Intraepithelial lymphocytes in the villous tip: do they indicate potential coeliac disease?
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BACKGROUND: The counting of intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) in the villous tips of architecturally normal small bowel biopsy specimens was proposed as a method to measure mucosal infiltration in gluten sensitive patients.

AIMS: To apply this straightforward method in duodenal biopsy specimens from patients affected by potential coeliac disease (PCD) to verify whether it can discriminate these patients from controls.

METHODS: Paraffin wax embedded duodenal sections from 11 patients affected by PCD were stained with an antihuman CD3 antibody. Sections from 19 patients affected by treated coeliac disease (TCD) and 17 patients in whom coeliac disease was excluded were stained with the same antibody to serve as controls. The slides were examined blindly. IELs/20 enterocytes in five randomly chosen villous tips were counted. Patients affected by PCD were all on a gluten containing diet. They had an architecturally normal duodenal mucosa and were positive for endomysial antibody. Both TCD and non-coeliac controls were negative for endomysial antibody.

RESULTS: The mean villous tip IEL scores were 4.6 (SD, 1.5; range, 1.4–7.8) in non-coeliac controls, 7.9 (SD, 4.0; range, 2.0–18.6) in TCD, and 9.2 (SD, 4.7; range, 5.8–21.8) in patients with PCD. The difference between PCD and non-coeliac controls was significant.

CONCLUSIONS: This is a very simple and sufficiently reliable method to count IELs. In patients with an architecturally normal duodenal mucosa, the IEL count in villous tips helps to distinguish between patients with PCD and non-coeliac controls.

COELIAC DISEASE (CD) is a chronic but reversible enteropathy in which there is a characteristic, although nonspecific, mucosal lesion of the small intestine, which impairs nutrient absorption by the involved bowel.1 Because the extension of the lesions along the small bowel probably varies, a wide spectrum of clinical presentations can occur in patients with CD, ranging from those with life threatening enteropathy to virtually non-symptomatic subjects.2

Increased intraepithelial infiltrate, crypt hyperplasia, and villous atrophy are the three basic features of coeliac enteropathy.1 Observing that the degree of any of these features can be variable, Marsh and Crowe proved that mucosal lesions range from an architecturally normal mucosa, with just an increased number of intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs), to severe villous atrophy and crypt hyperplasia.1 Therefore, CD is characterised by not only a clinical, but also a pathological, spectrum.3

“A consensus on what is the normal count and what is the best method of counting intraepithelial lymphocytes is regrettably still lacking”

The most preserved histological end of the pathological spectrum is represented by an uncommon form of CD, defined as potential CD (PCD).4 A diagnosis of PCD can be made in any gluten consuming patient in whom, in spite of the presence of “coeliac stigmata” such as a high IEL count, high γ/δ IEL count, and/or positive endomysial antibodies (EMA), an architecturally normal small bowel mucosa can still be found.4 5 Interestingly, PCD can evolve into active CD—that is, a subtotal villous atrophy requiring a gluten free diet.5 6 As a result of several studies in the past decade, which focused on PCD to identify the best predictor of future mucosal flattening,7–15 EMA emerged as the most useful tool to predict such an evolution to a flat mucosa.14 15

From the pathologist’s point of view, an increased number of IELs in an architecturally normal duodenal mucosa always suggests PCD. However, although lymphocytic infiltration has been taken into account for the diagnosis of gluten sensitive enteropathy for the past 30 years,6 a consensus on what is the normal count and what is the best method of counting IELs is regrettably still lacking. This is clearly shown by the fact that several methods of counting IELs are now available.7 11–14

A new method that counts IELs in the villous tips has recently been proposed. It is easier and faster than the traditional methods and it was suggested to discriminate between “gluten sensitive patients” and controls.16 However, we do not completely agree with the clinical criteria used in that paper to distinguish gluten sensitive patients from controls, and we are not sure that those gluten sensitive patients can all be considered as being affected by PCD, according to Ferguson et al17 and Maki et al.18 19 However, the method was very simple and straightforward. Therefore, we designed a study that could carefully evaluate whether the IEL count in the villous tips can differentiate patients with PCD from controls. We also compared the diagnostic efficacy of this new method with the “traditional” one currently used in our hospital.17 Morphometry and γ/δ IEL count, which can both suggest PCD, were applied also.20 21 22

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients and controls
Duodenal biopsy specimens from 11 patients (five females; mean age, 38.0 years; SD, 20.5) with PCD were studied retrospectively. Patients were classified as affected by PCD on

Abbreviations: CD, coeliac disease; CI, confidence interval; EMA, endomysial antibodies; IEL, intraepithelial lymphocyte; PCD, potential coeliac disease
the basis of positive EMA and a duodenal biopsy that pathologists had considered to be architecturally normal, being classified as either Marsh 0 or Marsh 1. However, to avoid a selection bias, the routine IEL count was not taken into account as an inclusion criterion. The patients were all on a gluten containing diet comparable to that of the general Italian population. However, the retrospective nature of our study did not allow us to have a prospective dietary diary. Table 1 summarises the patients’ clinical features.

