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SYNOPSIS The rapid and simple slide test for infectious mononucleosis designed by Hoff and Bauer (1965) is designed and compared with a modified sheep-cell slide screening test (Maloney and Malzone, 1949) and the differential absorption technique (Davidson, 1937). The claim of Hoff and Bauer that their test is more ‘specific’ is substantiated. Brief clinical histories illustrate the argument.

Until the aetiology of infectious mononucleosis is ultimately defined, its diagnosis must necessarily be based on a composite of clinical, haematological, and serological criteria (Bender, 1958; Hoagland, 1960). These criteria are all non-specific and their pattern of positivity may vary, not only in different patients but also in the same patient according to the phase of the illness (Evans, 1960).

Since the first serological test for infectious mononucleosis was introduced by Paul and Bunnell in 1932, many additional and modified serological procedures have been described (Davidson and Lee, 1964). Comparative studies of the various techniques have often shown the results to be at variance, not only with each other, but also with the clinical and haematological findings.

Recently Hoff and Bauer (1965) described a rapid and simple slide test for infectious mononucleosis using a 4% saline suspension of formalin-treated horse erythrocytes as antigen. This paper describes a study undertaken to evaluate this new technique and to compare it with a modified sheep-cell slide screening test (Moloney and Malzone, 1949) and the differential absorption technique (Davidson, 1937).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

DENCO TEST FOR INFECTIOUS MONONUCLEOSIS This rapid slide test, known as the Mono-test in the U.S.A., was carried out according to the method of Hoff and Bauer (1965). The reagents were supplied through the courtesy of Denver Laboratories, Ltd., London.

SHEEP-CELL SCREENING TEST The screening procedure used in this laboratory for many years is a modification of the technique described by Moloney and Malzone (1949). Sheep cells, obtained twice weekly from the local abattoir, are washed three times with a minimum of 10 volumes of normal saline, centrifuged, and the packed cells are stored at 4°C. One drop of the test serum is added to one drop of a freshly prepared 10% suspension of the sheep cells on a glass slide and thoroughly mixed. Any macroscopic agglutination appearing within one minute is accepted as presumptive evidence of infectious mononucleosis and the serum is then tested by the differential absorption technique.

DIFFERENTIAL ABSORPTION TEST Complement is inactivated by heating the test serum at 56°C for 30 minutes. Samples of the inactivated serum, each of 0·25 ml., are then added to (a) 0·25 ml. of guinea-pig kidney emulsion (a 20% emulsion in saline, Oxoid) and (b) 0·25 ml. of ox-cell suspension (a 20% suspension in normal saline with 0·5% phenol as preservative, Oxoid). Absorption is allowed to proceed in both mixtures for one hour at room temperature before centrifuging. To the first of a series of 10 tubes (2 x 0·5), each tube containing 0·25 ml. of normal saline, is added 0·25 ml. of the supernatant from the guinea-pig kidney absorbed serum and then doubling dilutions made. Then 0·25 ml. of a freshly prepared 1% sheep cell suspension is added to each tube, thus giving 10 final dilutions ranging from 1:8 to 1:4,096. After mixing thoroughly, the test is left standing for 18 hours at room temperature before reading the end point of the titration, which is recorded as the last dilution showing macroscopic agglutination. The same procedure is followed in a second series of tubes except that the serum absorbed with ox cells is used. In this laboratory, the lowest titres accepted as being diagnostic are 1:64 before and 1:32 after absorption by guinea-pig kidney.

SERAS TESTED Two groups of sera were investigated: (a) control sera obtained from specimens submitted to the laboratory for miscellaneous serological tests including serum protein and electrophoretic analysis; and (b) ‘suspect’ sera obtained from patients with clinical and/or haematological features suggestive of infectious mononucleosis. Approximately 80% of all the sera tested were submitted by general practitioners in the North-Eastern Region.

All sera were screened by both the horse-cell and sheep-cell slide techniques and a differential absorption test was subsequently carried out on the sera reacting positively with both or either of the screening tests.
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For the purpose of this comparative study, the diagnosis of infectious mononucleosis was established solely on the basis of the conventionally accepted differential absorption test. That is, a patient showing the clinical and/or haematological features of the disease was considered to have the disease only if the differential absorption test was positive.

