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Electrophoresis, using either the classical method of Tiselius or zone electrophoresis on paper, has been widely employed in the study of serum and urinary proteins in myelomatosis, but so far few investigators have examined the diagnostic value of this procedure. In an attempt to make such an assessment, we have compared critically the results of electrophoretic analyses of serum and urinary proteins with those of other investigations commonly employed in establishing a diagnosis in this disease. Some aspects of this investigation have already been reported (Owen, Rider, and Stewart, 1956).

Methods

Total protein concentrations in plasma or serum were determined by one of two methods: (a) a micro-Kjeldahl method, using a conversion factor of 6.25; (b) a colorimetric (biuret) method (Gornall, Bardawill, and David, 1949). For the second method a calibration graph was constructed using sera whose total protein concentrations had been determined by the micro-Kjeldahl method. The two methods applied to the same serum gave results which did not differ materially.

Determination of plasma proteins as two fractions, usually designated "albumin" and "globulin," respectively, was carried out using a micro-Kjeldahl method with sodium sulphite as the fractionating agent (King, 1951).

Urines were examined for the presence of protein by the heat coagulation test and by the salicylsulphonic acid test. Examination for the presence of Bence-Jones protein (protein soluble at 100°) was carried out according to the method recommended by Snapper, Turner, and Moscovitz (1953).

Zone electrophoresis of serum and urinary proteins on paper was carried out according to the method described by Owen (1956). This procedure, involving reflection photometry and planimetry, enables the relative concentrations of the main serum protein fractions (albumin, α1, α2, β, and γ globulin) to be calculated, and an estimate of the absolute concentrations of each fraction was obtained from the relative concentration and the total protein concentration. Possible errors in this procedure have previously been discussed (Owen, 1956).

Before electrophoretic analysis urines were dialysed against dextran solution ("dextraven," Benger Laboratories, Ltd., 10% w/v in 0.9% w/v sodium chloride) at 5° C. for 24 to 48 hours to concentrate the protein present.

The electrophoretic pattern of serum proteins was designated "myeloma" type (a) when a narrow zone of protein was present in a position not normally occupied or in the broad γ-globulin zone (Fig. 1, C and D), or (b) when a protein fraction normally present as a relatively narrow zone, e.g., α1 or β globulin, was so increased that it constituted 40% or more of the total protein (Fig. 1, A and B).

![Fig. 1.—Electrophoretic serum protein patterns of the myeloma type.](http://jcp.bmj.com/)
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Haemoglobin was determined using the alkaline haematin method with optical densities measured on an Eel colorimeter, or using the oxyhaemoglobin method with a "unicam" G.P. photometer. Erythrocyte sedimentation rates (E.S.R.) were measured by means of the Westergren technique without correction for anaemia.

The investigation involved the examination of 40 patients with untreated generalized myelomatosis. Biopsy of bone marrow or tumour confirmed the diagnosis in 33 cases and necropsy in a further two. In the remaining five cases a combination of other findings made the diagnosis practically certain.

Results

Serum protein patterns of the myeloma type were present in 33 patients, the electrophoretic mobility of the abnormal protein being approximately that of: α₂ globulin, one case (abnormal protein constituting 43% of total protein); β globulin, five cases (42-70% of total protein); γ globulin, 27 cases (11-28% of total protein).

In six of these cases urinary protein was examined. In four cases, the protein migrated mainly as a β globulin and was accompanied by traces of albumin and other globulins. In the other two urines, a mixture of proteins, predominantly albumin, was present.

Protein was present in the urine of six of the seven patients whose serum protein patterns were of the non-specific type, i.e., were normal or showed only minor changes.

In five urines almost all the protein migrated as a β globulin (Fig. 2). The sixth urine was not examined electrophoretically. Thus, in all the patients with myelomatosis except two either the serum protein pattern was of the myeloma type or the urine protein consisted of a single globulin fraction.

In the course of analysing sera from some 500 other cases, four patients with serum protein patterns of the myeloma type were encountered (Fig. 3). Although certain features suggest the presence of myelomatosis, a firm diagnosis has not yet been made. The relevant clinical and laboratory data on these cases have been summarized in Table I.

