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This first best practice review examines four series of common primary care questions in laboratory medicine, namely: (i) measurement and monitoring of cholesterol and of liver and muscle enzymes in patients in the context of lipid lowering drugs, (ii) diagnosis and monitoring of vitamin B12/folate deficiency, (iii) investigation and monitoring of paraprotein bands in blood, and (iv) management of Helicobacter pylori infection. The review is presented in a question–answer format, referenced for each question series. The recommendations represent a précis of guidance found using a standardised literature search of national and international guidance notes, consensus statements, health policy documents, and evidence based medicine reviews, supplemented by MEDLINE EMBASE searches to identify relevant primary research documents. They are not standards but form a guide to be set in the clinical context. Most are consensus rather than evidence based. They will be updated periodically to take account of new information.

This is the first in a planned series of reviews to answer a variety of questions that arise in primary care use of pathology. The methodology for obtaining the questions, searching the literature, and writing the answers has been described in this journal.

Each subject is introduced with a brief summary of the type of information found and is handled separately.

Although the individual subjects are not related because they cover the disciplines of clinical biochemistry, microbiology, immunology, haematology, and cellular pathology, they are designed once completed to form a resource that will be indexed, and cover a wide range of the most common primary care laboratory issues, to be made available to users.

Where the new General Medical Services (GMS) UK contracts make specific reference to a laboratory test, the indicator or target is appended at the end of the answer.

CORONARY PREVENTION (WSAS AND DIF)
Lipid/cholesterol management

Lipid guidelines are changing on a regular basis and the results of this search are current as of May 2005. However, the guidance may change. Most of the guidelines are derived from consensus documents. Although published separately, these documents are not fully independent of one another, and there are frequent cross references between documents. Overall agreement is in general close and the minor differences—for example in testing intervals found—are unlikely to have significant relevance to clinical outcome.

However, following the heart protection study results, the decision to make simvastatin available without prescription and the anticipated publication of new joint British societies guidance this year, the summaries below should be seen as the guidance position as of spring 2005, and of all the sets of guidance to be examined is the one that will require updating probably within one year. Target or optimum cholesterol reduction values are not addressed in these questions because of recent changes discussed below. Figure 1 provides an overview of the guidance.

How often should patients’ lipids be tested after starting lipid lowering treatment?

We recommend that after starting lipid lowering drug treatment, lipids should be checked:

- Eight (±4) weeks after starting drug treatment.
- Eight (±4) weekly thereafter until on target.

There is close consensus in national and international guidance that patients should have lipid parameters measured after starting statin treatment until a target is reached. The recommended measurement intervals all range between four and 12 weeks after starting drug treatment. The term treatment usually refers specifically to statins in these documents, although we consider that it is reasonable to extrapolate this to other drugs used in lipid lowering.

The review interval after starting drug treatment will depend on practice resources and logistics, but it is unlikely that differences within this 12 week period will influence long term outcome.

The heart protection study, published after the above guidelines, examined a large number of patients with minimal laboratory follow up. However, this was a selected population and it cannot be assumed that tolerability and compliance would be identical in an unselected population. We would expect future guideline...
How often should cholesterol/lipids be tested once a patient has reached target/optimal cholesterol?

We recommend that once a patient has reached a defined target for lipids, that lipid tests be performed annually (unless specific reason for more frequent reviews).

There is close consensus in national and international guidelines2–4 that patients should continue to be monitored once they have reached a target lipid value on lipid lowering treatment. The recommended monitoring interval is most frequently one year.3–5 7 9 10

Some guidelines outside of the UK recommend more regular measurements, at intervals of six months6 or four to six months7 once a patient has reached target.
The heart protection study,6 published after the above guidelines, examined a large number of patients with limited laboratory follow up. Some have therefore questioned the need for laboratory follow up. However, this was a selected population and it cannot be assumed that tolerability and compliance would be identical in an unselected population. We would expect future guideline revisions to consider this option, although it cannot at present be recommended on the basis of a consensus guideline or statement.

GMS contract indicator: percentage of patients with cholesterol result available within 15 months in secondary prevention and diabetes.

How often should cholesterol/lipids be tested when assessing a patient’s coronary risk?