Seventeen patients (12 females; mean age, 41.5 years; SD, 14.9) with an architecturally normal duodenal mucosa, while on a gluten containing diet, were included. They had all been on a strict gluten free diet for at least 12 months; small bowel mucosa had been restored and coeliac antibodies were negative.

### Methods

Paraffin wax embedded duodenal sections from patients and controls were stained with haematoxylin and eosin, Giemsa, and Alcian blue/periodic acid Schiff/haematoxylin methods. To enhance diagnostic accuracy, sections were immunostained using an antihuman CD3 antibody (A 0452; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark).

To identify γδ T IELs, frozen sections from eight of the patients with PCD, five patients with treated CD, and 15 controls were available. Sections were immunostained with a 50% mix of two antihuman γδ T cell receptor antibodies (clone TS-1 and clone 5A6.E9; Serotec Ltd, Oxford, UK).

The slides were examined blindly by two authors (FB, OL). IELs were counted using both a traditional method (IELs/500 enterocytes),17 and the new method proposed by Goldstein.20 More precisely, this method consists of counting the IELs in the 20 uppermost enterocytes in five randomly chosen villous tips (fig 1). Morphometric measurement was applied according to Dunnill.21 22

### Statistics

The Student’s t test (for unequal variances) was used to compare controls and PCD percentages, both in the traditional and new methods. Mean differences between groups and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) were also computed. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant. For comparison of methods and of interobserver and intraobserver variability, Lin’s concordance correlation coefficient was used, together with Bland and Altman’s limits of agreements procedures. Moreover, the discriminating ability of both methods in identifying PDC and controls was evaluated by computing the area under the receiver operator curve, after fitting a logistic model. Stata 8 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA) was used for computation.

### RESULTS

#### IEL count

IELs/500 enterocytes (traditional method): mean IELs/100 enterocytes were 25.2 (SD, 9.0; range, 9.2–45.3) in non-coeliac controls, 36.1 (SD, 15.3; range, 19.8–80.8) in treated CD, and 39.0 (SD, 15.3; range, 23.0–70.8) in patients with PCD. Although the difference between PCD and non-coeliac controls was significant (mean, 13.8; 95% CI, 2.9 to 24.7; t test, p = 0.017), the two groups overlapped considerably (fig 2A).

IELs in five villous tips (new method): mean IELs/20 enterocytes were 4.6 (SD, 1.5; range, 1.4–7.8) in non-coeliac controls, 7.9 (SD, 4.0; range, 2.0–18.6) in treated CD, and 9.2 (SD, 4.7; range, 5.8–21.8) in patients with PCD, corresponding to mean (SD) percentages of 22.9% (7.4%), 39.1% (19.3%), and 45.8% (23.2%), respectively. The difference between PCD and non-coeliac controls was significant (mean, 22.9%; 95% CI, 7.1% to 38.8%; t test, p = 0.009) and the overlap between the two groups was less than the one obtained with the traditional count (fig 2B).

### Table 1 Clinical features of patients affected by potential coeliac disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>HLA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>First degree relative of a patient affected by IDDM</td>
<td>DQ2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Dermatitis herpetiformis</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>DQ2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>First degree relative of a patient affected by CD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>First degree relative of a patient affected by CD</td>
<td>DQ2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>DQ2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>First degree relative of a patient affected by CD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Weight loss, iron deficiency anaemia</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Dermatitis herpetiformis</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Dermatitis herpetiformis</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Dermatitis herpetiformis</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD, coeliac disease; HLA, human major histocompatibility complex; IDDM, insulin dependent diabetes mellitus; NA, not available.

Figure 1 Starting from the tip of the villous (arrow), the intraepithelial lymphocytes in 10 enterocytes to the left and 10 to the right were counted.
Lin’s coefficient for the interobserver variability (OL, FB) of the new method was 0.77 (95% CI, 0.66 to 0.89), and the Bland and Altman 95% limits of agreements were –0.29 to 0.19 (fig 3). Despite these good results, although in the context of widespread data, the interobserver variability of the traditional method was slightly better (Lin’s coefficient, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.93; Bland and Altman 95% limits of agreements, –0.18 to 0.12; data not shown).

For comparison between the traditional and the new method (OL), Lin’s coefficient was 0.84 (95% CI, 0.76 to 0.91), and Bland and Altman 95% limits of agreements were –0.21 to 0.17 (fig 4).

Discrimination was good for both methods, although higher for the new one (area under the receiver operator curve, 0.82 and 0.94 for the old and new methods, respectively).

