CASE REPORT

An unusual case of hyponatraemia in diabetic ketoacidosis

P J Twomey, J Cordle, D R Pledger, Y Miao

This report outlines a case of diabetic ketoacidosis associated hyponatraemia in an 18 year old woman with type 1 diabetes who presented to the accident and emergency department and was quickly admitted to the intensive treatment unit. Causes of hyponatraemia include sodium depletion, pseudohyponatraemia, and extracellular hypertonicity. Hypertonicity secondary to hyperglycaemia is thought to be the major cause of hyponatraemia in diabetic ketoacidosis. Indirect and direct sodium measurements were performed until the glucose concentration stabilised. The large difference between the presenting sodium concentrations is consistent with pseudohyponatraemia. However, the causes of pseudohyponatraemia (large increases in total protein, triglyceride, and cholesterol concentrations) were excluded. Analytical error should always be considered when the laboratory results do not agree with the clinical picture. Sometimes, however, even after excluding all known effects, the cause may remain unexplained, as in this case.

Causes of hyponatraemia include sodium depletion, pseudohyponatraemia, and extracellular hypertonicity. Hypertonicity secondary to hyperglycaemia is believed to be the major cause of hyponatraemia in diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA). We outline a case of DKA associated hyponatraemia in an 18 year old patient with type 1 diabetes who presented to the accident and emergency department and was quickly admitted to the intensive treatment unit. Indirect (Olympus AU600) and direct (AVL 9180 Electrolyte Analyser, calibrated to give the same result as one would expect from indirect methods) sodium measurements were performed until the glucose concentration stabilised. The initial indirect sodium was confirmed by two further analyses and the direct sodium confirmed by a further single analysis; the internal quality control values before and immediately after these analyses were within acceptable limits and all analyses performed satisfactorily at this time on proficiency testing. Thus, the large difference between the presenting sodium concentrations is consistent with pseudohyponatraemia (table 1).

Pseudohyponatraemia occurs with decreases in the electrolyte containing aqueous phase of serum/plasma. Ion specific electrodes (ISEs) only measure activity in this phase. Accordingly, when a direct ISE gives an activity of 140 mmol/litre in a specimen where triglycerides make up 20% of the total volume, an indirect method that has a dilution of one part specimen to nine parts water would dilute the non-aqueous phase to 2% of the total volume. Thus, the aqueous phase makes up 98% of the diluted sample, giving an activity of $c_{11.43}$ mmol/litre ($((140 \times 0.8)/(10 \times 0.98))$, which is multiplied up to 114.3 mmol/litre, thus creating a 26 mmol/litre difference between the two methods. Causes of pseudohyponatraemia include large increases in total protein and triglyceride concentrations and rarely hypercholesterolaemia when lipoprotein X is formed as a result of primary biliary cirrhosis. Because hypertriglyceridaemia and hyperproteinaemia are not rare in clinical practice, sodium methods that do not contain a dilution step are preferable. However, many routine analysers still use indirect methods, because they require smaller sample volumes.

Potential pseudohyponatraemia attributable to serum triglyceride and total protein was calculated using Waugh’s empirical equation assuming that normal serum/plasma water is 93%. A positive value implies that sodium measured by the indirect ISE should be lower than the direct ISE by the given amount, and vice versa for negative values. The contribution of extracellular glucose to hyponatraemia has also been empirically modelled and more recently measured using an indirect methodology. Using these equations, we calculated the predicted stable serum sodium concentration (table 1).

“It is important to elucidate the cause(s) of hyponatraemia to ensure the appropriate interpretation of results and that the appropriate therapeutic action is taken”

Our case has two unusual features. First, the pseudohyponatraemia is not explained by the triglyceride and total protein concentrations and disappeared when the glucose returned to the euglycaemic range. Second, the older Katz equation proved to be the most accurate when the indirect method was used to estimate the euglycaemic sodium concentration. This raises several questions: (1) does DKA have an addition effect on either sodium metabolism or sodium measurement in addition to the effect of hyperglycaemia alone as recently assessed by Hillier? There are theoretical analytical reasons to believe that low bicarbonate concentrations and acidosis may result in a relatively lower indirect sodium than expected when compared with a direct measurement. (2) It would be expected that the Katz equation would work best with the direct sodium because this equation was empirically modelled. (3) Are these equations valid using modern technology—the Hillier paper used the non-routine flame photometry methodology. (4) The calibration of direct ISEs may only be valid in “normal” sera. (5) Such population based equations may not perform satisfactorily in each individual situation.

Ideally, physicians should know the sodium method used by their laboratory. However, it is unlikely that they will always know or appreciate associated issues, so that it may sometimes be necessary for the chemical pathologist to facilitate optimal patient care, especially when significant hypertriglyceridaemia or hyperproteinaemia exists. It is important to elucidate the cause(s) of hyponatraemia to ensure the appropriate interpretation of results and that the appropriate therapeutic action is taken. Analytical error should always be considered when the laboratory results do not agree with the clinical picture.

Abbreviations: DKA, diabetic ketoacidosis; ISE, ion specific electrode
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after excluding all known effects, the cause may remain unexplained. In addition, this case raises the question of the validity of derived equations using modern technology and we suggest that further research is carried out to answer this question in patients with DKA and hyperosmolar non-ketotic hyperglycaemia.
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Table 1 Analyte concentrations at presentation and after stabilisation of the glucose concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Presenting concentrations (0 hours)</th>
<th>Intermediate concentrations (3 hours)</th>
<th>Glycaemic stable concentrations (17 hours)</th>
<th>Katz predicted glycaemic stable concentration (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Hillier predicted glycaemic stable concentration (mmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>47.7 mmol/l</td>
<td>7.8 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>147</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct sodium</td>
<td>135 mmol/l</td>
<td>137 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>139</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect sodium</td>
<td>127 mmol/l</td>
<td>139 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6 mmol/l</td>
<td>3.7 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct potassium</td>
<td>6.9 mmol/l</td>
<td>4.5 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6 mmol/l</td>
<td>3.7 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect potassium</td>
<td>6.8 mmol/l</td>
<td>4.4 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6 mmol/l</td>
<td>3.7 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein</td>
<td>98 g/l</td>
<td>62 g/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>53 g/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>2.08 mmol/l</td>
<td>1.97 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.13 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>5.32 mmol/l</td>
<td>3.63 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.53 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmolality</td>
<td>336 mOsmol/l</td>
<td>314 mOsmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>285 mOsmol/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual sodium gap</td>
<td>8 mmol/l</td>
<td>3 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>–2 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudohyponatraemia effect</td>
<td>2 mmol/l</td>
<td>–2 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>–3 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted difference</td>
<td>6 mmol/l</td>
<td>5 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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