The distribution of haemoglobin values, E.S.R., total plasma protein and plasma globulin concentrations in the patients with myelomatosis are given in Fig. 4. Many patients presented with anaemia and the E.S.R. was elevated in practically every case, although not always markedly. The total protein and globulin concentrations in the plasma were raised in many cases. These results, and other findings, are summarized in Table II.
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TABLE I
DATA ON PATIENTS WITH FALSE-POSITIVE SERUM PROTEIN PATTERNS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Clinical Features</th>
<th>Marrow Biopsy</th>
<th>Radiological Bone Changes</th>
<th>E.S.R. (mm./hr.)</th>
<th>Haemoglobin (g./100 ml.)</th>
<th>Plasma Proteins Albumin: Globulin (g./100 ml.)</th>
<th>Proteinuria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C.D.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Pulmonary infarct; abnormally high sensitivity to disodium EDTA</td>
<td>No increase in plasma cells, x 1</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>30-50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3-6/1-4</td>
<td>Slight; protein pattern same as in serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.M.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Melaena and haematmesis; previous history of duodenal ulcer</td>
<td>No increase in plasma cells, x 3</td>
<td>15-50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3-3-2-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.W.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Recurrent pneumonia and pleurisy during 20 yr.</td>
<td>No increase in plasma cells, x 1</td>
<td>Persistently &gt;100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4-0-6-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.R.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Pneumonia with joint pains; previous history of pneumocystis and tuberculosis</td>
<td>Slight increase in plasma cells, x 1</td>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3-0-2-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II
THE INCIDENCE OF POSITIVE FINDINGS IN PATIENTS WITH MYELOMATOSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum Protein Pattern</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Electrophoresis of Serum and Urinary Protein (%)</th>
<th>Positive E.S.R. (%)</th>
<th>Radiological Bone Changes (%)</th>
<th>Anaemia (%)</th>
<th>Elevation of E.S.R. (%)</th>
<th>Proteinuria (%)</th>
<th>Hyper-proteinuria (%)</th>
<th>Hyper-globulinuria (%)</th>
<th>Bence-Jones Proteinuria (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myeloma type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-specific</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Three patients not examined. One of them and one with negative biopsy had diagnostic necropsy findings. † Two patients not examined. ‡ One patient not examined.

The findings are tabulated as the percentage of patients showing a positive result, according to the following criteria: Electrophoresis of serum proteins, myeloma pattern; electrophoresis of urinary protein, single globulin zone; biopsy, plasmacytosis with sheets of plasma cells; anaemia, Hb < 10 g./100 ml.; elevated E.S.R. > 70 mm./hr.; hyperproteinuria, total plasma protein > 8 g./100 ml.; hyperglobulinemia, plasma globulin > 5 g./100 ml.

TABLE III
SUMMARY OF REPORTED FINDINGS IN MYELOMATOSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Total No. of Cases</th>
<th>Specific Serum Protein Pattern (%)</th>
<th>Positive E.S.R. (%)</th>
<th>Radiological Bone Changes (%)</th>
<th>Anaemia (%)</th>
<th>Elevation of E.S.R. (%)</th>
<th>Proteinuria (%)</th>
<th>Hyper-proteinuria (%)</th>
<th>Hyper-globulinuria (%)</th>
<th>Bence-Jones Proteinuria (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gutman et al. (1941)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>82 (16)</td>
<td></td>
<td>87 (31)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore et al. (1943)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beizer et al. (1942)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waldenström (1942)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>75 (12)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegerter and Robbins  (1947)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20 (5)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayrd and Heck (1947)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20 (5)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichtenstein and Jaffe (1947)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams et al. (1949)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Othagen et al. (1949)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soulier (1950)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowling (1951)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33 (3)</td>
<td>71 (5)</td>
<td>25 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heiser and Schwartz- man (1952)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>77 (22)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffith and Brews (1953)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam and Udin (1953)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reiner and Stern (1953)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapper et al. (1953)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>70 (43)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conn and Klatkin (1954)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson et al. (1955)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Critical level of abnormality not stated. 2 Hb > 11 g./100 ml. or less. 3 > 6 g./100 ml. 4 > 7 g./100 ml. 5 Red cell count < 4 x 10^9/ml. The findings have been tabulated as in Table II. Where serial observations have been reported the initial values only have been considered. The figures in parenthesis refer to the number of patients examined where this is appreciably different from total number of cases reported.
Cryoglobulins were observed in two sera. A third serum became very viscid at room temperature without the separation of precipitate. In all three sera an abnormal protein, migrating as a γ globulin, was present.

