Secretory carcinoma of the male breast

Breast carcinomas in men account for < 1% of all subtypes and most belong to the infiltrating duct variety of the “not otherwise specified” type. To date, only 15 cases of the rare subtype of secretory carcinoma have been reported in men. The youngest patient was a 6 year old boy and oldest a 66 year old man. We present a case of secretory carcinoma in a 19 year old man whose tumour cells were vimentin positive and oestrogen and progesterone receptor negative.

The patient presented to a private clinic in rural Northern India with a painful, rapidly progressing lump in the right breast of two years duration. A lumpectomy was performed at a local hospital. Three months after surgery, two small lumps reappeared on the right chest wall, which were excised and sent to our hospital for histopathology. Two nodules measuring 4 x 2 x 2 cm and 2 x 2 x 2 cm were received. Cut sections were greyish yellow and densely fibrous.

Microsections showed an infiltrating tumour with tumour cells arranged in microcystic and cribriform patterns separated by scant fibroconnective stroma (fig 1). The tumour cells were round to polygonal with abundant pale to clear amphiphilic cytoplasm. The nuclei were large and central with stippled chromatin (fig 2). Pink amphiphilic secretions were seen in the lumina of microcysts (fig 2) and focally within the cells. The intracellular and extracellular secretions were periodic acid Schiff (diastase resistant) and mucicarmine positive. Immunohistochemistry for the oestrogen receptor (ER ID5; Immunotech, Marseille, France), progesterone receptor (PR 105; Immunotech), and vimentin (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) was performed. The tumour cells were universally oestrogen receptor and progesterone receptor negative, but were vimentin positive (100% positivity).

McDivitt and Stewart first described breast tumours in children with a favourable prognosis (1966) and named them juvenile carcinomas. This was later replaced by the term secretory carcinoma by Tavassoli. De Bree et al have recently reviewed secretory carcinoma in men and reported a median age of 17 years, with the tumour size ranging from 1.2 to 4 cm. They also noticed that lymph node metastases were frequent in tumours less than 2 cm, unlike secretory carcinomas in women. Hence, secretory carcinomas in men appear to be more aggressive.

The tumour in our patient was also an aggressive one because an early recurrence was seen.

Very few authors have performed immunohistochemical studies on secretory carcinomas of male breasts. These tumours are said to be epithelial membrane antigen, cytokeratin, carcinoembryonic antigen (polyclonal), S-100, and α lactalbumin positive.

Although traditionally vimentin expression has been associated with mesenchyme and mesenchymal tumours, many epithelial malignancies are vimentin positive, including those of the breast. Vimentin expression has been studied extensively in female breast tumours (predominantly in infiltrating ductal carcinomas) and is associated with biological aggressiveness. The role of vimentin positivity in male breast carcinomas needs to be explored. It is unclear whether vimentin positivity in our case is related to the aggressiveness of the tumour and larger studies are needed to investigate this possibility.
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Gastric intestinal metaplasia

We read with interest the article by Dinis-Ribeiro et al concerning a follow up model for patients with atrophic gastritis and intestinal metaplasia (IM). The model proposed is based on “a minimum of two endoscopic biopsies”, although 40% of patients had at least three biopsies, and more than 15% of patients had more than four biopsies performed. Within that setting, the authors investigated the significance of type I, II, and III IM, and concluded that those with type I IM should have a less intensive follow up protocol, whereas in those with type III IM, “a hunt for high grade neoplasia should be performed”.

In previous publications, several authors have reported that IM may be detected in biopsies taken exclusively from endoscopically abnormal areas, whereas others recommended that gastric biopsies should be harvested from pre-established mucosal sites. In this respect, the Sydney system for grading of gastritis has provided practical guidelines for optimal biopsy sampling of the gastric mucosa. Notwithstanding, using the Sydney system’s recommendations, Zimaitis and Graham found that IM was missed in more than 50% of the biopsies from “Sydney” sites in patients with confirmed gastric IM on multiple site sampling. These authors concluded that the minimum
number of biopsies needed to identify IM might probably be eight, and emphasised that current and future studies that use the Sydney system as a basis for detecting gastric IM are not likely to be reliable. Thus, it appears that sampling gastric biopsies from pre-established mucosal sites, or from endoscopically abnormal areas, may be both insufficient to calculate IM prevalence figures, and inadequate to estimate the possible risk of gastric IM in long follow-up studies. By assessing IM at low power (∼x4) examination, we found in histological sections from gastrectomy specimens that IM could be either spotty or extended (encompassing one or more entire low power microscopic field/section). When extended IM was present in >5 histological sections, IM was considered to be widespread. Thus, IM may be spotty, or extended and widely distributed. Against this background, the proposal of Dinis-Ribeiro et al. of obtaining two or three biopsies (in most of their series) as representative for the state of the gastric mucosa appears highly unsatisfactory. Moreover, several authors have been unable to corroborate the prognostic significance of incomplete IM type HI. Kato et al. found that incomplete IM usually occurs in the antrum, whereas complete IM is usually seen in the fundus. The article by Dinis-Ribeiro et al. points out that two pathologists reviewed all the slides, and that ‘agreement was achieved in 85% of the cases. In case of disagreement, a consensus was obtained . . .’. Because no mention is made regarding double blind examination with coated slides, we assume that the authors did not carry out that procedure. The possibility of intraobserver and of interobserver variations was not explored.

