could stimulate the growth of cultured meningioma cells, via the inhibition of cAMP.21

“Vascular expression of somatostatin receptor 2 was ubiquitous, suggesting a potential antiangiogenic role for somatostatin analogues”

Our study shows that SSTRs 1, 2, 3, and 5 are widely and differentially expressed in acoustic neuromas. In all of the acoustic neuromas studied, there was Schwann cell expression of at least one SSTR subtype. Interestingly, receptors 2 and 3 were the most prevalent, and in other studies have caused tumour shrinkage via the inhibition of cell proliferation and induction of apoptosis, respectively.11 12 Vascular expression of SSTR2 was ubiquitous, suggesting a potential antiangiogenic role for somatostatin analogues. There is evidence that vascular SSTRs have a direct antiangiogenic effect via the inhibition of endothelial cell growth.22 Vascular SSTRs may also have an antitumour effect through vasoconstriction, leading to tumour hypoxia and necrosis.13 21

In conclusion, this is the first study to demonstrate the presence of somatostatin receptors in both the blood vessel endothelium and the Schwann cells of acoustic neuromas. This suggests that SRIF analogues may have a role in the treatment of acoustic neuromas, perhaps by causing pre- or post-treatment tumour shrinkage or by preventing tumour recurrence. These drugs are relatively non-toxic, and there is good evidence that acoustic neuromas express receptors for them. Given the variable expression of SSTRs by the tumours in our study, somatostatin analogue scintigraphy may be useful in selecting patients for SRIF analogue treatment. However, an inhibitory effect on tumour cell growth should be demonstrated before embarking on a therapeutic trial of an SRIF analogue in this condition.
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Figure 2 Schwann cells demonstrating positive staining for somatostatin receptor 3.
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