RESULTS

CONTROL SERA Of 1,000 control sera (Table I), five gave a positive horse-cell and 77 a positive sheep-cell screening test. One serum, that from patient 1 (see below), gave a positive reaction with both screening tests and a titre of 1:128 with the differential absorption test. This patient was regarded as an unsuspected but proven case of infectious mononucleosis.

Of the four remaining sera reacting positively with the horse-cell antigen, none gave a corresponding reaction with the sheep-cell screening test or a diagnostic titre with the differential absorption test. Two of these positive reactions were associated with dysproteinanaemias and autoimmune phenomena (patients 2 and 3), one with infective hepatitis (patient 4), and the other with rheumatoid arthritis (patient 5), although in this instance the patient may have been a convalescent case of infectious mononucleosis.

Of the 77 sera reacting positively with the sheep-cell screening test, none gave a corresponding reaction with the horse-cell antigen or a diagnostic titre with the differential absorption test apart from the serum of patient 1. No correlation was found to exist between the false positive reactions encountered with the sheep-cell test and the result of the tests originally requested.

As can be seen from Table I, the number of false positive reactions encountered with the horse-cell screening test (0.4%) is considerably less than that with the sheep-cell screening test (7.6%).

Patient 1 P.J., an 18-year-old female student, was admitted with vomiting, diarrhoea, and colicky abdominal pain of 36 hours' duration. She was a close contact of a patient convalescing from Sonné dysentery. Clinical examination was normal apart from deep epigastric tenderness. Widal agglutination and repeated stool cultures for intestinal pathogens were negative. The peripheral blood was normal. The patient's symptoms quickly subsided and she was discharged five days later. On the day of discharge, her serum was found to react positively with both screening tests for infectious mononucleosis and a differential absorption test was positive to a titre of 1:128. She was allowed home but subsequently complained of malaise and developed a sore throat with enlarged nodes in the posterior cervical and right axillary groups. No further haematological or serological tests were made.

Patient 2 M.F., a 67-year-old housewife with chronic systemic lupus erythematosus, was admitted with an acute attack of vomiting and diarrhoea. Stool cultures for intestinal pathogens were consistently negative and because all gastrointestinal investigations failed to demonstrate a cause for her symptoms, these were attributed to a 'lupoid' crisis. Her serum was found to give a consistently positive reaction with the horse-cell antigen but the sheep-cell screening and differential absorption tests remained negative. Apart from a mild normochromic, normocytic anaemia the peripheral blood was normal. The L.E. cell test showed numerous L.E. cells, including rosette formations. Other serological investigations were: rheumatoid arthritis latex, positive; Rose-Waaler, positive 1:2; L.E. latex, positive; antinuclear factor, positive; lupus precipitin test, negative; direct Coombs test, negative. Serum protein estimation and electrophoresis showed hyperglobulinaemia with increases in the $\alpha_1$ and $\gamma$ gamma fractions.

Patient 3 J.C., a 53-year-old woman, was referred for investigation and treatment of cavitating pulmonary tuberculosis. She also showed clinical features of hypothyroidism, the late result of radioactive-iodine treatment for thyrotoxicosis. All thyroid antibody tests were negative. Peripheral blood examination was normal apart from a mild hypochromic, microcytic anaemia. Her serum consistently gave a positive reaction with the horse-cell antigen but the sheep-cell screening and differential absorption tests remained negative. Other serological tests were: rheumatoid arthritis latex, positive; Rose-Waaler, positive 1:128; L.E. latex, negative; lupus precipitin test, positive; antinuclear factor, positive; L.E. cell test, negative. Serum protein estimation and electrophoresis showed hyperglobulinaemia with an atypical gammaglobulin fraction.

Patient 4 J. Mc., a 23-year-old man, was admitted as a suspected case of infective hepatitis. Before admission, he complained of tiredness for one month, anorexia and
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Sera</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Positive Horse-cell Slide Test</th>
<th>Positive Sheep-cell Slide Test</th>
<th>Positive Differential Absorption Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.S.O. titres</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid tests</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid tests</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wassermann reactions</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widal and Brucella agglutinations</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum protein analyses</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
occasional bouts of nausea for two weeks, and had noted jaundice with darkening of his urine for one week. No clinical features of infectious mononucleosis were present, but the liver was enlarged and tender on palpation. Serological testing revealed a consistently positive horse-cell test but negative sheep-cell and differential absorption tests. The peripheral blood showed a normal red cell picture; the total W.B.C. count was 5,900/c.mm. (neutrophils 37%, monocytes 4%, lymphocytes 59%). Occasional Türk, but no diagnostic 'glandular-fever' type cells were noted in the film. The serum bilirubin level was 10 mg./100 ml., the alkaline phosphatase 23 K.A. units, and the SGOT and SGPT were 235 and 453 units respectively. Clinical and laboratory findings were accepted as being consistent with infective hepatitis. On his discharge from hospital one month later, the patient felt well and the liver function tests had almost completely reverted to normal. The horse-cell screening test, however, remained positive.

