Estimation of calcium and magnesium in serum and urine by atomic absorption spectrophotometry

CHRISTIAN G. THIN AND PATRICIA A. THOMSON

From the Metabolic Unit, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh

SYNOPSIS A method has been described for the estimation of calcium and magnesium in serum and urine using atomic absorption spectrophotometry. The precision and accuracy of the techniques have been determined and were found to be acceptable.

The range of values for calcium and magnesium in the sera of normal adults was found to be: serum calcium (corrected to a specific gravity of 1.026) 8.38–10.08 mg. per 100 ml.; serum magnesium 1.83–2.43 mg. per 100 ml.

A wide variety of methods has been used for the estimation of calcium and magnesium in biological fluids. Among the more recent, the technique employing the principle of atomic absorption spectroscopy appears to offer a relatively specific method, and one in which interference from other factors can be reduced to a minimum.

The apparatus employed in this laboratory is a Techtron atomic absorption spectrophotometer model A.A.3, manufactured by Techtron Pty. Ltd., Melbourne, Australia¹. The instrument was used according to the maker's instruction manual.

The reliability of the instrument in estimating calcium and magnesium in serum and urine has been assessed. The precision and accuracy of this method was determined as described by Brown, Bulbrook, and Greenwood (1957).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Typical instrument settings are shown in Table I. All solutions for atomization were prepared with glass-distilled or de-ionized water; all estimations were done in duplicate.

CALCIUM Calcium was estimated in serum and urine, using a modification of the method of Willis (1960, 1961).

For the estimation in serum, 0.2 ml. serum was diluted to 2.5 ml. in a solution containing 2,500 mg. strontium (7.7 g. strontium chloride $\text{SrCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) per litre and 40 g. trichloroacetic acid per litre, in a centrifuge tube. After standing for 10 minutes the tube was centrifuged and the supernatant aspirated directly, without further manipulation. Standard solutions containing 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 mg. calcium per 100 ml., prepared from calcium carbonate Specpure², were similarly diluted.

¹British Agents—V. A. Howe and Co. Ltd.

Received for publication 28 September 1966.

TABLE I
TYPICAL INSTRUMENT SETTINGS FOR ESTIMATION
OF CALCIUM AND MAGNESIUM

		Calcium	Magnesium
Lamp	Warm-up current (mA)	15	10
	Regulated current (mA)	10	6
Monochromator	Slit width (microns)	40	60
	Wavelength (Å)	4227	2852
Gas pressure	Acetylene (settings on flow scale)	5	4
	Air (lb./in. ²)	20	20
Flame characteristics obtained by adjusting acetylene flow		Slightly luminous with ragged inner core	Blue, with clear blue inner core

Urine was diluted 1 in 50 in a solution containing 10,000 mg. strontium per litre (30.8 g. strontium chloride $\text{SrCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$). At the same time, eight standards were prepared, containing 1 to 8 mg. calcium per litre in a solution containing 10,000 mg. strontium per litre and a blank solution containing 10,000 mg. strontium per litre only.

MAGNESIUM Magnesium was estimated by the method of Dawson and Heaton (1961), with a slight modification.

Serum (0.2 ml) was diluted to 10 ml. in 0.1 N hydrochloric acid. Standards were similarly prepared from solutions containing 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, and 3.0 mg. magnesium per 100 ml. (magnesium crystals spectrographically standardized²).

Urine was diluted 1 in 100 in 0.1 N hydrochloric acid. Eight standards containing from 0.1 to 0.8 mg. magnesium per litre in 0.1 N hydrochloric acid and a blank solution containing 0.1 N hydrochloric acid were also prepared.

²Obtainable from Johnson, Matthey and Co. Ltd.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

PRECISION OF THE METHOD The precision of the techniques was obtained by the method of Snedecor (1952) in which an estimate (s) of the standard deviation is calculated from the difference between the two results of duplicate determinations in a series of assays.

$$\text{Estimate of S.D.} = s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum d^2}{2N}}$$

d = difference between duplicate estimations

N = number of duplicates.

The values of s obtained are shown in Table II.

TABLE II

ESTIMATES OF PRECISION EXPRESSED AS THE ESTIMATES OF (S) OF RESULTS OF DUPLICATE DETERMINATIONS

	Number of Duplicates	Range Covered (mg./100 ml.)	s
Serum calcium	61	8.00-11.90	0.12
Serum magnesium	46	1.86- 2.70	0.02
Urinary calcium	68	4.20-38.50	0.29
Urinary magnesium	53	3.00-17.30	0.09

$$s^2 = \pm \frac{(\text{difference between duplicate determinations})^2}{2 \times \text{number of duplicates}}$$

The precision of a technique can also be assessed by calculating the standard deviation of a number of analyses performed on one sample. Using this method, Willis (1960) found a mean and standard deviation of 10.04 ± 0.10 mg. calcium per 100 ml. in serum and 7.11 ± 0.08 mg. calcium per 100 ml. in urine (Willis, 1961). Sunderman Jr. and Carroll (1965), using a Jarrell-Ash spectrophotometer, obtained standard deviations of ± 0.11 and ± 0.03 for calcium and magnesium, respectively, in serum.

The method described in this paper yields results that compare favourably with those of other workers.

ACCURACY OF THE METHOD The accuracy of the method was estimated by recovery experiments. Known amounts of calcium or magnesium were added to serum and urine at the time of dilution, before analysis, and the results compared with those from a similar sample (the endogenous control) not containing the added calcium or magnesium. The results obtained are shown in Table III.

