Letters to the Editor


[We have given the authors this opportunity to reply to the criticisms of Hirst and Howorth and King et al.—EDITOR]

Abbreviations for Names of Diagnostic Importance

A recent communication (Baron, Moss, Walker, and Wilkinson, 1971) is disturbing inasmuch as the group, although representatives of specified organizations, was acting without the authority of these bodies. In addition, the authors state that although the International Union of Biochemistry (1961, 1965) strongly discouraged the use of abbreviations for enzymes, and that this was still upheld, they nevertheless arbitrarily continue, 'we recommend . . .'

The pitfalls of abbreviations have been discussed elsewhere (King, 1969). The system put forward by Baron et al differentiates glutamate dehydrogenase and glutathione reductase as GMD and GTRD respectively, but what happens to glyceraldehyde dehydrogenase and glyoxalate reductase? Alcohol dehydrogenase is AD, and presumably adenosine deaminase would be ADS, trypsin is TPS, and triose phosphate isomerase, TPI. It becomes easier to remember and use the trivial name than the abbreviation and it requires little imagination to see what the computer-controlled data processing systems mentioned would do with some of these abbreviations.

A second communication from this group (Moss, Baron, Walker, and Wilkinson, 1971) deals with the 'standardization' of alkaline phosphatase assay and a further report on the standardization of aspartate and alanine transaminase is promised. This raises the problem of how many standardizations the world can expect, since the German Society of Clinical Chemistry (1970) have already published their standard assays for the transaminases, alkaline phosphatase, lactate dehydrogenase, 2-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase, creatine kinase, and 'leucine arylamidase'. The situation is even more complicated because the London group states that an 'expert panel' of the International Federation of Clinical Chemists has the same subject under discussion.

Doubtless it is natural progression from the first report which discards recommendations made by an international representative and authorized body to the later reports which disqualify such proposals before they are even made.

J. KING
A. R. HENDERSON
M. McQUEEN
Department of Biochemistry,
Royal Infirmary, Glasgow
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Correction

We regret that the list of references, now set out below, was not printed with the Letter to the Editor, 'A red herring in the detection of Bence Jones protein', by R. B. Payne (*J. clin. Path.*, 25, 183).
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Book reviews


This booklet could be useful although it is incomplete. There is no mention of the surface plate count and its advantages over the importance of anaerobic counts. There is no section on the isolation of *Clostridium welchii (perfringens)* which figures highly in both UK and USA statistics for food poisoning.

All the methods given appear in their 'Microorganisms in foods' by the International Committee on Microbiological Specifications for Foods.

Many media are available for the isolation and enumeration of coagulase-positive staphylococci so surely a reference method could have been chosen but the information is given.

In the salmonella section there is much guidance on the preparation of samples. The beneficial effect of incubation at 43°C for some liquid enrichment media is not given.

Methods for faecal streptococci, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, and *Bacillus cereus* are not given, but there is a clear description of the serological identification of the enteropathogenic *E. coli*.

BETTY C. HOBBS


This book contains the edited proceedings of an applied seminar held under the auspices of the Association of Clinical Scientists in March 1970. The book is divided into four parts: 'General toxicological considerations', 'General methodological considerations', 'Specific toxicogenic agents', and 'Clinicopathological considerations'. Fifty-five papers are presented over a very wide toxicological field. Papers range from mode of action of poisons, metabolism, toxicity of food additives.