Detection of *Bacteroides fragilis* and *Bacteroides melaninogenicus* by direct immunofluorescence

M LABBÉ, N DELAMARE, F PEPERSACK, F CROKAERT, AND E YOURASSOWSKY

From the Hôpital Universitaire Brugmann, Service de Biologie Clinique, Brussels, Belgium

SUMMARY A new diagnostic kit, which contains a polyvalent antiserum for either *Bacteroides fragilis* or *Bacteroides melaninogenicus*, was tested for reliability and specificity on 146 clinical samples of different origin. A correlation between the culture and immunofluorescence was observed for *B. fragilis* in 87.39% of cases and for *B. melaninogenicus* in 81.48% of cases. When pure cultures were tested, aerobically as well as anaerobically, false-positive reactions were observed with staphylococci and *Clostridium ramosum* spores. The well-defined morphology of these bacteria and spores allows for the elimination of any diagnostic error. The method is rapid, and the margin for error is limited. The test gives a semiquantitative idea of the number of bacteroides organisms present in the clinical specimens even in the presence of a mixed flora.

Bacteroides from the *fragilis* and *melaninogenicus* groups are the anaerobic bacteria often isolated most frequently from various wounds.¹⁻⁴

These organisms are not easily isolated from culture material. This is due to various factors such as poor technique for obtaining the specimens, poor transport, or incorrect culture methods. In addition, it is possible to have facultative aerobic organisms inhibiting the growth of anaerobic ones. These factors tend to reduce the diagnostic accuracy of a clinical evaluation based solely on an anaerobic culture.¹ The severity of clinical infections caused by *B. fragilis* and their resistance to penicillins, cephalosporins, and aminoglycosides make an early and accurate diagnosis necessary. Immunofluorescence has been studied by various investigators in order to obtain a rapid diagnosis.⁵⁻⁸

Our study compared two techniques for detecting these anaerobic bacteria, that is, the traditional culture method and two new kits, Fluoretec F and M*. The kits contained fluorescein-labelled polyvalent antisera to either the *B. fragilis* or *Bacteroides melaninogenicus* groups. In addition, there was a rhodamine counterstain, which markedly decreased the background fluorescence from protein A-con-

taining material. The kits and culture methods were used to evaluate laboratory stock cultures and fresh clinical material such as pus and other wound exudates.

Material and methods

SAMPLES

Sixty laboratory stock cultures were tested with the Fluoretec kits. These stock cultures were obtained from various clinical sources, identified by the method of Holdeman *et al.*⁹ and stored in liquid nitrogen. Three reference strains were also tested (Table 1).

One hundred and nineteen clinical specimens were examined by immunofluorescence and the usual anaerobic bacteriological methods. These specimens were from various sources: deep ulcers (47), peritoneal fluid (15), abdominal and other wounds (22), blister fluid (13), abdominal abscesses (5), blood cultures (6), and exudates from various sources (11). The modes of transportation used were swabs (70) (Culturette-Marion Scientific Corp), syringes (32) (Plastipak BD), blood culture bottles (61) (Evac Brucella Broth, Pfizer), and sterile tubes (11). All of the specimens were examined with the *B. fragilis* antiserum. Twenty-seven specimens gave a red fluorescence when examined under ultraviolet light. These specimens were then tested with the *B. melaninogenicus* antiserum.

*Registered trademark, Pfizer Diagnostics.

Table 1 Immunofluorescence of different microbial strains with specific antiserum for *Bacteroides fragilis* and *Bacteroides melaninogenicus*

Strains tested	No.	Immunofluorescence	
		B. fragilis	B. melaninogenicus
Aerobes			
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>	1	—	—
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	9	+(+)	+
<i>Salmonella enteritidis</i>	2	+	—
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	3	—	—
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	3	—	—
<i>Erwinia herbicola</i>	2	—	—
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	1	—	—
<i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	2	—	—
<i>Providencia stuartii</i>	1	—	—
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	3	+++	+
<i>Staphylococcus albus</i>	3	++(+)	—
<i>Corynebacterium</i> sp.	2	—	—
<i>Streptococcus faecalis</i>	1	+	+
Anaerobes			
<i>C. ramosum</i> spores	1	+++	+
<i>Bacteroides putridinis</i>	1	—	+
<i>Bacteroides bivius</i>	1	++	++++(+)
<i>Bacteroides melaninogenicus</i>	3	—	+++++
<i>Bacteroides oralis</i>	2	—	—
<i>Bacteroides ureolyticus</i>	1	—	—
<i>Bacteroides ochraceus</i>	1	—	—
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> NTCC 9343		+++++	+
<i>Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron</i>	1	+++++	+
<i>Bacteroides distasonis</i> ATCC 8503		+++++	+
<i>Bacteroides vulgatus</i> ATCC 8482		+++++	—
<i>Bacteroides uniformis</i>	1	+++++	—
<i>Bacteroides ovatus</i>	1	+++	+
<i>Peptococcus</i> sp.	3	—	—
<i>Peptostreptococcus</i> sp.	3	+	—
<i>Fusobacterium varium</i>	1	—	—
<i>Bacteroides splanchnicus</i>	1	—	—
<i>Fusobacterium</i> sp.	1	—	—
<i>Eubacterium</i> sp.	1	+	—
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	3	—	—
<i>Clostridium ramosum</i>	1	—	—