Dinis-Ribeiro “. . .endoscopic examination throughout the entire gastric cavity may still fail to diagnosis dysplasia and cancer”. The authors forgot to include areas with IM, particularly when chromatography of the gastric biopsies in most of the reviewed tissue sections was not mentioned in the list of ‘methods’ used in their follow up studies.

C A Rubio, R Befris
Department of Pathology and Gastroenterology, Karolinska Institute and Hospital, 171 76 Stockholm, Sweden. Carlos.Rubio@karolinka.se
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BOOK REVIEWS

Edited by R F Atkinson. Published by Saunders, 2003, £156.00, pp 856. ISBN 0 71216 0638

Those who are interested in cytology, at all levels of experience, are notoriously fond of atlases. The neophyte nourishes a belief that a set of images (and relatively) brief text will quickly lead to competence, whereas the expert hopes that there will be help with a difficult diagnostic problem or the aesthetic enjoyment of images more beautiful than any in their experience. The ‘Atlas of Diagnostic Cytopathology’ (second edition) edited by Barbara F Atkinson alludes to these disparate audiences in the preface, stating that the work is for ‘cytopathology residents, fellows, cytochemistry students, and . . . experienced cytopathologists’. The first two categories are likely to judge a book by its brevity and clarity, and the last by comparison with their favourites of the genre, such as the works of Orell, DeMay, or Lopes Cardozo.

By anyone’s criteria Atkinson’s atlas is a worthwhile addition to the diagnostic cytology armamentarium. In many respects it is excellent, although a slight unevenness, perhaps inevitable in any multiple author work will be acknowledged. Its text, authored by an impressive array of experts in the field, although laudably concise, is comprehensive in its breadth. It effectively covers basic information, such as the diagnostic approach to a smear, in addition to the more recent ancillary techniques, such as fluorescent in situ hybridisation and laser scanning cytometry. It is timely and useful, but unfortunate, that the breadth of coverage also extends to a discussion of medicolegal liability in the practice of cytology.

The images, central to the purpose of this work, are almost universally of high quality, both in terms of their initial acquisition and reproduction. The source of the images, the collections of the many authors, inevitably leads to some inconsistencies. I found the occasional image dark, light, ‘milky’, or poorly focused, and rarely found an example of a picture that did not really illustrate, in my opinion, any characteristic feature of the entity it purported to represent. But for the aficionado there is plenty of lagniappe here, from beautiful images of humble observations (such as Spinobarret in a cervical smear) to rare fine needle aspiration samples of follicular dendritic cell sarcoma and other unusual neoplasms.

This work, despite its imperfections, does span the utility gap between the beginner and the expert and will be useful in both large academic and small departments.

W R Geddie

Diagnostic Musculoskeletal Surgical Pathology

This is a superb book combining radiological and pathological features and including colour illustrations of both bone and soft tissue tumours.

Entities are discussed in a clear, cogent manner. Numerous helpful tables liberally supplement the text and serve as an aide de memoire.

All illustrations are of high quality and show the reader the essential diagnostic features. The additional feature of this book is the associated fine needle aspiration findings. Although not a practising cytopathologist, I must say that I found these to be aesthetically pleasing but would have difficulty diagnosing a myxofibrosarcoma on fine needle aspiration! Be that as it may, I am sure that aficionados of cytopathology would no doubt find the illustrations diagnostic.

All in all, there is little, if any, fault with this book. I thoroughly recommend it as a bench book for those encountering soft tissue and bone tumours. The radiological pictures are also excellent and give the reader a handle on what to expect with the various tumours. This book compares very favourably with its larger and better known competitors. As a starting point for the diagnosis of bone and/or soft tissue tumours, I think that this is an excellent book.