We recommend that the decision to start lipid lowering drug treatment should be based on:

- At least two measurements taken one to 12 weeks apart. This is to take account of biological and laboratory variability.

When monitoring risk in patients not receiving lipid lowering drug treatment we recommend:

- In secondary prevention, patients with cholesterol above the treatment start threshold should be tested annually.
- In higher risk primary prevention, patients who have not yet reached the risk threshold for drug treatment should be tested one to two yearly.
- In low risk primary prevention, patients should be tested five yearly.

There is close consensus in both national and international guidance that the decision to start lipid lowering treatment should not be based on just one measurement, but two,3 4 6 or three.2 10

A recent analysis of the imprecision of coronary risk assessment has suggested that in fact three measurements are needed to produce a precise estimate of risk.11

Although methods used to assess coronary risk in the guidelines vary, all adopt a common approach based on testing at five yearly intervals in the lowest risk groups,3 5 10 12 although in Singapore it is every three to five years.6 This rises to annually3 4 10 or one to two yearly5 9 12 in secondary prevention and medium risk primary prevention patients who have not yet reached the threshold for lipid lowering drug treatment.

To use current risk assessment tools, lipid assessments before treatment require total and high density lipoprotein cholesterol (and therefore also potentially at least a calculated density lipoprotein) and triglycerides. Regardless of the risk assessment method used, all of the available guidance recommends these tests.

GMS contract indicator: percentage of patients with cholesterol result available within 15 months in secondary prevention and diabetes.

Liver enzyme management

How often should liver enzymes be routinely measured in patients taking statins?

We recommend a baseline check before starting treatment with a statin, eight weeks after starting a statin or after any dose increase, then if liver function tests (LFTs) are stable, annual checks thereafter.

There is broad consensus that alanine aminotransferase and/or aspartate aminotransferase should be checked before starting a statin, and then rechecked one to three months after starting treatment.2 4 10 12 There is less agreement on how often LFTs should be measured subsequently. Some authorities recommend only annual checks,13 whereas others recommend checks every eight to 12 weeks during the first year of treatment, followed by annual checks thereafter.14 The Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network recommends a single check after starting and none thereafter if LFTs are normal. Many of the guidelines we reviewed advised or based their recommendations on the monitoring requirements outlined by the manufacturers of the different statins.

Data from the largest statin study to date, the heart protection study, are reassuring.6 Over 20 000 people were allocated to treatment with a statin or placebo and monitored over five years. The incidence of raised liver enzymes was extremely low, with no excess in the statin treated group. In particular, there was no significant excess in the number of people who had treatment stopped in the statin compared with the placebo group because of raised liver enzymes (0.5% v 0.3%).

A systematic review of 48 statin trials is similarly reassuring.15 There were no cases of liver failure in the trials. Raised liver enzymes occurred in 1.3% of individuals receiving a statin compared with 1.1% of those receiving placebo.

On the basis of this, it has been argued that routine monitoring of LFTs is unnecessary in people without pre-existing liver disease. This is not in keeping with current monitoring requirements outlined in the product licences, and it is difficult to know how reliably safety data from carefully monitored clinical trials can be generalised to people commonly treated in primary care. However, postmarketing surveillance data are reassuring, suggesting one case of liver failure for each 10 million prescriptions or about one for every million person years of use.16

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) has recently highlighted the requirement for further data on the need for biochemical monitoring of statins for adverse effects.17

GMS contract indicator: none.

What if LFTs become raised in a patient taking a statin?

We recommend that if transaminases become raised in a patient taking a statin:

- If less than three times the upper limit of normal (ULN), continue the statin but recheck LFTs within four to six weeks to exclude further rises in transaminases. If values are stable, no extra monitoring is required.
- If more than or equal to three times greater than the ULN, consider two options (depending on the concentration of transaminase):
  - Stop the statin and recheck LFTs within four to six weeks to ensure that values settle. Cautious reintroduction of a statin could be considered at a later date.
  - Reduce the dosage of statin and recheck LFTs within four to six weeks. If transaminases continue to be greater than three times the ULN, stop the statin. If transaminases are lower than three times the ULN, a cautious dosage increase could be considered at a later date.

