The expression of EMP1 is downregulated in oral squamous cell carcinoma and possibly associated with tumour metastasis

Jun Zhang,1,2 Wei Cao,1,2 Qin Xu,1,2 Wan-tao Chen1,2

ABSTRACT

Aims To investigate the expression of EMP1 in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) tissues and its correlation with available clinical parameters of patients with OSCC.

Methods The mRNA levels of EMP1 were measured in 18 paired OSCC and corresponding adjacent normal tissues using RT-PCR. Another 45 pairs of OSCC samples were selected to detect the mRNA level of EMP1 using quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). The protein levels of EMP1 were also evaluated in 60 cases of patients with OSCC using immunohistochemical staining. The correlation between EMP1 expression and clinical parameters was analysed with non-parametric analysis.

Results The results of RT-PCR and qRT-PCR showed that, compared with the paired normal tissues, the mRNA levels of EMP1 were significantly decreased in OSCC. The immunohistochemical results indicated that the EMP1 protein was also downregulated in OSCC (p=0.031). Decreased expression of EMP1 was significantly correlated with clinical stage (p=0.002) and lymph node metastasis (p=0.044) of patients with OSCC. Meanwhile, there was a significant difference between OSCCs at early and advanced stages (p=0.003), and between OSCCs with lymph node metastasis and no lymph node metastasis (p=0.045), respectively.

Conclusions The results suggest that EMP1 may be a tumour suppressor and associated with lymph node metastasis in OSCC.

INTRODUCTION

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is the sixth most common malignancy worldwide.1 In China, the age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates (ASR) (per 100 000) were 1.2% and 0.8%, respectively, in the male and female populations in 2005.2 Over 90% of HNSCC are oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC), which is undertreated and understudied.3

The initial events that occur in carcinogenesis are molecular changes, which could be useful if detected before the onset of symptoms and morphological changes.4 High-throughput microarray technology allows tissues to be interrogated simultaneously, thus generating a gene-expression profile for any given cancer. More importantly, it may be an efficient way to uncover the clues to the specific molecular derangements that contribute to cancer pathogenesis, and the recognition of pathognomonic alterations in gene expression may provide a basis for improved diagnosis and molecular classification of cancers.5 6

Our previous study has shown that the mRNA level of EMP1 gene decreases significantly in HNSCC samples tested by cDNA microarray.7 EMP1, also called CL-20,8 TMP,9 B4B10 and PAP,11 was first described by Taylor et al in 1995 and is found in the gastrointestinal tract, skin, lung and brain but not in liver.12 Jain et al also reported that EMP1 is expressed in the tissues of the gastrointestinal tract, oesophagus, larynx, lip, oral mucosa, pharyngeal mucosa, colonic epithelium and tongue,13 and is mapped to chromosome 12p12.14 As a marker, EMP1 was employed to distinguish invasive ductal and lobular carcinomas in breast cancer15 and has been identified as a surface biomarker whose expression correlated with gefitinib clinical resistance.13 Gnirke found that there was a correlation between EMP1 expression and metastatic properties of several human mammmary carcinoma cell lines.16 However, the function of EMP1 in OSCC is not clear currently. For the first time, the expression of EMP1 gene in OSCC tissues and its correlation with clinical parameters were investigated in this study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissue acquisition

Samples from patients with OSCC undergoing definitive treatment were obtained from January to April in 2009 in the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Ninth People’s Hospital Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine. The samples for each patient included OSCC tissue and normal tissue. Normal tissues were collected from oral epithelia at the surgical margin from the patients’ oral cavity after tumour resection. The samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen at the time of resection and stored at −80°C until use. All patients selected in this study provided informed consent in advance.

RNA preparation and RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from all OSCC and normal samples using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen; Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, Maryland) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Five hundred nanograms of total RNA was reverse-transcribed using Takara Reverse Transcriptase Reagents (TakaRa) and following the manufacturer’s protocol. Primers for EMP1 and β-actin17 as a reference for normalisation were as follows: EMP1 sense 5′-ATTGGCAAATGTCGGTGGTTTT, anti-sense 5′-AGAACCGCATGATGAAGCT; β-actin
sense 5'-ATCGTCCACCGCAAATGCTTCTA, antisense 5'-AGCCATGCAAATCTCATCCTTGT. EMP1 and β-actin products are 380 bp and 118 bp, respectively. Products were amplified by PCR using one denaturing cycle of 5 min at 95°C, then 95°C for 30 s, 58.8°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s for 5 cycles and one final extension of 8 min at 72°C.

