Technical methods

Evaluation of "CLO-test" to detect *Campylobacter pyloridis* in gastric mucosa

M BORROMEO, J R LAMBERT, K J PINKARD *From the Departments of Medicine and Microbiology, Prince Henry's Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia*

Campylobacter pyloridis is strongly associated with the presence of histological gastritis,^{1 2} but its role in the aetiology of peptic ulcer disease and non-ulcer dyspepsia has yet to be determined. Its detection in antral mucosal biopsy specimens usually entails histological or microbiological methods that may require several days for a result. In a previous study³ we found that direct examination of biopsy specimens by phase contrast microscopy was a rapid and reliable screening method. It has the disadvantage, however, that the necessary equipment is not always readily available. As *C pyloridis* produces abundant urease^{4 5} this fact has been used for the rapid detection of its presence in human gastric antral biopsy specimens.^{6 7} We prospectively evaluated a commercial urease test, CLO-test (Delta West Ltd, Canning Vale, Western Australia 6155) by comparing it with microscopy and culture for *C pyloridis* in gastric antral mucosa.

Patients and methods

Gastric biopsy specimens were taken from 80 consecutive patients undergoing routine upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. Nineteen of these patients were taking part in two other ongoing trials. Three endoscopic biopsy specimens were obtained from the gastric antral mucosa within a 5 cm radius of the pylorus. One specimen was immediately inserted in the CLO-test, which was held at room temperature for up to 24 hours. It was examined frequently for any colour change from yellow (negative) to orange or bright pink (positive). The two other biopsy specimens were collected in 0.25 ml sterile saline (0.85%) for transport to the laboratory and were processed within three hours. Phase contrast microscopy, Gram stain, and culture were performed as previously described.³ The Gram stain was done retrospectively if only one other test was positive for *C pyloridis*. The number of

Campylobacter like organisms seen on microscopy was scored from + to + + +.

Isolates were considered to be *C pyloridis* if they grew as 0.5-1 mm translucent greyish colonies after three days on chocolate (horse) blood agar, and were Gram negative, curved, or S shaped rods which were positive for oxidase, catalase, and rapid urease (Oxoid CM71). Growth occurred under micro-aerophilic conditions, but not in air.

Results

Eighty antral biopsy specimens were examined by phase contrast microscopy, culture, and CLO-test. Gram stain was also done on 10 specimens when only one of the other tests was positive. The rapid urease test was positive for 47 specimens, two of which were orange at 24 hours rather than the characteristic pink produced by the other *C pyloridis* positive specimens. *C pyloridis* was detected in 45 of these by both microscopy and culture, and in one by microscopy alone. For one specimen, the rapid urease test was an orange colour after 24 hours, but *C pyloridis* was not otherwise shown. *C pyloridis* was isolated from a further five specimens which gave a negative urease result. The other 28 specimens were negative by phase contrast microscopy, culture, and CLO-test (table 1).

Thus with the final reading at 24 hours, CLO-test had a sensitivity of 90% (five false negative CLO-tests from 51 microscopically or culture positive, or both, specimens) and a specificity of 97% (one false positive CLO-test from 29 negative specimens).

Of the 47 specimens that were CLO-test positive, 31 were positive within 30 minutes, a further six were positive by one hour, while the rest were positive after two hours or more (table 2). In addition, there was a positive correlation ($p < 0.001$) between the number of typical curved bacteria seen on phase contrast microscopy and the time required for CLO-test to become positive (figure). This correlation was restricted to those 41 specimens which were positive by two hours.