Raised hepatic transaminases occur in 0.5–2.0% of people taking statins. It is uncertain whether increases in
transaminases represent true hepatotoxicity. Transaminase values often settle with dosage reduction, and often do not rise again with further increase in dosage or use of another statin.11

Most of the guidelines we reviewed recommend stopping statins if transaminases rise to more than three times the ULN. Some suggest that dosage reduction with close monitoring may be an option, but do not provide specific instructions regarding frequency of monitoring. The drug manufacturers generally recommend that if transaminase values become raised, these should be rechecked promptly, and if still raised, monitored closely. If concentrations continue to rise, particularly to above three times the ULN, the manufacturers advise reducing the dose or stopping the drug. Again, no specific advice is given on how frequently monitoring should take place. Our view is that a reasonable interval is four to six weeks.

A recent BMJ editorial discussing the criteria that should apply to monitoring drug treatments highlights the difficulty in making clear recommendations regarding monitoring of liver function tests in patients on statins.16

GMS contract indicator: none.

**Creatine kinase management**

How often should creatine kinase (CK) be measured in patients taking statins?

We recommend a baseline check before starting treatment with a statin if the baseline CK value is greater than five times the ULN, do not start a statin. If a person has no identifiable risk factors for myopathy:

- Routine monitoring of CK is not necessary if taking a statin.

If the person has identifiable risk factors for myopathy (see below):

- Consider carefully the risk/benefit of treatment with a statin.
- If a statin is thought to be necessary then monitoring of CK is advisable. As a minimum, we recommend a CK check within eight weeks of starting a statin and after any dosage increase.
- If a person develops muscle pain, weakness, or cramps while taking a statin:
  - Check the CK value as soon as possible.

Myopathy is a rare but potentially serious adverse effect of statin treatment. The incidence of severe myopathy is reported to be 0.08%, and most of these individuals have recognisable risk factors for myopathy.11 The CSM (2002)14 highlights the following main risk factors:

- Underlying muscle disorders, renal impairment, hypo-thyroidism, or alcohol abuse.
- Concomitant use of other lipid lowering drugs (fibrates and nicotinic acid).
- Concomitant use of cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitors, including cyclosporin, macrolide antibiotics (such as erythromycin and clarithromycin), azole antifungal (for example, itraconazole and ketoconazole), and protease inhibitors (such as nelfinavir and indinavir).

Data from the largest statin study to date, the Heart Protection Study, are reassuring.8 Over 20 000 people were allocated to treatment with a statin or placebo and monitored over five years. Reported muscular pain was no more common in the statin group than in the placebo group, and there was no difference in the number of treatment discontinuations as a result of muscle symptoms (0.5% in both groups). However, people were excluded from this trial if they were thought to be at risk of myopathy.

A systematic review of 48 statin trials is also reassuring.16 In over 35 000 people, with a total of 158 000 person years of treatment between them, rhabdomyolysis occurred in eight treated and five placebo patients (none with serious illness or death). A serum CK value greater than 10 times the ULN occurred in 55 treated (0.17%) and 43 placebo (0.13%) patients.

Postmarketing surveillance data suggest one death from rhabdomyolysis for each 10 million prescriptions, or about one for each million person years of use.

Most guidelines suggest that routine laboratory monitoring of CK is of little value in the absence of clinical symptoms or signs, but that a baseline measurement is helpful to identify asymptomatic CK increases and thereby avoid subsequent confusion.2 11 Therefore, all people receiving statins should be instructed to report muscle discomfort or weakness or brown urine immediately, which should prompt a CK measurement11 21 and reassessment of the benefit/risk ratio of treatment.

One subgroup of patients who merit specific attention are those of Afro-Caribbean descent, in whom raised CK values of 1000 IU/litre may be seen as a normal variant. No clear guidance exists for the management of this group. The opinion of Heart UK (personal communication, A Wierzbicki, 2005) is that this should be treated using a modified threshold to stop treatment of five times the pretreatment value, assuming the patient has no muscle symptoms.

GMS contract indicator: none.

What if CK becomes raised in a patient taking a statin?

We recommend the following if CK becomes raised in a patient taking a statin.

If more than five times the ULN:

- Stop treatment immediately.