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR
Quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed with Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System TP800 (TakaRa) according to the standard protocol of SYBR Premix ExTaq perfect real time system (TakaRa). Primers for EMP1 were as follows: sense 5'-CCCTCCTGGTCCTCCTGTT, antisense 5'-GGAAATAAGCCTGCTGGTATA. Primers for β-actin were the same as those for RT-PCR. Thermal cycling conditions were 95°C for 1 min, 95°C for 15 s and 40 cycles at 60°C for 1 min.

Expression of EMP1 in OSCC samples and adjacent normal samples was quantified by measuring the fractional cycle number at which the amount of expression reached a fixed threshold (Ct) and directly related to the amount of product. The housekeeping gene, β-actin, was used as an internal control to quantify the products of EMP1. The relative quantification was given by the Ct values, determined for triplicate reactions for OSCC and adjacent normal samples for EMP1 and for β-actin. Triplicate Ct values were averaged and the β-actin Ct subtracted to obtain ΔCt (ΔCt = Ct (target gene in OSCC/ adjacent normal sample) − Ct (β-actin gene in OSCC/ adjacent normal sample)). Ct values were calculated for each OSCC and adjacent normal sample. Relative expression level was determined as 2−ΔΔCt, where ΔΔCt = ΔCt (OSCC sample) − ΔCt (adjacent normal sample). 2−ΔΔCt indicates the fold change in OSCC samples relative to adjacent normal samples.

Immunohistochemistry and scoring of protein staining
Specimens were reviewed by an independent pathologist to confirm the OSCC diagnosis. Sixty representative tissue paraffin blocks were chosen and cut into 5 μm thin sections for immunohistochemistry (IHC). For EMP1 staining, the paraffin-embedded sections were incubated for 50 min at 68°C, deparaffinised in xylenes three times for 10 min each. Hydrating sections were processed gradually through graded alcohols: washed in 100% ethanol once for 5 s, then 95% ethanol twice for 5 s each, washed in distilled water for 1 min with stirring to aspirate excess liquid from sections. Thereafter, sections were blocked for 10 min with H2O2. Then, they were washed three times for 5 min each in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Polyclonal mouse anti-human EMP1 (Abnova, Taiwan) was applied to the sections at dilution of 1:200, incubated overnight at 4°C. On the second day, the sections were placed for 1 h at room temperature and the secondary antibody was applied to the samples after washing again three times for 5 min each with PBS. The bound primary antibody was visualised by incubating the samples for 5 s in diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB; Sigma, St Louis, Missouri) solution at 1:80 dilution. The sections were rinsed in distilled water for 5 min, counterstained with Harris haematoxylin, dehydrated, cleared and mounted.

Normal epithelial and cancer cells with an obvious membranous and/or cytoplasmic staining were defined as positive immunostaining. The scores of percentage of positive immunostaining (P) were 0 (<10%), 1 (10–25%), 2 (26–50%), 3 (51–75%) and 4 (76–100%), and the scores for intensity of staining (I) were determined as 0, negative; 1, light yellow colour (weak staining); 2, brown colour (moderate staining); and 3, dark brown colour (strong staining). The total scores (S) were designated as P×I for each section. The immunostaining scores were analysed by two experienced pathologists blinded to the treatment group.

Acquisition of clinical information
Clinical information for 60 patients not including survival rate because of the time of treatment was retrieved from the medical records, and all identifier data were terminally coded to maintain patient anonymity. The disease stage was defined as stage I–IV, based on the status of primary sizes, lymph nodes and metastases.

Statistical analysis
The SPSS 17.0 software package was used for statistical analysis. The correlation was assessed using the Spearman correlation analysis. Comparisons between the relative expression value of EMP1 or the intensity of its expression in OSCC and adjacent epithelia were analysed using the Wilcoxon non-parametric test for two related samples. Comparisons of the intensity of EMP1 expression in OSCC, between genders, anatomical locations, lymph node metastasis, clinical stages and histological grades, respectively, were analysed with Mann–Whitney’s non-parametric test for two or K independent samples. A p value of <0.05 is considered to be significantly different.

