Single-tube nested PCR in the diagnosis of tuberculosis

C M Chan, K Y Yuen, K S Chan, W C Yam, K H M Yim, W F Ng, M H Ng

Abstract

Aims—To evaluate the usefulness of a single-tube nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay in the diagnosis of tuberculosis in 1497 pulmonary and 536 extrapulmonary specimens.

Methods—A single-tube nested PCR, utilising two sets of primers with different melting temperatures (88°C for external primers; 70°C for internal primers) to augment sensitivity and specificity without increasing the risk of amplicon contamination, was evaluated. Specimens were initially tested for the repetitive IS6110 sequences and if negative, retested for the universal 38 kilodalton sequence and for inhibitors. dUTP/Uracil-N-glycosylase and Instagene treatment were used to minimise contamination and the effect of inhibitors, respectively.

Results—Using culture as the gold standard, the overall sensitivity of the assay was 89% for pulmonary and 42% for extrapulmonary specimens. Sensitivity varied greatly with respect to sample type (92% for follow up specimens from a chest hospital and 70% for non-follow up specimens from a general hospital). The smear positivity rates were 15% for extrapulmonary specimens, and 69% and 45%, respectively, for follow up and non-follow up specimens from pulmonary sites. Specificity was 99.7%. Inhibitors were present more frequently in extrapulmonary than in pulmonary specimens (13.4% v 2.7%).

Conclusion—Despite the high sensitivity of the PCR assay for the diagnosis of tuberculosis in pulmonary specimens, it was less effective in the extrapulmonary samples. This is probably because of the lower bacterial load in extrapulmonary specimens, the presence of more inhibitors adversely affecting the PCR assay and the higher volume of specimens used for culture.

(J Clin Pathol 1996;49:290-294)

Keywords: tuberculosis, nested PCR, uracil-N-glycosylase.

Control of tuberculosis depends on the speedy identification of an index case, followed by appropriate treatment with or without isolation of the patient to prevent secondary transmission to susceptible contacts. Most microbiology laboratories detect *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in patients with a high bacterial load by using the rapid Ziehl-Neelsen smear test and the slow culture method on Lowenstein-Jensen medium. The radiometric culture method

(BACTEC), which is comparable with guinea pig inoculation in sensitivity, is not widely used because it is expensive, hazardous and still takes 14 days to generate smear negative specimens.¹ In those cases with a low bacterial load, involving either pulmonary or extrapulmonary anatomical sites, detection of antigen by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)² or of tuberculostearic acid by gas liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry³ are either insensitive or non-specific. Host response as a marker for tuberculosis has been exploited in the form of the Mantoux test,⁴ antibody detection by ELISA⁵ and the immunospot assay.⁶ However, these latter assays are invariably confounded by previous BCG vaccination, exposure to environmental mycobacteria and conditions which result in suppression of the immune system. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has been hailed by many authors as the most important recent advance that would ensure a rapid, sensitive, specific, simple and relatively inexpensive diagnosis of both multi- and paucobacillary tuberculosis.⁷⁻⁹ In a previous short follow up study,¹⁰ a single-step PCR assay performed well in respiratory specimens taken before and after treatment. In the present study, the performance of a single-tube nested PCR assay was thoroughly assessed in clinical specimens from pulmonary and extrapulmonary sites.

Methods

Over two and a half years, 2034 specimens were processed by the Ziehl-Neelsen smear test, culture on Lowenstein-Jensen medium and a single-tube nested PCR assay.

Pulmonary specimens included 1169 serial expectorated sputum samples collected from patients admitted for treatment of tuberculosis and 328 samples (including expectorated sputum, bronchoscopic aspirate, endotracheal aspirate, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid) from patients who were seen at the outpatient clinic or admitted to a general hospital and who had not been diagnosed with active tuberculosis.

The extrapulmonary specimens included 373 cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), 101 pleural fluid, 33 tissue, 14 peritoneal and synovial fluid, and 15 urine and buffy coat specimens. All specimens were stored at -20°C if not immediately processed.