**IEL count**

γδ T IELs/500 enterocytes (traditional method): as expected, numbers of γδ T IELs/100 enterocytes were increased in both patients with PCD and treated CD compared with non-celiac controls (patients with PCD: mean, 13.0; SD, 6.7; range, 6.2–25.0; patients with treated CD: mean, 16.8; SD, 16.0; range, 5.0–45.0; non-celiac controls: mean, 8.4; SD, 7.2; range, 0.0–20.0). However, probably because of the small sample size, the difference between PCD and non-celiac controls was not significant (t test, p = 0.15).

γδ T IEL in five villous tips (new method): mean numbers of villous tip γδ T IEL/20 enterocytes were 1.1 (SD, 0.9; range, 0.0–3.0) in non-celiac controls, 2.4 (SD, 2.2; range, 0.8–6.2) in treated CD, and 1.8 (SD, 0.6; range, 1.0–2.8) in patients affected by PCD. Although the two groups widely overlapped, the difference between PCD and non-celiac controls was significant (t test, p = 0.038).

**Morphometry**

Morphometric measurements showed a mean (SD) surface to volume ratio of 15.9 (6.5) for PCD, 20.9 (6.3) for non-celiac controls, and 21.0 (6.8) for patients with treated CD. The difference between patients with PCD and controls was not significant (t test, p > 0.05), and all values but one were within our normal range (surface to volume ratio > 11.4). Therefore, we were confident that the small bowel biopsies from patients with PCD were architecturally normal.

**DISCUSSION**

PCD is a condition characterised by an architecturally normal duodenal mucosa in a patient presenting “coeliac stigmata” while on a gluten containing diet. However, in the past few years it has become evident that different coeliac stigmata have different abilities to predict evolution to a flat mucosa. We agree with Troncone et al that EMA are the most important coeliac stigmata. Antigliadin antibodies, tissue transglutaminase antibodies, or clinical response to a gluten free diet are unable to make a diagnosis of CD in a patient with an architecturally normal small bowel mucosa on a gluten containing diet.

“Most importantly, the new method is very fast, simple, and correlates with the traditional one”

A raised IEL count in an architecturally normal duodenal mucosa always suggests PCD to the pathologist. In spite of this, the pathologist’s work is hampered by the lack of consensus on both the best method of counting IELs and what should be considered a normal IEL count. The most common methods of counting IELs are also very time-consuming.
consuming. Counting IELs in the 20 uppermost enterocytes in five randomly chosen villous tips is an excellent method. Although the results of the pathologist (OL) were obviously better than those of the less experienced observer (FB), the new method can identify patients with PCD slightly better than the time consuming traditional one. Most importantly, the new method is very fast, simple, and correlates with the traditional one.

The experiment we planned was very carefully structured. Patients with PCD were selected exclusively on the basis of EMA positivity and architecturally normal duodenal mucosa. Other debatable criteria, such as positive antigliadin antibody or symptomatic remission when following a gluten free diet, were not taken into account. Slides were examined blindly. The risk of being influenced by a subjective IEL increase was further reduced by including slides from patients with well treated CD. Treated CD is characterised by a well known IEL increase despite mucosal restoration. Finally, to increase our accuracy, IELs were stained immunohistochemically. Therefore, we feel that our results do not merely confirm the work of Goldstein et al., but clearly show that this is a reliable method of counting IELs and of identifying patients affected by, not only PCD, but also other enteropathies not related to CD and characterised by intraepithelial lymphocytosis. The wide use of such a fast and straightforward method will thus increase our knowledge on the meaning of a raised IEL count within normal villi.

**Take home messages**

- The counting of intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) in the villous tips of architecturally normal small bowel biopsy specimens was a very simple and sufficiently reliable method to count IELs.
- In patients with an architecturally normal duodenal mucosa, the IEL count in villous tips helps to distinguish between patients with potential coeliac disease and non-coeliac controls.

There are several reasons for not suggesting a gluten free diet in patients with either PCD or a raised IEL count. From a therapeutic point of view, a gluten free diet has never been shown to be effective; by definition, it will be unnecessary for an unknown length of time. From a diagnostic point of view, a gluten free diet has no role at all. In fact, it has already been shown that almost 40% of patients affected by irritable bowel syndrome show a symptomatic improvement on a wheat free diet. Finally, discrete alterations of the intestinal mucosa, such as an increased IEL count and minor villous alterations, can resolve in spite of a gluten containing diet. Therefore, starting a gluten free diet to establish whether such minimal lesions are gluten sensitive is useless. We believe that patients with PCD need to be maintained on a gluten containing diet and closely followed up so that a gluten free diet can be started only after clear villous atrophy has been detected. Rather than starting a gluten free diet, which would make it almost impossible to confirm or exclude the correct diagnosis, a gluten challenge to identify a gluten dependency by exacerbating the minimal intestinal lesions is probably a more sensible way of dealing with these patients.
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