Discussion

Using the commercially available CLO-test, this study confirmed previous reports⁶⁻⁸ that testing for urease is a rapid and reliable method for showing the presence of *C pyloridis* in gastric antral biopsy specimens; and furthermore, with 79% of positive tests occurring within one hour, these results closely paral-

Technical methods

Table 1 Comparison of detection methods for *C. pyloridis*

No of antral biopsy specimens	CLO-test	Phase contrast microscopy	Culture	Gram stain
41	+	+	+	ND
1	—	+	+	ND
4	+	—	+	+
1	—	—	+	+
3	—	—	+	—
1	+	—	—	+
1	+	—	—	—
28	—	—	—	ND

+ *C. pyloridis* detected; — *C. pyloridis* not detected; ND = not done.

Table 2 Reaction time for positive CLO-test

Time (hours)	No of antral biopsy specimens (%)
0.5	31 (66)
1	37 (79)
2	41 (87)
24	47 (100)

leled those obtained with CLO-test by Morris *et al.*⁷ This compares with 56% of positive results in less than one hour using Christensen's urea broth.⁸

Only one specimen was CLO-test positive but otherwise negative for *C. pyloridis*. This, however, may not necessarily have been a "false" positive reaction, but may have reflected sampling error because of the patchy distribution and possibly low numbers of the organism. This patient was receiving cimetidine at the time of endoscopy, which may have affected the results.

Positive urease reactions occurring after three hours or more could be due to other urease producing bacteria such as *Proteus* or *Klebsiella*, which may contaminate endoscopy equipment and which are occasionally found in the gastric lumen of hypo-chlorhydric subjects. Rigorous cleaning of endoscopy

equipment and the incorporation of an antimicrobial agent in the urease test, such as is present in the CLO-test should minimise possible false positive results due to these bacteria.

While the CLO-test is reliable in the initial screening of patients who first present with upper gastrointestinal symptoms, further studies are needed to determine the reliability of a negative test in patients being re-evaluated after treatment. In this study, of the five patients with a false negative CLO-test, two had been treated with an antiulcer agent.

In contrast to the findings of our previous study,³ phase contrast microscopy had a lower detection rate than culture for *C. pyloridis*. Of those eight patients who were negative by phase contrast microscopy but culture positive, three had recently been receiving antiulcer treatment, and one had had a parietal cell vagotomy. It is probable that bacteria damaged by drugs are not readily recognised by this microscopic method. These results suggest that during therapeutic trials, a negative CLO-test may need to be confirmed by culture for *C. pyloridis*.

References

- 1 Marshall BJ, Warren JR. Unidentified curved bacilli in the stomach of patients with gastritis and peptic ulceration. *Lancet* 1984;i:1311-15.
- 2 Lambert JR, Dunn KL, Turner H, Korman MG. Effect on histological gastritis following eradication of *Campylobacter pyloridis*. *Gastroenterology* 1986;90:1509.
- 3 Pinkard KJ, Harrison B, Capstick JA, Medley G, Lambert JR. Detection of *Campylobacter pyloridis* in gastric mucosa by phase contrast microscopy. *J Clin Pathol* 1986;39:112-13.
- 4 Langenberg ML, Tytgat GNJ, Schipper MEI, Rietra PJGM, Zanen HC. *Campylobacter*-like organisms in the stomach of patients and healthy individuals. *Lancet* 1984;i:1348.
- 5 Owen RJ, Martin SR, Borman P. Rapid urea hydrolysis by gastric *Campylobacters*. *Lancet* 1985;i:111.
- 6 McNulty CAM, Wise R. Rapid diagnosis of *Campylobacter* associated gastritis. *Lancet* 1985;i:1443-4.
- 7 Morris A, McIntyre D, Rose T, Nicholson G. Rapid diagnosis of *Campylobacter pyloridis* infection. *Lancet* 1986;i:149.
- 8 McNulty CAM, Wise R. Rapid diagnosis of *Campylobacter pyloridis* gastritis. *Lancet* 1986;i:387.

Figure Correlation between No of curved bacteria in gastric antral biopsy specimens (phase contrast microscopy) and time for positive CLO-test.

Requests for reprints to: Dr J R Lambert, Department of Medicine, Prince Henry's Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia 3004.