SPECIMEN PROCESSING

Pulmonary specimens

All specimens were collected in gamma irradiated disposable plastic bottles. After a

Department of Microbiology, The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong
C M Chan
K Y Yuen
W C Yam
K H M Yim
M H Ng

Department of Pathology, Ruttonjee Sanatorium, Hong Kong
K S Chan

Department of Pulmonology, Haven of Hope Chest Hospital, Kowloon, Hong Kong
W F Ng

Correspondence to: Dr K Y Yuen, Department of Microbiology, The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong.

Accepted for publication 21 November 1995

direct smear was prepared, samples were decontaminated and digested by treatment with 3% sodium hydroxide and dithiothreitol for 30 minutes. Following neutralisation with 20 ml NaH_2PO_4 buffer, and centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 30 minutes, the sediment was resuspended in about 0.5 to 1 ml buffer. Two Lowenstein-Jensen agar slants were each inoculated with about 50 μl of the sediment; 100 μl of the sediment was transferred to an Eppendorf tube for DNA extraction.

Extrapulmonary specimens

All specimens were collected in either gamma irradiated plastic bottles or new glass universal bottles. Except for CSF, buffy coat and tissue specimens, all other fluid specimens were first concentrated by centrifugation at 4000 rpm in universal bottles (Sterilin, Staffordshire, UK) for 20 minutes or at 13 600 rpm in Eppendorf tubes for 10 minutes, depending on the available volume of specimen. After decanting, about 150 μl of the resuspended sediment was inoculated onto each of two Lowenstein-Jensen agar slants and a Middlebrook broth for enrichment. About 100 μl of the remaining sediment was transferred to an Eppendorf tube for DNA extraction. Tissue specimens were first homogenised by using a propylene tissue grinder (Scotlab, Shelton, USA) with proteinase K buffer in an Eppendorf tube¹¹; buffy coat samples were separated from heparinised blood by dextran sedimentation before DNA extraction. For CSF specimens, the above concentration steps were often not possible because the available volume was often less than 200 μl . Thus, after inoculation directly into a Lowenstein-Jensen slant and 7H9 Middlebrook broth supplemented with Tween 80, glycerol, and OADC complex (Becton Dickinson, Cockeysville, Maryland, USA), only about 50 μl was available for PCR.

EXTRACTION OF DNA

Pulmonary specimens

DNA was extracted by boiling 100 μl of the sediment with an equal volume of 1% Triton X-100 for 30 minutes; 40 μl of the supernatant was added to 160 μl Instagene (BioRad, Hercules, California, USA). The mixture was resuspended three times during incubation at 56°C for 30 minutes. After vigorous vortexing for 10 seconds, the mixture was incubated at 95°C for eight minutes. After 10 seconds of vigorous vortexing and centrifugation at 13 600 rpm for three minutes, 50 μl of the supernatant was used for PCR.

Extrapulmonary specimens

The sediment was resuspended in an equal volume of proteinase K buffer (500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ proteinase K, 0.4 M Tris, pH 8.0, 0.1% NP-40, 0.1% Tween 20) and incubated at 56°C for two hours. The reaction was stopped and bacterial lysis was achieved by boiling for 30 minutes. Inhibitors were removed as above by treatment with Instagene. Again, only 40 μl of the mixture

was added to 160 μl Instagene and 50 μl of the supernatant was used for PCR.