Discussion

Our findings confirm previous reports of characteristically abnormal electrophoretic serum protein patterns in a high percentage of patients with myelomatosis (Table III). In contrast, serum protein patterns of the myeloma type have only rarely been encountered in patients not suffering from myelomatosis. In addition to the present four examples, five such cases, diagnosed respectively as hepatitis, gastric carcinoma, cirrhosis, degenerative arthritis, and carcinomatosis with metastases in bone and lungs, were described by Adams, Alling, and Lawrence (1949), and Dagnall (1954) has reported a further instance in a patient suffering from bronchitis, emphysema, and possibly bronchiectasis (Dagnall, personal communication). Other false-positive results have been reported in patients with conditions which fall into the category "lymphoid reticulosis" (McFarlane, Dovey, Slack, and Papastamatis, 1952; Griffiths and Gilchrist, 1953; Griffiths, Gilchrist, King, and Brew, 1954; Osserman and Lawlor, 1955; Hutchison, 1956). These cases, however, may represent an extreme variety of a disease more commonly presenting as myelomatosis. A myeloma type serum protein pattern is also present in macroglobulinaemia (Waldenström, 1944, 1948, 1952; Mandema, van der Schaaf, and Huisman, 1955), a condition characterized by the presence in serum of protein with a high molecular weight (S20 = 20 S). Such protein is stated not to occur in the serum of patients with myelomatosis (Waldenström, 1952; Putnam and Udin, 1953) although it was present in the serum of one patient believed to have myelomatosis described by Mandema et al. (1955). It is evident from these reports that the myeloma pattern is not specific to myelomatosis.

The urinary proteins of patients with multiple myeloma consist mainly or often entirely of a single globulin fraction migrating as a β or γ globulin. When renal damage is present other proteins, including albumin and the serum myeloma protein, appear (Gutman, Moore, Gutman, McClellan, and Kabat, 1941; Moore, Kabat, and Gutman, 1943; Rundles, Cooper, and

Fig. 4.—Histograms of haemoglobin, E.S.R., total plasma protein, and plasma globulin values in patients with myelomatosis at the time of diagnosis.
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Willett, 1951; Soulier, 1953; Dustin and Vis, 1954; Standaert, 1954; Slater and Kunkel, 1953; Osserman and Lawlor, 1955; ten Thije, 1956. Occasionally two globulin fractions have been noted in the urine. In contrast, the protein which occurs in small amounts in normal urine (Rigas and Heller, 1951; McGarry, Sehon, and Rose, 1955) or that in urine in other diseases, e.g., the nephrotic syndrome, consists of several proteins, including albumin, which usually predominates (Gutman, 1948; Soulier, 1953; Dustin and Vis, 1954; Standaert, 1954; Sellers and Marmo-ston, 1956). Thus it may be concluded from our findings, and from those of others, that a proteinuria in which the urinary protein consists mainly, or even entirely, of one or two globulin fractions is diagnostic of myelomatosis except, rarely, when Bence-Jones proteinuria accompanies some other condition, as is discussed below. This is of particular diagnostic value in cases where the plasma protein patterns show only minor changes, since, in these cases, proteinuria is especially common (Olhagen, Thorell, and Wising, 1949; Janssen, 1951; Snapper et al., 1953; Putnam and Udin, 1953).