If less than five times the ULN:

- If no muscle symptoms, these patients can usually be treated with a statin. Patients should be alerted to report symptoms carefully and consider further checks of CK to ensure that values are not rising.
- If there are muscle symptoms, follow the patient’s symptoms and check CK concentrations regularly (for example, fortnightly) if CK continues to rise. If muscle symptoms are severe or CK values continue to rise, we recommend stopping statin treatment or seeking consultant advice.

Apart from high risk patients (described above) the benefits of statin treatment where indicated are considered to far outweigh the risks.11

The CSM15 recommends not starting a statin if the baseline CK is greater than five times the ULN, and stopping the statin if the CK rises to greater than five times the ULN. If the CK returns to normal after stopping the statin, the CSM suggests that the statin may be introduced cautiously at the lowest doses with close monitoring. Management to follow in cases of smaller rises is less clear. Symptoms alone may require the statin to be stopped. If symptoms are not severe and the CK has risen, regular (for example, fortnightly) measurement of CK to assess the rate of rise would appear prudent. If a patient is symptom free or has mild symptoms and the CK stabilised at a concentration of less than 1000 IU/litre it is...
often possible to continue the statin, although more regular checks of the CK would appear prudent, even in an asymptomatic patient. There is little guidance on the intervals for checking in such cases, and a period of six monthly is suggested pragmatically.

GMS contract indicator: none.

**VITAMIN B12 AND FOLATE (MJG AND DAB)**

There is limited information from large scale consensus statements or large clinical trials. The guidance below is derived from a small number of reviews supplemented by extrapolations from knowledge of the physiology of vitamin B12 and folate. These answers do not address the further investigation of the cause of vitamin B12 or folate deficiency.

**In which patients should vitamin B12 and folate values be measured?**

The following are generally agreed indications for the measurement of vitamin B12 and folate concentrations:

- **Macrocytic anaemia.**

- **Macrocytosis** (particularly in patients with a mean red cell volume (MCV) above 110 fl).

- **Patients with specific neuropsychiatric abnormalities (vitamin B12 only if no macrocytosis or anaemia).**

Note that coexisting conditions such as iron deficiency or thalassaemia trait may mask the development or presence of macrocytosis.

**Macrocytic anaemia**

Macrocytosis in itself may or may not be present with anaemia but those patients who have macrocytosis with anaemia should have vitamin B12 and folate measurements performed.20 21

**Macrocytosis**

The level of macrocytosis can predict the probability of vitamin B12 and folate deficiency being present. As the MCV increases above 100 fl, so the probability of vitamin B12 and folate deficiency increases. This is particularly true of patients with an MCV above 130 fl, which is more likely to be related to other causes of macrocytosis, such as alcohol abuse, liver disease, anti-neoplastic drugs, human immuno-deficiency virus infection, and also haematological disorders, such as myelodysplastic syndromes or haemolytic conditions.20 22

Blood film comments help to point to a diagnosis; hypersegmented neutrophils and macro-ovalocytes are associated with vitamin B12 and folate deficiency, a uniform macrocytosis with alcohol abuse, target cells with liver disease, and polychromasia with haemolysis.

**Neuropsychiatric abnormalities**

Several neuropsychiatric abnormalities have been described in association with vitamin B12 deficiency (including paraesthesia, ataxia, peripheral neuropathy, and memory loss). These may occur in the absence of either anaemia or macrocytosis. Objective signs associated with vitamin B12 deficiency include impaired vibration, touch, pain, and position sense, together with an abnormal gait.23

**Severe oral ulceration**

Several cases of B12/folate/iron deficiency present with severe oropharyngeal ulceration without changes in the blood count24 25 and respond to vitamin B12 treatment.26

**Coexisting conditions**

Coexisting conditions such as iron deficiency or thalassaemia trait may mask the development of macrocytosis and, therefore, in patients who have anaemia without a raised MCV, dual conditions should be considered as a possible diagnosis, and vitamin B12 and folate should be measured if the origin of the anaemia cannot be established by initial tests.20

GMS contract indicator: none

**How should vitamin B12 or folate deficiency be monitored in patients who have or are receiving replacement?**

**We recommend:**

- Initially, a full blood count after 10–14 days to document the response and after eight weeks to confirm a normal blood count.

- **Longterm:**
  - folate—not necessary unless the cause persists
  - vitamin B12—may not be necessary in replaced patients, although annual checks recommended by some.