RESULTS
RT-PCR and quantitative RT-PCR analysis for expression of EMP1 in OSCC and adjacent normal tissues
RT-PCR was performed in 18 pairs of OSCC and the corresponding adjacent normal tissues, to confirm the EMP1 expression in mRNA level. It was found that the EMP1 expression decreased significantly in OSCC tissues (figure 1). To further quantify and confirm the above findings, another 45 pairs of OSCC samples were selected to test EMP1 mRNA by qRT-PCR. The EMP1 mRNA level ratio was calculated by the relative expression value of each OSCC tissues divided by the relative expression value of each adjacent normal tissues. Using the cut-off value of 0.5, the expression ratio was less than 0.5 in 84.4% (38/45) of patients (figure 2A). For the mRNA levels of EMP1, there was a significant difference between OSCC and adjacent normal tissues (p=0.000) (figure 2B). The results further confirmed that expression of EMP1 was significantly decreased in OSCC.

Figure 1 RT-PCR results of EMP1 in 18 pairs of samples. The EMP1 mRNA was decreased in most oral squamous cell carcinoma tissues compared with the corresponding adjacent normal tissues.
Clinical data and immunohistochemical staining results

Table 1 summarises the parameters of 60 patients with OSCC. The median age of the patients at the time of diagnosis was 61.7 (range 32–88) years. Moderate to strong staining was observed in 90% (27/30) of tumours with early stage and 71.4% (30/42) of tumours with no lymph node metastasis, respectively. In contrast, weak to moderate staining was observed in 50% (15/30) of tumours with advanced stage and 55.6% (10/18) of tumours with lymph node metastasis, respectively. The correlations between lymph node metastasis, clinical stage and the EMP1 expression were indicated by a Spearman correlative analysis (table 2, p=0.044 and p=0.002, respectively). EMP1 expression was not correlated with gender, age, histological differentiation or primary sites.

To further validate EMP1 expression in protein level, we compared EMP1 in samples at early and advanced stages with or without lymph node metastasis via a Mann–Whitney non-parametric test (table 3, p=0.003 and p=0.045, respectively). The results are shown in figure 3.

Among these 60 sections, 39 cases had OSCC tissues and corresponding adjacent normal epithelia simultaneously in the same section, and the distance between the normal epithelia and OSCC tissues was more than 5 mm (figure 3A). We scored the EMP1 expression in two locations stated above. The average percentage of EMP1 positive immunostaining was 78% in normal epithelia but 67% in OSCC tissues. The results suggested that the average total scores of the expression levels of EMP1 in OSCC and in the adjacent normal epithelia were significantly different (table 3, p=0.051) using the Wilcoxon non-parametric test, which further supported the previous findings obtained from the mRNA data.

DISCUSSION

At present, the effective treatment for OSCC is extensive surgical resection, along with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy before or after surgery. In the past 30 years, the long-term survival rate for OSCC has not been improved, and only 60% of patients with OSCC survived for 5 years.19 Some novel biomarkers which reflect the physiological state and the change of cells during a disease process4 should be identified for designing individual therapy and predicting the prognosis for the patients with cancer. Therefore, ideal biomarkers can offer early and more accurate prediction and diagnosis for the patients with OSCC, particularly for an early-stage OSCC. In view of the potential use in the clinical practice for cancer biomarkers, a major focus in the cancer research is the identification of them in recent years.4 20

We have previously identified some different expressed genes in OSCC tissues compared with normal tissues using microarray.7 EMPⅠiso one of the dysregulated genes, which has already been identified using a similar method in other studies, and its function might include controlling cell–cell interaction, cellular proliferation or regulating cellular differentiation.5 21–23 Over-expression of EMPⅠcould inhibit proliferation of human oesophageal carcinoma cell line EC9706 transfected with the gene, among which the S phase was arrested and the G1 phase was prolonged.24 In this study, the decreased expression of EMPⅠwas qualitatively and quantitatively detected in mRNA level, in OSCC tissues compared with adjacent normal tissues.