Elevated total plasma protein and globulin levels have been reported in about 50% of cases (Table III). However, except when the elevation is considerable it is of little diagnostic value since similar findings occur in other conditions. Thus, King (1951) states that 1% of normal persons have total plasma protein concentrations greater than 8.2 g./100 ml. The examination of plasma proteins in a large series of hospital patients (Owen et al., 1956) revealed that 87% of the patients with total plasma proteins greater than 8 g./100 ml. and 60% of those with plasma globulin greater than 5 g./100 ml. suffered from diseases other than myelomatosis.

In the present series Bence-Jones protein was detected in only 27% of urines although the incidence of proteinuria (protein insoluble at 100°C) was much higher, as was found by Bayrd and Heck (1947), Soulier (1950), Snapper et al. (1953), and ten Thije (1956). From a practical point of view, the two main criteria on which the usual tests for Bence-Jones protein depend are unreliable. First, precipitation at relatively low temperatures (40°–60°C) occurs with various other serum and urinary proteins (the pseudobence-Jones of Snapper et al., 1953). This depends on the pH (Owen, unpublished data), as may be demonstrated with normal urine to which whole normal serum (assumed to contain negligible amounts of Bence-Jones protein) has been added. Secondly, reversible solution on heating Bence-Jones protein depends rather critically on the presence of other solutes, especially electrolytes (Marrack and Hoch, 1949; Soulier, 1953). Further, a considerable difference exists in the physico-chemical properties of the Bence-Jones proteins excreted by different patients (Moore et al., 1943; Rundles et al., 1951; Putnam and Stelos, 1953; Deutsch, 1955) so that conditions which are ideal for demonstrating the presence of one Bence-Jones protein may not be suitable for the demonstration of another. We have observed a case in which the urinary protein (a β globulin) had a molecular weight of about 35,000 but which did not show heat solubility either in the urine as passed or when isolated and added to normal urine, and similar findings have been reported by Dustin and Vis (1954).

It is concluded that, as an aid to diagnosis, tests for the presence of heat-soluble Bence-Jones protein as usually carried out tend to be of little value because of the technical difficulties discussed above, because of the relatively low incidence of "positive" results in myelomatosis, and because of the occasional "positive" results obtained in other conditions (Geschickter and Copeland, 1928; Bayrd and Heck, 1947; Reiner and Stern, 1953; see, however, Snap per et al., 1953). Possibly the more sensitive and, presumably more specific, precipitin test (Collier and Jackson, 1953) may be of greater value.

The common occurrence of an elevated E.S.R. and of anaemia requires little comment. It is perhaps of greater importance to emphasize the not infrequent occurrence of relatively low E.S.R. values. In the present series six patients had an E.S.R. less than 40 mm./hour.

Biopsy of marrow in the sternum or other site, or of tumour if present, is generally considered to be the most reliable single means of diagnosing myelomatosis. However, the diffuse nature of the disease process often makes repeated examinations necessary before a typically abnormal specimen of marrow is obtained, and difficulty in interpreting a moderate increase in the percentage of plasma cells is not infrequent. Further, there are many reports of plasmacytosis in marrow in diseases other than myelomatosis (Marchal and Mallet, 1948; Fadem and McBirnie, 1950; Hayhoe and Smith, 1951; Klein and Block, 1953; Hughes, 1954).

Over 80% of patients with myelomatosis show some abnormality on radiological examination. It is generally agreed, however, that there is no single pattern which is diagnostic of the disease (Bayrd
and Heck, 1947; Wallerstein, 1951; Heiser and Schwartzman, 1952). The most common appearance, occurring in about 70% of cases showing some abnormality, consists of multiple osteolytic defects scattered throughout the skeleton and is well known as the "classical" appearance.

Conclusions

In generalized myelomatosis the combined results of electrophoretic analysis of serum and urinary proteins are "diagnostic" more commonly than those given by any other procedure. Moreover, the specificity of the electrophoretic findings is high.

Biopsy, however, is of greater diagnostic value than analysis of either serum or urinary proteins alone. All other tests commonly employed are of less value.
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