Patient 5 W.F., a 38-year-old man, known to have had rheumatoid arthritis for four years, was admitted with an exacerbation of the joint symptoms. Clinical examination, however, revealed enlarged, discrete nodes in both groins and axillae. The liver and spleen were impalpable. His serum consistently gave a positive reaction with the horse-cell test but negative results with the sheep-cell and differential absorption tests. The peripheral blood was normal apart from a slight neutrophilia. The serum proteins were quantitatively and electrophoretically normal. The rheumatoid arthritis latex test and Rose-Waaler tests were positive. The patient recalled that he had a febrile illness accompanied by a sore throat three months before admission and hence it was felt that he may have been a convalescent case of infectious mononucleosis. Although his serum is regarded as giving a false-positive reaction, the patient remains under surveillance.

'SUSPECT SERA' Of the 366 sera obtained from patients with a clinical and/or haematological picture suggestive of infectious mononucleosis, 102 reacted positively with both screening tests. Ninety-eight of these gave diagnostic titres with the differential absorption test. Three sera, all from convalescent cases of infectious mononucleosis, gave differential absorption titres of 1:16 but as both had previously given diagnostic titres they are not regarded as false positive reactions. The persistence of horse-cell agglutinins after the disappearance of sheep-cell agglutinins in convalescent cases has been reported previously (Barrett, 1941). The remaining serum in this group (patient 6) failed to give diagnostic titres although the clinical and haematological features were typically those of infectious mononucleosis.

Ninety-two 'suspect' sera reacted positively with the sheep-cell screening test alone. Two of these sera however, both from adult male patients with short pyrexial illnesses of influenza type, gave differential absorption titres of 1:8 and 1:16 respectively. Neither patient had any clinical features of infectious mononucleosis, but both showed a relative lymphocytosis in their peripheral blood. Fourteen days later, the serological tests had become negative and the peripheral blood examinations normal. One further serum in this group, that from a convalescent case of infectious mononucleosis with the unusual complications of myocarditis and neuropathy, gave a consistently positive sheep-cell test with differential absorption titres varying from 1:16 to 1:32 between the fifth and ninth months of convalescence. Earlier in the illness, all serological tests had been positive and the peripheral blood and clinical findings were diagnostic of infectious mononucleosis, hence this serum is not regarded as giving a false positive reaction with the sheep-cell test or a false negative reaction with the horse-cell test.

Only two 'suspect' sera (patients 7 and 8) were found to give positive reactions with the horse-cell test alone. Both are regarded as giving false-positive reactions, although in both instances infectious mononucleosis was strongly suspected on clinical or haematological grounds.

The remaining 170 sera in this 'suspect' group gave negative reactions with both screening tests.

The results of this part of the study reveal an even more highly significant difference in the number of false-positive reactions obtained by the two screening procedures, 92 (25.13%) with the sheep-cell test and three (0.82%) with the horse-cell test. Further, the latter three sera were from cases (patients 6, 7, and 8), all of whom showed clinical or haematological features highly suggestive of infectious mononucleosis.

Patient 6 R.T., a 15-year-old girl, complained of malaise for two weeks before developing a low-grade pyrexia and sore throat with bilateral enlargement of cervical lymph nodes. The liver and spleen were not palpably enlarged. Following a five-day course of penicillin which brought about no clinical improvement, blood was submitted for laboratory examination. Both screening tests for infectious mononucleosis were positive but the differential absorption titre before and after absorption with guinea-pig kidney was only 1:16. The total white cell count was 7,200/c.mm. (34% neutrophils, 3% eosinophils, 60% lymphocytes, and 3% monocytes). Fairly numerous mononuclear cells of 'glandular-fever' type were seen in the stained film. These tests were unchanged when repeated 10 days later. Final follow-up examination after two months showed all three serological tests to be negative and the white cell picture normal. Clinically and haematologically this patient was accepted as having infectious mononucleosis, but in this study the serum is regarded as giving a false-positive reaction because of the repeated failure to demonstrate a diagnostic titre with the differential absorption test.