PRIMERS AND PROBES USED FOR NESTED PCR AND SOUTHERN BLOT HYBRIDISATION

Two sets of primers and probes derived from the *M. tuberculosis* genome, encoding the insertion sequence IS6110 and the 38 kilodalton protein, were used.^{12,13} The IS6110 PCR assay utilised the following sequences: external primers, position 367 to 392: 5'-CCGCCAGCAC GCTAATAACGGTTC-3' and position 746 to 769: 5'-TGTGGCCGGATCAGCGATCG TGGT-3'); internal primers, position 455 to 472: 5'-CTGCACACTGACCGA-3' and position 670 to 652: 5'-CGTTCGACGGTGC-ATCTG-3'; probe, position 537 to 566: 5'-GAGCTGCGATGGCGAACTCAAGGAG-CAC-3'. These sets of primers and the probe were used initially to screen all specimens. If the specimen was negative with the IS6110 assay, it was retested in the 38 kilodalton assay because all of the *M. tuberculosis* isolates contained the 38 kilodalton sequence whereas 0.9% of the strains, especially those from Vietnamese patients,¹⁴ lacked IS6110. A concurrent assay was also carried with the IS6110 primers after spiking with 2 pg *M. tuberculosis* DNA extract to detect inhibitors. The 38 kilodalton protein PCR assay utilised following sequences: external primers, position 232 to 252: 5'-ACCACCGAGCGGTTCGCCTGA-3' and position 648 to 628: 5'-GATCTGC-GGGTCGTCCCAGGT-3'); internal primers, position 303 to 317: 5'-TGACGTTGGCG-GAGA-3' and position 539 to 524: 5'-TG-AGCGGAGATGGCTA-3'; probe, position 339 to 368: 5'-CGCTGTTCAACCTGTGG-GGTCCGGCCTTTC-3'.

PCR CONDITIONS

The total reaction volume was 100 μl and contained the following: 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3) (Sigma, St Louis, Missouri, USA); 50 mM KCl; 2 mM MgCl_2 ; 0.15 mM dATP, dGTP, dCTP (Pharmacia Biotechnology, Uppsala, Sweden), and dUTP (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany); 2 pmol external primers; 75 pmol internal primers; 2 units Taq polymerase (US Biochemical Corp., Cleveland, Ohio, USA); 0.5 units uracil-N-glycosylase (Boehringer Mannheim). The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes to permit destruction of carry over amplicons by uracil-N-glycosylase,¹⁵ and then at 95°C for five minutes to inactivate this enzyme. The PCR conditions were as follows: 94°C for 45 seconds and 72°C for 1.5 minutes for the first 15 cycles for both annealing and extension in view of the high melting temperature of the external primers (88°C) and then 94°C for 45 seconds, 55°C for 45 seconds and 72°C for one minute for 45 cycles. These PCR conditions were used for both assays. A stringent annealing temperature for the external primers is essential for the production of specific amplicons for the next 45 cycles. During re-amplification, a much lower annealing temperature was used for

Table 1 Effect of the decontamination procedure for pulmonary specimens on the sensitivity of the PCR assay and standard culture

Decontamination procedure	Limiting dilution of broth culture				
	10^{-2}	10^{-3}	10^{-4}	10^{-5}	10^{-6}
Decontamination with normal saline (control) mean cfu 10 µl* proportion of positive PCR assays†	Confluent 5/5	>200 5/5	30 5/5	3 3/5	0 0/5
Decontamination with NaOH mean cfu/10 µl proportion of positive PCR assays	>200 5/5	36 5/5	4 5/5	0 2/5	0 0/5

* Colony forming units on agar medium by Miles and Misra count.

† Five PCR assays performed on 10 µl aliquots of the sediment before and after decontamination.

greater efficiency rather than for stringency. Positive and negative controls were included in each run and precautions against cross-contamination were taken.

DETECTION OF THE PCR PRODUCT

As previously reported,¹⁰ 10 µl aliquots of amplified products were electrophoresed through a 1.5% agarose gel in 0.5 × TBE buffer. Target

bands of 215 base pairs (bp) (IS6110 assay) and 237 bp (38 kilodalton assay) were sought on the ethidium bromide stained gel. Further confirmation by Southern blot hybridisation was obtained with [³²P] labelled probes initially and digoxigenin labelled probes (Boehringer Mannheim) in the later part of the study.