These results compare favourably with those obtained by other workers. Willis (1961) recovered from urine 99.1% of added calcium and 100.7% of added magnesium. Sunderman Jr. and Carroll (1965) added to serum 4 mg. calcium per 100 ml. and 0.8 mg. magnesium per 100 ml. and recovered $100 \pm 3.3\%$ and $102 \pm 4.3\%$, respectively.

TABLE III

RECOVERY OF CALCIUM AND MAGNESIUM FROM SERUM AND URINE¹

	Amount Added (mg./100 ml.)	Number of Determinations	Recovery
Calcium	Serum	10	45
		12	21
	Urine	5	29
		10	24
Magnesium	Serum	2	19
		2.5	19
	Urine	2	27
		4	28

¹Results are shown as the mean percentage recovery $\pm$ the coefficient of variation and are corrected for the endogenous blank values.

SERUM CALCIUM AND MAGNESIUM LEVELS IN NORMAL SUBJECTS Samples of serum were obtained from 138 normal adults, 85 male and 52 female, aged between 18 and 63 years, who were attending blood transfusion service clinics as donors. The concentrations of calcium and magnesium were determined as previously described. The specific gravity of the samples was determined by the copper sulphate method (Phillips, Van Slyke, Hamilton, Dole, Emerson Jr., and Archibald, 1950).

Dent (1962) recommended that all results of plasma calcium estimations be corrected to a specific gravity of 1.027. In this study all estimations, including specific gravity, were performed on serum. The mean value obtained for serum specific gravity was 1.026. We have compared the specific gravity of 85 samples of serum and plasma obtained from patients at a single venipuncture. The mean value obtained for the specific gravity of serum was 1.02533 (range 1.022-1.028 S.D. ± 0.0019) and the mean for the specific gravity of plasma was 1.02659 (range 1.023-1.030 S.D. ± 0.0021). The difference of 0.00126 was highly significant ($P < 0.001$).

As several of the samples of plasma were slightly haemolysed, we compared 38 pairs of serum and plasma specimens, where both the serum and the plasma were known to be free of haemolysis. The mean value obtained for the specific gravity of the serum was 1.02587 (range 1.022-1.027, S.D. ± 0.0019) and the mean for the specific gravity of the plasma 1.02685 (range 1.024-1.028, S.D. ± 0.0014). The difference between the means of 0.00098 was highly significant ($P < 0.001$). As a result of this investigation, we now correct all estimations of calcium in serum to a specific gravity of 1.026.

The range of values of serum calcium and magnesium found in this series of normal adults is shown in Table IV, together with the calculated ranges within 95% confidence limits. As the concentrations

TABLE IV

THE DISTRIBUTION, ACTUAL RANGE, AND CALCULATED RANGE (WITHIN 95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS) OF SERUM CALCIUM AND MAGNESIUM CONCENTRATIONS¹

	Number of Samples	Actual Range	Median	Mean	Calculated Range (P < 0.05)
Calcium					
Uncorrected 137		8.00-10.25	9.13	9.21	8.37-10.05
Corrected to 137		8.15-10.25	9.20	9.23	8.38-10.08
specific gravity of 1026					
Magnesium 138		1.70-2.65	2.18	2.13	1.83-2.43

¹Results are expressed in mg./100 ml.

TABLE V

THE DISTRIBUTION OF SERUM CALCIUM AND MAGNESIUM CONCENTRATIONS WITH RESPECT TO SEX AND AGE¹

Sex	Age (years)	Number	Calcium Range (Mean value ± 2 × S.D.)	Magnesium Range (Mean value ± 2 × S.D.)
Male	18-62	85	9.26 ± 0.88	2.16 ± 0.15
	18-40	46	9.11 ± 0.96	2.14 ± 0.14
	40-62	22	9.20 ± 0.92	2.18 ± 0.13
Female	18-63	52	9.18 ± 0.82	2.09 ± 0.15
	18-40	26	9.27 ± 0.86	2.05 ± 0.13
	40-63	18	9.13 ± 0.74	2.09 ± 0.18

¹Results are expressed in mg./100 ml.

of some serum constituents are affected by sex and age, the results were analysed further in Table V. The calculated ranges were compared statistically by the t test. There was found to be no significant difference between the sexes, or between the age groups at the P = 0.05 level.

The authors wish to thank Professor J. A. Strong for his constant encouragement. We also thank Dr. R. A. Cumming, of the Blood Transfusion Service, for his cooperation and Mr. J. H. Filshie, of the Poultry Research Unit, and V. A. Howe and Co. Ltd, for their help and advice in setting up the Techtron atomic absorption spectrophotometer.

REFERENCES

- Brown, J. B., Bulbrook, R. D., and Greenwood, F. C. (1957). *J. Endocr.*, **16**, 41.
 Dawson, J. B., and Heaton, F. W. (1961). *Biochem. J.*, **80**, 99.
 Dent, C. E. (1962). *Brit. med. J.*, **2**, 1419.
 Phillips, R. A., Van Slyke, D. D., Hamilton, P. B., Dole, V. P., Emerson, K., Jr., and Archibald, R. M. (1950). *J. biol. Chem.*, **183**, 305.
 Snedecor, G. W. (1952). *Biometrics*, **8**, 85.
 Sunderman, F. W., Jr., and Carroll, J. E. (1965). *Amer. J. clin. Path.*, **43**, 302.
 Willis, J. B. (1960). *Spectrochim. Acta*, **16**, 259.
 — (1961). *Anal. Chem.*, **33**, 556.