R Chetty

www.jclinpath.com
Handbook on Proteomic Methods
Edited by P M Conn. New Jersey: Humana Press, 2003, $135.00 (hardback), pp 610. ISBN 1 58829 3408

This book is a 510 page, hard cover issue covering proteomic methods and their applications in molecular biology, a subject that is of interest to many molecular scientists. It consists of four major sections, which include both colour and black and white illustrations. The first major section deals with the general techniques of proteomics and specific aspects of the technology. The second section concentrates on post-translational modifications, variants, and isoforms. Here, the characterisation of protein isoforms is discussed at length.

The book is written by a group of well-recognised scientists who describe a wide range of state of the art techniques used for the identification of and analysis of a variety of proteins that are expressed in the cell.

The techniques described vary from general to highly specific ones that are used for data analysis and the investigation of post-translational modifications. In addition, several methods describing how the application of proteomics can be used to identify new drugs and markers for cancer are also discussed. The methods for each protocol are described in great detail, together with potential trouble shooting approaches, which may be necessary when encountering problems.

This book is a well-written, fully referenced text on proteomic methods, which provides an excellent guide to any scientist working in the field. In addition, the book also demonstrates how proteomic methods might provide a clearer insight into complex biological systems ranging from plants to humans in the amelioration of disease. Furthermore, this technology could provide a means for better and more effective drug development.

The editor has produced a remarkable book, which is ideal for any scientist working in the proteomics field.

Quinolone Antimicrobial Agents. 3rd Ed.

In 1984, as a wet behind the ears house officer, I remember waiting with great anticipation for the new wonder drug ciprofloxacin to arrive by motorcycle courier so that it could be used for a patient with refractory febrile neutropenia. Nowadays, quinolones such as ciprofloxacin have become so widely used that it may be difficult for younger bacteriologists to imagine BBQ (bacteriology before quinolones)!

The important place that these compounds have assumed in the antimicrobial pharmacopoeia is reflected by the fact that this is the third edition of this text in 10 years.

The book is divided into four sections, covering mechanisms and spectrum of antibacterial activity and resistance; pharmacology; clinical applications; and adverse and other effects. For a USA produced book, it is refreshing to see a truly international line up of contributors. Inevitably, for a work that is so broad in its outlook, it is unlikely that every chapter, particularly in the first two sections, will be consulted with equal frequency—for example, the chapter on structure–activity relations of the quinolones is unlikely to whip the jobbing clinical bacteriologist into an uncontrolled state of frenzied excitement. However, this is not to say that all of the non-clinical chapters will go unread by those with a more clinical orientation—the chapters on mechanisms of action and resistance make interesting reading. The clinical applications section accounts for 16 of the book’s 30 chapters and includes chapters on the use of quinolones in the management of intra-abdominal infections and infections caused by intracellular pathogens, and the use of these drugs in intensive care unit infections and, perhaps of most interest, paediatrics; none of these areas appeared in the second edition of the book. Quinolone resistance is revisited again in this section, but there is little overlap with earlier chapters. Donald Low’s excellent chapter on the clinical relevance of quinolone resistance is one of the highlights of the book, and the chapter on the impact of veterinary use of these drugs on resistance in bacterial isolates from human infection makes sobering reading, and emphasises the fact that there is still much to be done in limiting the use of these drugs in the agricultural sector.

The infamous list of quinolones withdrawn before or after reaching the market place because of serious toxicity must be the cause of many a sleepless night for some of those employed by the pharmaceutical industry, and the final section of the book considers these toxicities in detail.

Several chapters throughout the book stray a little from their remit—for example, the one which deals with QT prolongation by quinolones includes a rather too detailed discussion of electrophysiology, and the chapter on the treatment of intracellular infection focuses much of its attention on the use of other drugs, such as tetracyclines and macrolides, in this setting. I would also have liked to have seen more discussion concerning the accumulating evidence linking quinolones with the emergence of meticillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Nevertheless, these are relatively minor criticisms, and the book would make a valuable addition to the departmental library of any clinical microbiology laboratory.

K G Kerr

CORRECTIONS

Mouse models of childhood cancer of the nervous system. M A Dyer. J Clin Pathol 2004;57:561–76. The author apologise for the ambiguous sentence on page 573. The sentence: “Tumours form when Rb, p107, and p53 are inactivated, but whether p107, p53, or both genes must be inactivated for retinoblastoma to form in the mouse retina is unknown.” should have read: “Tumours form when Rb, p107, and p53 are inactivated, or when Rb and p107 are inactivated. However, it is not known whether p107, p53, or both genes must be inactivated for metastatic retinoblastoma to form in the mouse retina because all possible genetic combinations were not characterised.”