SENSITIVITY OF THE NESTED PCR ASSAY

A 14 day old Middlebrook broth culture of H37Rv supplemented with Tween 80 was serially diluted to 10^{-6} . The viable bacterial count as ascertained by the Miles and Misra method and the presence of *M. tuberculosis* DNA as ascertained by the PCR assay after decontamination by saline (control) and 3% NaOH (test) were determined from the 10^{-2} to 10^{-6} dilutions. All digestion, decontamination and concentration steps were followed (vide supra). Five PCR assays were carried out per dilution with 10 µl aliquots of each sediment.

Figure 1 Panels A and B, Single-tube nested PCR using the IS6110 and 38 kilodalton primers, respectively. Lanes 1–3, 10-fold limiting dilution of broth culture equivalent to about 300, 30, 3 cfu/10 µl; lane 4, negative control; lane 5, molecular weight marker ϕ x174 HaeIII digest. Panels C and D, Southern blot hybridisation of A and B, respectively, using digoxigenin labelled probes.

Table 2 Correlation among results of PCR assay, standard culture and clinical treatment in extrapulmonary specimens

No. and type of specimen	Culture positive for <i>M. tuberculosis</i> (%)	PCR positive (%)	No. of patients subsequently treated for tuberculosis (%)
CSF (n=373)	17 (4.6)	13 (2.4)*	45 (12)
Pleural effusion (n=101)	25 (25)	12 (11)*	37 (37)
Tissue (n=33)	12 (36)	5 (15)	18 (54.5)
Peritoneal and synovial fluid (n=14)	2	0	5
Urine (n=15)	4	3	5
(Sub)total (n=536) (%)	60 (12.3)†	33 (6)*	109 (20.3)

* Five false positive results (four CSF, one pleural fluid).

† Nine specimens were smear positive.

Results

In total, 1497 pulmonary specimens and 536 extrapulmonary specimens were processed using this single-tube nested PCR assay. The sensitivity of the test as shown by limiting dilution of viable bacteria and genomic DNA approached one bacteria per 10 µl DNA extract (table 1 and fig 1). In 41 (2.7%) pulmonary specimens and 72 (13.4%) extrapulmonary specimens ($p < 0.05$ χ^2 test), DNA purification had to be repeated using phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol or, in the later part of the study, GeneClean extraction in order to overcome the effect of inhibitors in these samples, which were PCR negative despite spiking with 2 pg *M. tuberculosis* DNA.

Of the 373 CSF specimens, all had exudative changes with predominant lymphocytic pleocytosis but only 17 were culture positive for *M. tuberculosis* (table 2). For the remaining specimens, the final diagnoses were encephalitis (n=52), brain abscess (n=2), Guillain-Barré syndrome (n=2), and lymphoma and carcinomatous meningitis (n=4). The remaining final diagnoses included hydrocephalus, ventriculo-peritoneal shunt infection, cerebral lupus, metabolic encephalopathy, and undetermined. Forty five patients were started on empirical anti-tuberculosis treatment because of a compatible clinical picture, CSF findings and/or changes on chest x ray film,

Table 3 Performance of PCR assay in pulmonary specimens taken from different patient populations

Sample type	Culture positive (%)		Culture negative	
	PCR positive	PCR negative	PCR positive	PCR negative
Serial followup specimens from patients diagnosed and treated for tuberculosis (n = 1169)	278 (91)	28 (9.2)	154	819
Specimens from patients not previously known to have tuberculosis (n = 329)	20 (71)	8 (28.6)	0	301

but only 17 of them were positive for *M tuberculosis* on culture. Excluding the four false positive results, only nine (52% of all positive CSF cultures and 20% of all patients empirically treated for tuberculous meningitis) were positive by the PCR assay and all were subsequently detected by culture. The other extrapulmonary specimens such as pleural effusion, tissue, peritoneal fluid, synovial fluid, urine, and buffy coat samples were similarly investigated and the final diagnoses included carcinomatous effusion (n = 15), parapneumonic effusion (n = 17), infected ascites (n = 2), systemic lupus erythematosus (n = 2), non-specific lymphadenitis, metastatic lymphadenopathy, sarcoidosis, lymphoma, cat scratch disease, and undetermined. Sixty six patients were started on anti-tuberculosis therapy because of a positive sputum smear (n = 4), granulomatous changes on histology and biopsy (n = 39) and/or positive culture of their extrapulmonary specimen (n = 19). The sensitivity of the PCR assay was only 42% when compared with culture.