CULTURE

The aerobic cultures were performed on horse blood agar (BHIA) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The culture for anaerobic bacteria was performed on Columbia agar (BBL) enriched with 10% horse blood, 5 µg/ml haemin (BDH), and 10 µg/ml vitamin K₁ (Merck). The agar plates were incubated in GasPak jars for five days under anaerobic conditions. The subculture of anaerobic bacteria is performed by dividing the agar plate into four and streaking each type of colony on one-quarter of the plate. One colony is streaked on one-quarter of an agar plate for aerobic incubation and on one-quarter of a plate for anaerobic incubation. The anaerobic bacteria that were isolated after 24 hours' incubation were replated into deep agar so that their tolerance to air could be studied as well as the type of medium for their identification according to the method of Holdeman *et al.*⁹

IMMUNOFLUORESCENCE

The slides were examined with a Leitz Dialux microscope equipped with a blue filter KP 500. The light source was an Osram lamp Hbo 50 W AC with mercury vapour. The slides were read with a ×100 magnification (lens 100/1.20.W Fluorezenz Leitz Wetzler).

The results were graded on a scale from zero to + + + +, according to the fluorescent intensity of the bacterial membrane. If only a slight fluorescence was present, which covered the entire bacterial cell without a strong peripheral component, the reaction was considered to be negative. The positive reactions were those with a fluorescence of + + + to + + + +.

PREPARATION OF CLINICAL MATERIAL

The test material is first diluted to an opacity of 2 or 3 U on the McFarland scale with physiological water. It is then spread evenly on a glass slide. The slide is air-dried and gently heat-fixed.

A drop of rhodamine pre-stain is placed on the slide, which is then incubated in a moist, dark chamber at room temperature for 15 minutes. The slide is blotted dry with filter paper. Conjugate for either *B. fragilis* or *B. melaninogenicus* is placed on the slide, which is returned for an additional 15 to 30 minutes' incubation. The slide is first rinsed with a buffer solution at pH 7.2 (NaCl 8.5 g, Na₂HPO₄ 2.8 g, NaH₂PO₄ 0.3 g, H₂O 100 ml) and then rinsed with distilled water. The slide is allowed to air-dry. A small drop of buffered glycerol (pH 7.2) and then a coverslip are added to the smears.

Results

SPECIFICITY OF FLUORESCENT ANTISERA

The *B. fragilis* and *B. melaninogenicus* antisera exhibited a true specificity for the bacteria of their respective groups (Table 1). The antisera did not react with other bacteria, whether they were aerobic or anaerobic. However, the *fragilis* antiserum gave a positive fluorescence with *Clostridium ramosum* spores as well as *Staphylococcus aureus* and *albus*. The *melaninogenicus* antiserum gave a positive fluorescence with *Bacteroides bivius*.

CULTURE RESULTS COMPARED TO IMMUNOFLUORESCENCE

Purulent material was examined and cultured from 119 specimens. Thirty-seven specimens were positive for anaerobic bacteria, many with several species of bacteria. Twenty-three percent of 67 anaerobic strains were *B. fragilis* and 15% were *B. melaninogenicus*.

In the *B. fragilis* group there were two instances (1.68%) where the immunofluorescence was negative

Table 2 Comparison of results obtained in clinical specimens with immunofluorescence and classic anaerobic culture

Culture	<i>B. fragilis</i> (119 specimens)		<i>B. melaninogenicus</i> (27 specimens)	
	Immunofluorescence		Immunofluorescence	
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative
Positive	20	2	9	0
Negative	13	84	5	13

and the culture was positive. Thirteen specimens (10.92%) gave a positive immunofluorescence but were negative on culture. Twenty specimens were culture positive, immunofluorescent positive, and 84 specimens were negative for both culture and immunofluorescence (Table 2). There was a correlation between culture and immunofluorescence for 104 clinical samples (87.39%).

In the *B. melaninogenicus* group, agreement between the culture and immunofluorescence was observed in 22 of the 27 specimens (81.48%). No specimen showed disagreement between immunofluorescence and the culture.

Discussion and conclusions

The first part of the study, which included only strains from our collection, demonstrated the specificity of the *B. fragilis* (group) and *B. melaninogenicus* (group) antisera for anaerobic and aerobic bacteria. The spores of *Cl. ramosum* and *Staph. albus* and *aureus* gave a positive fluorescence with *B. fragilis* antiserum. The morphology of these bacteria is sufficiently well defined for mistakes to be avoided when these microorganisms are examined under the microscope.