Patient 7 J.O., a 26-year-old woman, had a febrile illness accompanied by enlarged cervical nodes, a mild pharyngitis, and an enlarged and tender spleen. The patient's
sister had recently recovered from infectious mononucleosis, proven by serological and haematological tests. The patient’s serum, first examined four weeks after the onset of symptoms, gave a positive reaction with the horse-cell test but negative sheep-cell and differential absorption tests. The total white-cell count was 5,500/c.mm. (58% neutrophils, 2% eosinophils, 30% lymphocytes, and 10% monocytes). No diagnostic ‘glandular-fever’ type cells were seen in the stained film. At final follow-up examination two weeks later, the serological results were unchanged.

Patient 8 M.A., a 20-year-old woman, who was on a prolonged course of Grisofulvin for a chronic dermatophytosis, developed pyrexia, with pharyngitis and a few enlarged nodes in both posterior cervical chains. There was no palpable enlargement of the liver or spleen. The patient’s serum reacted positively with the horse-cell test alone. The total white cell count was 5,200/c.mm. (65% neutrophils, 32% lymphocytes, and 3% monocytes). Occasional mononuclear cells, morphologically indistinguishable from ‘glandular-fever’ type cells, were present in the film. The tests, when repeated 10 days later, remained unchanged. No further follow-up investigations were made.

The comparative studies just described clearly reveal the greater ‘specificity’ of the horse-cell screening test and the method was therefore adopted as the routine screening procedure in this laboratory.

Since then, a further 565 ‘suspect’ sera have been examined, 141 of which were found to give a positive slide-test reaction. One hundred and thirty-four of these had diagnostic differential absorption titres and another seven, all from convalescent patients with previous levels ranging from 1:128 to 1:4,096, gave titres of 1:16 or 1:32. The remaining serum (patient 8), in this positively reacting group, failed to give a diagnostic titre with the differential absorption test although the clinical and haematological findings were typically those of infectious mononucleosis.

Patient 9 J.P., a 6-year-old girl, presented with sore throat, low-grade fever, and moderate enlargement of cervical and axillary lymph nodes. The spleen was palpably enlarged. The horse-cell test was strongly positive but the differential absorption titre was only 1:16. The total white cell count was 9,400/c.mm. (5% neutrophils, 94% lymphocytes, and 1% monocytes). Numerous ‘glandular-fever’ type cells were present in the stained film. The serological tests gave identical results when repeated after intervals of 10 and 28 days, when clinical recovery was complete and the white cell picture normal.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study on control and ‘suspect’ serum groups clearly establishes the horse-cell slide test as the more ‘specific’ screening procedure and defines its diagnostic accuracy as closely approximating to that of the conventional differential absorption test. These findings support the claim of Hoff and Bauer (1965) that the new test is more ‘specific’ than the presumptive sheep-cell test.

The low incidence of false-positive reactions encountered with the new technique considerably reduces the number of sera subsequently requiring differential absorption tests, the practical importance of which lies in the ever-increasing number of requests for serological investigation of suspected cases of infectious mononucleosis. The dimension of this increase is reflected by our findings in the north-eastern area of Scotland where, in the quinquennium 1943-48, only 79 cases were diagnosed as a result of laboratory investigation (Fullerton and Smith, 1951). In comparison, during the period of 1960-64, 398 cases were found in the 2,992 heterophile antibody tests carried out in the same laboratory (Table II).

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total sera tested</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious mononucleosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive tests</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative tests</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If further experience confirms the high diagnostic accuracy so far achieved with the horse-cell test, it may be assumed that differential absorption techniques will become largely, if not completely, unnecessary for the routine diagnosis of infectious mononucleosis, although they will continue to be used for obtaining titres of positivity when these are required for comparative purposes.

Apart from its diagnostic accuracy, the horse-cell slide test is rapid and requires no specialized technical skill in its performance. Further advantages, especially in epidemiological and paediatric work, are that the amount of serum required for the test is easily obtainable from a fingerprick sample collected in a capillary tube, and the serum requires no heat inactivation of complement.
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