With regard to the pulmonary specimens (table 3), PCR detected *M tuberculosis* DNA in 278 (92%) of 306 specimens from patients admitted to and followed up in a chest hospital but only 20 (78%) of 28 specimens from patients who were seen at a general hospital and who were not known to have tuberculosis. The proportion of specimens positive for both direct smear and culture was 69% for follow up specimens but only 45% for the non-follow up specimens. As for culture negative specimens, only the follow up group exhibited the culture negative/PCR positive phenomenon (10%), which could be attributed to the effect of drug treatment and were not false positive results. There were five false positive PCR results among the extrapulmonary specimens; the clinical picture and radiological/laboratory findings of these five patients were not compatible with tuberculosis. Four of these samples were CSF specimens and one was a pleural effusion. Retesting of the remaining DNA extracts still yielded positive results, but repeated specimens from the same patients were negative. All of these specimens had been processed for routine bacteriology before being analysed by PCR.

Discussion

The usefulness of the PCR assay for the detection of paucobacillary tuberculosis has been hailed by many authors as the most important breakthrough in the field of diagnostic myco-

bacteriology. However, like many new diagnostic procedures, initial optimism was soon shattered by skepticism and gradually replaced by pragmatism.¹⁶ The present study was designed to determine the usefulness of this technique in a large-scale and comprehensive manner. The method under study was a single-tube nested PCR which harnessed the different melting temperatures of the external (88°C) and internal (70°C) primers to increase the specificity and sensitivity of the assay without increasing the risk of contamination during re-amplification. Besides the usual precautions against contamination by carry over of amplicons, the coupled use of dUTP and uracil-N-glycosylase was included and the annealing temperature was set at or above 55°C to prevent nicking of amplicons by residual uracil-N-glycosylase activity during the annealing step.¹⁵ Despite stringent adherence to all of these precautions, including spatial separation of the different steps of DNA extraction, PCR and amplicon detection, and the use of ultraviolet light to destroy contaminating amplicons and uracil-N-glycosylase, five false positive results were obtained for extrapulmonary specimens. We believed that the manipulation of these CSF and pleural fluid specimens with re-used pipettes in the routine bacteriology laboratory might have introduced mycobacterial DNA. This was because these five specimens were not originally sent with a request for PCR but for routine bacteriology culture or cryptococcal antigen detection.

The sensitivity of the PCR assay varied greatly between the pulmonary (89%) and extrapulmonary specimens (42%), with culture as the gold standard. This disappointing finding was related to the available amount of *M tuberculosis* in the clinical specimen, the amount of accompanying inhibitors, the relative volumes of sediment used for PCR and culture, after the decontamination procedure and the inclusion of dUTP and uracil-N-glycosylase in the PCR reaction.¹⁷ Up to 67% of the culture positive sputum specimens, but only 15% of the culture positive extrapulmonary specimens, were smear positive (table 2). This is because up to 10⁹ bacteria can be present in cavitating pulmonary lesions. The bacterial load in the subarachnoid space, and pleural, peritoneal and joint cavities is much lower. Moreover, inhibitors are found more frequently in extrapulmonary (13.4%) than in pulmonary specimens (2.7%),¹⁸ which is surprising given that CSF and pleural effusion samples have often been regarded as homogeneous and easy to handle. During the process of digestion and decontamination, NaOH lysed most eukaryotic cells and probably denatured many inhibitory proteins such as haemoglobin. On neutralisation, the buffer also dilutes out any residual inhibitory substances. This process could not be used in the extrapulmonary specimens as it would lead to further loss of the scanty amount of *M tuberculosis* DNA.