**Monitoring initial treatment**

Vitamin B12 and folate deficiency: full blood count measurement at 10–14 days to document a rise in the haemoglobin and a fall in MCV and a further check after eight weeks to check that the blood count has returned to normal.22 No further monitoring is required once a full haematological response is achieved.

**Monitoring longterm treatment**

There is very little published guidance on the monitoring of vitamin B12 or folate deficiency.

**Longterm folate replacement is considered unnecessary in most cases**27; the deficiency responds to short term treatment unless the cause (for example, malnutrition) persists.

Patients with pernicious anaemia receiving vitamin B12 replacement should by definition not become vitamin B12 deficient and further monitoring would seem unnecessary in most instances, although practices vary and some haematologists would recommend annual full blood counts. There is no obvious merit in repeating vitamin B12 and folate measurements during replacement unless lack of compliance (specifically folate) is suspected or anaemia recurs.

GMS contract indicator: none

**IMMUNOGLOBULINS AND ELECTROPHORESIS/ PARAPROTEIN BANDS (GAS AND WSAS)**

Much of the guidance is taken from the Consensus Conference on Monoclonal Gammapathies, 1998.28 Most of the literature on monoclonal gammapathies examines laboratory methods of diagnosis rather than diagnosis and monitoring guidance. However, this conference produced explicit guidance, although stressed that this is a suggested approach.29 It must be noted that the concentrations referred to related to the absolute concentration of a monoclonal band. Laboratories that report electrophoresis would be expected to distinguish clearly between a monoclonal (potentially myeloma related) and a polyclonal increase in
globulins. This subject is not addressed in the GMS contract. Figure 2 provides an overview of the guidance.

What are the uses of serum immunoglobulins and electrophoresis?

Serum immunoglobulins should be measured and electrophoresis performed:

- As part of the primary screen for suspected plasma cell dyscrasias (myeloma, lymphoma, chronic lymphatic leukaemia, heavy chain disease, amyloid).
- As part of the diagnostic investigation of suspected primary and secondary immunodeficiency; that is, patients with recurrent documented infections.

They are of secondary value in the investigation of:

- Liver disease.
- Connective tissue disease.
- Sarcoidosis.

- Chronic infection.

**Plasma cell dyscrasias and lymphoproliferative disorders**

Electrophoresis followed, where positive, by immunofixation is the most sensitive routine method for the detection of monoclonal proteins. All requests for immunoglobulins should undergo electrophoresis, to exclude possible artefacts caused, in particular, by monoclonal proteins.

Serum immunoglobulins and electrophoresis, and urine electrophoresis, should be requested in all patients in whom a plasma cell dyscrasia or lymphoproliferative disorder is suspected. Immunoglobulins alone must not be used to screen for a paraprotein. Urine electrophoresis should be requested in parallel on an early morning void urine sample or 24 hour collection and quantitated if present. Standard urine dipsticks will not reliably detect urinary free light chains.
**Immunodeficiency**

Serum immunoglobulins and electrophoresis, and urine electrophoresis, should be requested in all patients in whom immunodeficiency is suspected. Immunodeficiency should be suspected in those who have unusual infections (opportunistic infections), unusual sites of infection (such as the liver, lung, brain abscesses, osteomyelitis), more than two episodes of severe bacterial infection in one year (requiring intravenous antibiotics), one episode of severe infection and recurrent (more than two) minor infections (requiring oral antibiotics). Absent immunoglobulins in a child suggests a congenital antibody deficiency. Normal serum immunoglobulins and electrophoresis do not exclude immunodeficiency, and discussion with an immunologist is appropriate in the clinical scenarios described above if initial investigations are normal. Low immunoglobulins without a paraprotein are also a feature of non-secretory myeloma.

**Secondary uses**

Serum immunoglobulins and electrophoresis are of secondary value in the investigation of liver disease: raised IgG in autoimmune hepatitis, raised IgA in cirrhosis (especially as a result of alcohol abuse), and raised IgM caused by primary autoimmune hepatitis, raised IgA in cirrhosis (especially as a result of alcohol abuse), and raised IgM caused by primary autoimmune hepatitis, or raised IgG in chronic hepatitis. These abnormalities are not specific and serum immunoglobulins and electrophoresis should not be used as a primary diagnostic test. Abnormal immunoglobulins may also be seen in sarcoidosis, all connective tissue diseases, chronic bacterial, viral, and fungal infections, and in the immunocompromised,6 16 but are unlikely to be of benefit in disease monitoring.