Table 1  Summary of demographic and clinical parameters (n=60)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean±SD)</td>
<td>61.7±13.2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol and tobacco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatomical locations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongue</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheek</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingiva</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor of mouth</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base of tongue</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early stage (I/II)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced stage (III/IV)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Correlation between clinical parameters of patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma and EMPⅠexpression levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical characteristics</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol and tobacco</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatomical location</td>
<td>−0.043</td>
<td>0.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td>−0.261</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage</td>
<td>−0.384</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological grade</td>
<td>−0.072</td>
<td>0.586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05.
suggesting that EMP1 may be an OSCC suppressor in its initiation and development. Marvin et al. observed that EMP1 mRNA and protein were induced during squamous differentiation of rabbit tracheal epithelial cells in vitro, and that EMP1 mRNA was most abundant in squamous epithelia as demonstrated by a Northern blot analysis and in situ hybridisation. It was also revealed that the protein expression for EMP1 was different in OSCC compared with adjacent epithelial cells by immunohistochemistry in this study, which indicated that the EMP1 expression at the protein level seems to be stronger in normal epithelial cells than in cancerous cells.

In addition, from an analysis of the gene-expression profile of oesophageal cancer cells after being transfected with EMP1 using the cDNA microarray, it was found that genes related to cell adhesion were upregulated, suggesting that EMP1 may play a main role in the process of SCC cells invasion and metastasis. In clinical practice, the choice of treatment modality for an individual patient needs to be carefully considered. Meanwhile, it is remarkable that attention must focus on choosing the most effective treatment while aiming to preserve organs and their function, and manage associated comorbid conditions. The traditional treatment planning and prognosis of OSCC are mainly based on TNM classification, but the TNM system is subjective and lacks predictive power for early OSCC, and provides little information regarding the aggressiveness of OSCC, prognosis and treatment response. It is widely accepted that a novel biomarker-based diagnosis assay can provide new approaches for early detection of cancer. The intensity of expression of EMP1, in this study, is correlated with lymph node metastasis and clinical stage, indicating that EMP1 may be involved in OSCC metastasis and its expression, which seems to provide some valuable information for choice of treatment or judgement of prognosis for clinicians combined with TNM classification.

It has been reported that EMP1 is downregulated in head and neck cancer, including oral cancer. However, reports about the function of EMP1 in OSCC are quite limited. To investigate whether EMP1 plays an important role in OSCC tumorigenesis, a validation of its expression levels in OSCC compared with adjacent normal tissues and analysis of the correlation between its expression and clinical parameters were performed. This study has, for the first time, detected EMP1 expression in both mRNA and protein levels in OSCC by comparing OSCC with adjacent normal tissues and revealed the correlation between its expression levels and patients’ clinical parameters.

In conclusion, the results suggest that EMP1 is downregulated and works as a tumour suppressor in OSCC. A further prospective study is needed to determine the value of EMP1 as a candidate biomarker and also to evaluate the usefulness as a survival factor for OSCC. Furthermore, experiments to determine the effect of the expression of EMP1 on cellular biological behaviour in vitro are necessary to understand the function of EMP1 in OSCC. The findings of our study provide the preconditions for a further study of the mechanism related to carcinogenesis in OSCC.

| Table 3 | Statistical analysis for the mean total scores of the expression levels of EMP1 |
|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Mean total scores | p Value | n |
| Adjacent normal tissue | 8.26 ± 3.00 | 0.031 | 39 |
| Tumour tissue | 7.21 ± 3.02 | | |
| Early stage | 8.62 ± 2.50 | 0.003 | 60 |
| Advanced stage | 6.57 ± 3.01 | | |
| Without lymph node metastasis | 8.05 ± 3.06 | 0.045 | 60 |
| With lymph node metastasis | 6.56 ± 2.38 | | |

Figure 3 Representative sections in different samples. (A) Immunohistochemistrical staining of EMP1 in a section with corresponding adjacent normal epithelia and oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) tissues (magnification ×100). A, staining in the adjacent normal epithelia (magnification of the above box); A’, staining in the OSCC (magnification of the above box). (B, C) EMP1 protein staining in OSCC with different stages (magnification ×200). (B) OSCC with early stage (T2N0M0); (C) OSCC with advanced stage (T4N0M0). (D, E) EMP1 protein staining in OSCC without (D) or with (E) lymph node metastasis (magnification ×200).
Take-home messages

- The mRNA expression levels of EMP1 were detected by RT-PCR using 18 pairs of OSCC samples and by qRT-PCR using another 45 pairs of samples.
- The protein levels of EMP1 were evaluated by immunohistochemistry in 60 cases of samples, and its correlation with clinical parameters of patients with OSCC was analysed.
- The EMP1 expression at mRNA level was significantly decreased in OSCC tissues compared with corresponding adjacent normal tissues.
- Decreased expression of EMP1 protein was correlated with clinical stage and lymph node metastasis of patients with OSCC.
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