The use of culture as the gold standard in the study for PCR evaluation had a number of implications. The number of viable *M tuberculosis* present in the inoculum was the most

important factor affecting culture, while both viable and non-viable bacteria were equally important as the determinants of sensitivity of the PCR assay, although apparently reducing specificity. As shown in table 1, in pulmonary specimens up to 90% of bacteria were rendered non-viable by the decontamination procedures. Thus, the sensitivity of PCR was comparable with culture although only 12.5 µl and 100 µl, respectively, of the sediment were used for PCR and culture. This was not the case in extrapulmonary specimens, which did not undergo decontamination and of which the volume of sediment that could be used for culture often noticeably higher than that used for PCR, greatly enhancing the apparent sensitivity of culture compared with PCR in these specimens. It is not possible to increase the volume of sediment used for PCR further because the problem of inhibitors would then be insurmountable. Moreover, the sensitivity of culture was further augmented by an enrichment broth culture in extrapulmonary specimens because there was little risk of contamination by other bacteria. Apart from the above reasons, Pang *et al*¹⁷ reported that the use of dUTP and uracil-N-glycosylase may result in loss of assay sensitivity by a factor of 10. This might counteract the nested PCR effect and explain one of the causes of diminished sensitivity in paucobacillary extrapulmonary samples. However, the low frequency of false positives justified its inclusion.

PCR was more sensitive in samples from patients with known tuberculosis admitted to a chest hospital for treatment than in undiagnosed patients first seen at a general hospital. As expected, the smear positive rate was higher amongst the culture positive specimens in the former group of patients (69 v 45%). The phenomenon of culture negative/PCR positive specimens has been reported previously.^{7,10}

After many reports of the excellent performance of the PCR assay in the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis, similar good results were expected in the diagnosis of extrapulmonary tuberculosis. These expectations were not met in this study because of many different confounding factors. Further studies must be conducted to improve these deficiencies. A higher volume of starting material must be used in view of the paucobacillary nature of extrapulmonary tuberculosis. A better extraction procedure which could capture all the *M. tuberculosis* DNA, but not inhibitors, must be developed—for example, the immunomagnetic separation technique.¹⁹ Other resin matrix preparations which absorb inhibitors such as Genereleaser and which do not entail further loss of DNA may also be used.²⁰ Besides repetitive sequences, amplification of ribosomal RNA may produce better results as thousands of copies are present per bacteria.²¹ Finally, more sensitive detection formats such as probe signal amplification techniques should be considered.²²

We are grateful to the clinical laboratory staff of the Department of Microbiology, The University of Hong Kong, especially Ms Yvonne Chiu, Mr K H Chan, Mr W T Hui, and Mr Raymond Leung.

The research is funded by the Research Grant Council (Grant No. HKU 333/93M), Hong Kong.