Monoclonal bands may be identified in all these conditions, usually on a background of increased polyclonal immunoglobulins. If caused by infection, the bands will disappear after effective treatment. Such bands do not indicate myeloma.

**Sample type**

It is essential that serum, not plasma, samples are sent for electrophoresis. Plasma samples will give rise to bands in the γ region because of the presence of fibrinogen. Other causes of abnormal bands include raised C reactive protein β lipoprotein (pregnancy), transferrin (iron deficiency), and haemolysis (haemoglobin–haptoglobin complexes).6 30 GMS contract indicator: none.

**What follow up is required once a patient has been found to have a paraprotein band?**

**We recommend:**

- Initially, three to six month electrophoresis for IgG, IgA, or IgM bands of less than 15 g/litre without signs or symptoms, and annual electrophoresis thereafter for stable IgG, IgA, or IgM bands of less than 15 g/litre, where there are no accompanying indicators for a plasma cell dyscrasia.

- Referral to a hematologist for IgA or IgG bands > 15 g/litre or IgG, IgA, or IgM bands < 15 g/litre with accompanying indicators for a plasma cell dyscrasia and other immunoglobulin bands (IgE/D: rare).

Relevant accompanying tests after the initial identification of a monoclonal band include: full blood count, calcium, and renal function.

Monoclonal gammopathy of unknown significance (MGUS) or “benign paraproteinemia” is not uncommon. It has an approximately 60 times greater incidence than myeloma (1% over age of 50, rising to 3% over 70 years6). Approximately 1.5% of people with MGUS develop myeloma, amyloid, or Waldenstrom’s disease, annually, although most die of other diseases.33

The paraprotein peak is usually less than 25 g/litre, although quoted thresholds vary: the UK Myeloma Forum describes MGUS as being characterised by IgA concentrations below 10 g/litre and 20 g/litre for IgG.37 Therefore, there is no absolute recommended threshold, although monitoring an existing band as described will detect rises in concentration and therefore appropriateness for referral. Concentrations in myeloma vary, and the clinical decision as to whether or not treatment is required is based on other indicators of disease activity. The primary care threshold recommended is based on referral rather than diagnostic criteria.

Bence Jones proteins (free light chains) in the urine are usually absent or present only at low concentrations (< 50 mg/litre) and no other features of myeloma are present. Twenty five per cent of patients with MGUS have a paraprotein associated with suppression of the other immunoglobulin classes.31

Annual serum protein electrophoresis and quantitation by densitometry without need for further immunofixation is recommended for patients with no features of plasma cell dyscrasia (for example, anaemia, bone fracture or pain located in bone, suppression of other immunoglobulin classes, and renal impairment) and a band of < 15 g/litre.29 30

Patients with bands of between 15 and 25 g/litre are recommended to have additional investigations, including urine electrophoresis.30 Depending on local practices, many of these patients will be referred to a haematologist when the band is discovered.

Patients with bands of more than 25 g/litre are more likely to have myeloma, require more detailed initial assessment, including skeletal studies,31 and would normally be referred to a haematologist.

GMS contract indicator: none.

**HELIcobacter pylori [CAMM, MAG, AND JR]**

These questions and answers make recommendations about when and how primary care should test for Helicobacter pylori. This guidance is based on evidence discussed in detail in other national dyspepsia guidelines available—NICE, the Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network, and the Health Protection Agency—therefore, referencing is limited because much of the information can be found in their publications or at their websites.35 41 This subject is not addressed in the GMS contract.

**Who should I test for H pylori?**

**We recommend testing:**

- Patients with a past history of gastric ulcer (GU) or duodenal ulcer (DU) who have not previously been tested.