B. bivius gave a positive fluorescence with the *B. melaninogenicus* antiserum. *B. bivius* and *Bacteroides disiens*, with the exception of some black pigmentation of their colonies, have numerous characteristics in common with *B. melaninogenicus*, for example, biochemical, red fluorescence of the colonies with UV light, and an identical GC percentage of the DNA.¹⁰ It can be questioned to what extent these two bacterial strains do not belong to the group *B. melaninogenicus*. We were unable to test pure cultures of *B. disiens* with the *melaninogenicus* antiserum. If this hypothesis is proven, the antigenic relationship between *B. bivius* and *B. disiens* and the group *melaninogenicus* could be established.

Anaerobic bacterial culture, even if all precautions are taken during transport and with the culture medium, has been known to fail.

In our study, fluorescence showed the presence of *B. fragilis* in 33 samples, while culture was positive

in 20 of these cases. *B. melaninogenicus* was found similarly in five samples out of 27 by fluorescence. We may conclude that this finding does not indicate false-positive reactions since the first part of our study, despite the small number of strains tested, showed that the antisera are very specific for *B. fragilis* and *B. melaninogenicus* group organisms. These reactions could be due to organisms that have died in transport. They would be negative on culture but would be able to give a positive fluorescent reaction. Organisms such as *Proteus mirabilis* in the culture or the presence of other rapidly growing aerobic bacteria would also explain why the anaerobic cultures were negative. Two false-negative reactions with the antisera were observed for *B. fragilis*. In the first case there was a paucity of bacteria in the initial inoculum. A total of 13 colonies of *B. fragilis* were found on the culture plates. The second case dealt with a *B. fragilis* isolated from a blood culture bottle. Fluorescence on a pure culture of this strain was also negative. It is possible that the serotype of this strain is not included in the *B. fragilis* polyvalent antiserum. The polyvalent antiserum is composed of a group of the major types of strains found in the United States.

The advantages of this immunofluorescence test appear to be considerable. The method is specific and the margin for error is very limited; the test gives a semiquantitative estimate of the number of bacteroides in the clinical specimens in the presence of a mixed flora; and the method is valid for non-viable bacteria. This is particularly important when evaluating cases where the samples have been improperly handled before being cultured. The evaluation is not limited as to type of sample, for example, contamination by a saprophytic flora, nor by the time of procedure. The fluorescent antiserum is polyvalent. The anti-*melaninogenicus* serum allows the detection of organisms of the group *B. melaninogenicus*. The anti-*fragilis* serum allows detection of *B. fragilis*, *Bacteroides ovatus*, *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*, *Bacteroides distasonis*, *Bacteroides vulgatus*, and *Bacteroides uniformis*. The method is simple, and the results are obtained rapidly within 45 to 60 minutes.

To conclude, the technique for the detection of *B. fragilis* and *B. melaninogenicus* by immunofluorescence with the Fluoretect F and M kits allows for the use of empirical treatment of bacteroides infections. This is possible in a higher percentage of cases than those that are evaluated by culture alone.

References

- 1 Finegold SM, Sheperd WE, Spaulding EH. *Practical Anaerobic Bacteriology* Cumitech 5. Washington DC: American Society for Microbiology, 1977.

- ² Gorbach SL, Bartlett JC. Anaerobic infections. *N Engl J Med* 1974;**290**:1177, 1237.
- ³ Holland JW, Hill EO, Altemeier WA. Numbers and types of anaerobic bacteria isolated from clinical specimens since 1960. *J Clin Microbiol* 1977;**5**:20.
- ⁴ Martin WJ. Isolation and identification of anaerobic bacteria in the clinical laboratory. A 2 years' experience. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1974;**49**:301.
- ⁵ Coons AM, Creech HJ, Jones RN, Berliner E. The demonstration of pneumococcal antigen in tissues by the use of fluorescent antibody. *J Immunol* 1942;**45**:159.
- ⁶ Kasper LD, Fildian AP, Tabaochali S. Rapid diagnosis of bacteroides infections by indirect immunofluorescence assay of clinical specimens. *Lancet* 1979;**i**:239.
- ⁷ Lambe DW. Determination of *Bacteroides melaninogenicus* serogroups by fluorescent antibody staining. *App Microbiol* 1974;**28**:561.
- ⁸ Stanffer LR, Hill EO, Holland JW, Altemeier WA. Indirect fluorescent antibody procedure for the rapid detection and identification of *Bacteroides* and *Fusobacterium* in clinical specimens. *J Clin Microbiol* 1975;**2**:337.
- ⁹ Holdeman LV, Cato EP, Moore WEC, eds. *Anaerobe Laboratory Manual*. 4th ed. Blacksburg: Anaerobe Laboratory, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 1977.
- ¹⁰ Holdeman LV, Johnson JL. *Bacteroides disiens* sp. nov. and *Bacteroides divius* sp. nov. from human clinical infections. *Int J System Bact* 1977;**27**:337.

Requests for reprints to: Dr M Labbé, Hôpital Universitaire Brugmann, Service du Biologie Clinique, Avenue JJ Crocq 1, 1020 Brussels, Belgium.