- Morgan MA, Horstmeier CD, DeYoung DR, Roberts GD. Comparison of a radiometric method (BACTEC) and conventional culture media for recovery of mycobacteria from smear-negative specimens. *J Clin Microbiol* 1983;18:384-8.
- Sada E, Ruiz-Palacios GM, Lopez-Vidal Y, Ponce-de-Leon S. Detection of mycobacterial antigen in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with tuberculous meningitis by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay. *Lancet* 1983;i:651-2.
- Yew WW, Chan CY, Kwan SY, Cheung SW, French GL. Diagnosis of tuberculous pleural effusion by the detection of tuberculostearic acid in pleural aspirates. *Chest* 1992;102:1635-6.
- American Thoracic Society and Centers for Disease Control. The tuberculin skin test. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1981;124:356-63.
- Grange JM. The humoral immune response in tuberculosis: its nature, biological role, and diagnostic usefulness. *Adv Tuberc Res* 1984;21:1-78.
- Lu CZ, Qiao J, Shen T, Link H. Early diagnosis of tuberculosis meningitis by detection of anti-BCG secreting cells in cerebrospinal fluid. *Lancet* 1990;336:10-13.
- Pierre C, Lecossier D, Boussougant Y, Bocart D, Joly V, Yeni P, *et al*. Use of a reamplification protocol improves sensitivity of detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in clinical samples by amplification of DNA. *J Clin Microbiol* 1991;29:712-17.
- Shawer RM, El-Zaatari AK, Nataraj A, Clarridge JE. Detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in clinical samples by two-step polymerase chain reaction and nonisotopic hybridization methods. *J Clin Microbiol* 1993;31:61-5.
- Wilson SM, Nava E, Morales A, Godfrey-Faussett P, Gillespie S, Andersson N. Simplification of the polymerase chain reaction for detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in the tropics. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1993;87:177-80.
- Yuen KY, Chan KS, Chan CM, Ho BSW, Dai LK, Chau PY, *et al*. Use of PCR in routine diagnosis of treated and untreated pulmonary tuberculosis. *J Clin Pathol* 1993;46:318-22.
- Ghossein RA, Ross DG, Salomon RN, Rabson A. Rapid detection and species identification of mycobacteria in paraffin-embedded tissue by polymerase chain reaction. *Diagnostic Molecular Pathology* 1992;1:185-91.
- Thierry D, Cave MD, Eisenach KD, Crawford JT, Bates JH, Gicquel B, *et al*. IS6110, an IS-like element of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1990;18:188.
- Bengard Andersen A, Hanse EB. Structure and mapping of antigenic domains of protein antigen b, a 38,000 molecular weight protein of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Infect Immun* 1989;57:2481-8.
- Yuen LK, Ross BC, Jackson KM, Dwyer B. Characterisation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains from Vietnamese patients by Southern blot hybridisation. *J Clin Microbiol* 1993;31:1615-18.
- Longo MC, Berminger MS, Hartley JL. Use of Uracil DNA glycosylase to control carry-over contamination in polymerase chain reactions. *Gene* 1990;93:125-8.
- Noordhoek GT, Kolk AHJ, Bjuene G, Catty D, Dale JW, Fine PE, *et al*. Sensitivity and specificity of PCR for detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: a blind comparison study among seven laboratories. *J Clin Microbiol* 1994;32:277-84.
- Pang J, Modlin J, Yolken R. Use of modified nucleotides and uracil-DNA glycosylase (UNG) for the control of contamination in the PCR-based amplification of RNA. *Mol Cell Probes* 1992;6:251-6.
- Brisson-Noel A, Aznar C, Churea C, Nguyen S, Pierre C, Bartoli M, *et al*. Diagnosis of tuberculosis by DNA amplification: clinical practice evaluation. *Lancet* 1991;338:364-6.
- Widjoatmodjo MN, Fluit AC, Torensma R, Verdonk GP, Verhoef J. The magnetic immuno PCR assay for direct detection of salmonellae in fecal samples. *J Clin Microbiol* 1992;30:3195-9.
- Amicosante M, Richeldi L, Trenti G, Paone G, Campa M, Bisetti A, *et al*. Inactivation of polymerase inhibitors for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* DNA amplification in sputum by using capture resin. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995;33:629-30.
- Jonas V, Alden MJ, Curry JJ, Kamisango K, Knott CA, Lankford R, *et al*. Detection and identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* directly from sputum sediments by amplification of rRNA. *J Clin Microbiol* 1993;31:2410-6.
- Sanchez-Pescador R, Stempien MS, Urdea MS. Rapid chemiluminescent nucleic acid assays for the detection of TEM-1-beta-lactamase-mediated penicillin resistance in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and other bacteria. *J Clin Microbiol* 1988;26:1934-8.