- Patients with uncomplicated, uninvestigated dyspepsia that is not responsive to lifestyle changes, antacids, H2 antagonists, or proton pump inhibitors (PPIs).42–46

- Patients using non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs with diagnosed or previous GU.44

Eradication of H pylori in patients with DU and GU cures the ulcer and prevents recurrence.44 47

In patients using non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, H pylori eradication reduces the risk of the first occurrence of a GU and reduces recurrence of a GU.44 47

Symptoms will improve naturally in 36% of patients with uninvestigated dyspepsia, 7% will improve as a result of
eradication treatment, and in 57% substantial symptoms will remain over a three to 12 month period.46

Which non-invasive helicobacter test should I use?

We recommend the urea breath test or stool antigen test.

The most accurate test (95% specificity and sensitivity) is the urea breath test, which detects current infection.46 This is available on prescription (FP10 BNF section 1.3.1). It requires the patient to swallow a capsule and requires a breath sample at 0 and 20 minutes. This will need to be supervised by a trained individual. The 2003 cost of the breath test was £19.00, including nurse time.

The stool antigen test also detects current infection and is very accurate, but there have been fewer studies on its accuracy than for the breath test.48 49 (Current information indicates a specificity and sensitivity of 92–96% and 93–97%, respectively, depending on the kit manufacturer.) It is a laboratory based test. The patient provides a pea sized piece of stool in the usual laboratory stool pot. No practice nurse time is needed. Specimens should be refrigerated after collection. The 2003 cost of the stool test, including nurse time, is £11.00.

The patient must not take proton PPIs or antibiotics for at least two weeks (ideally four) before, or H2 antagonists for 24 hours before, these tests because these drugs suppress the organism and can lead to false negative results.50–52

Laboratory based and near patient blood tests are not as accurate53 54 (sensitivity and specificity of 88%–92%). They should only be used if no other tests are available. This test detects antibody to helicobacter. A positive serology result can mean that:55

- The patient is infected at the time of the test.
- The patient had an infection earlier that has resolved, either spontaneously or after specific treatment.
- The test is detecting non-specific, crossreacting antibodies.

What do I do if I find that my patient has helicobacter?

We recommend that the bacterium is eradicated using triple treatment with a PPI or ranitidine bismuth citrate plus two antibiotics. The importance of compliance with treatment should be stressed.

Analysis of randomised controlled trials by NICE has shown that the most cost effective regimen is PPI once daily plus metronidazole 400 mg twice daily and clarithromycin 250 mg twice daily.56

Other combinations of acid reducing agent (PPI or ranitidine bismuth citrate) in combination with two antibiotics may be used as shown in table 1.

However, because amoxicillin is inactivated at acid pH, PPI twice daily must be used with this drug, and a higher dose of clarithromycin is needed in other combinations.18

Do I retest for helicobacter after treatment?

No, as long as compliance with treatment is good this is unnecessary in most patients with dyspepsia. Only retest in:

- Patients who have DU and are still symptomatic.
- Patients with maltaoma (very rare and should be under the care of a specialist).

About 40% of patients will be asymptomatic after treatment.56

In the 60% with ongoing symptoms of dyspepsia, do not retest unless symptoms suggest that you do. Treat as functional dyspepsia and prescribe PPI or H2 antagonists. The addition of further tests and second line eradication greatly increases costs and there are no reliable data to model further reductions either in risk of infection or dyspepsia symptoms.56

Which test should I use if I need to retest?

We recommend the urea breath test or stool antigen test. PPIs and antibiotics should be stopped for at least two, or preferably four, weeks.56–58

The urea breath test is the most accurate test after treatment59 56; monoclonal stool antigen tests may also be used.60

Serology should not be used after treatment because it may detect persisting antibodies.61 62

When should I refer patients for endoscopy to diagnose helicobacter?

We recommend that patients who have complied with two courses of eradication treatment and are still helicobacter positive or patients who are allergic to penicillin and have received clarithromycin and/or metronidazole for other infections should be referred for endoscopy.

Resistance is more common if patients have had courses of clarithromycin or metronidazole for helicobacter or other infections.63 When patients are hypersensitive to the recommended antibiotics this reduces the treatment options. In these more complicated scenarios, clinicians should contact their local microbiologists and discuss the possibility of antibiotic susceptibility testing via the helicobacter reference laboratory at the Health Protection Agency.
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Table 1 Antibiotics for use in eradicating helicobacter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>1 g BD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytetracycline</td>
<td>500 mg QDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>500 mg BD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>400 mg BD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BD, twice daily; QDS, four times a day.
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