

Comparison of techniques for distinguishing staphylococci and micrococci

LUCY BOSSCHER-ZONDERMAN AND INGRID SMITH
From the Hospital Epidemiology Unit, University
Hospital, Leiden, The Netherlands

In the past a variety of tests have been proposed for the differentiation of the genus *Staphylococcus* from *Micrococcus*. The most fundamental division between these two genera of Gram-positive cocci is in the DNA base composition: staphylococci have a guanine and cytosine (GC) content of about 30 to 40% and micrococci of about 50 to 75% (Kocur, Bergan, and Mortensen, 1971). The determination of the percentage GC content is, however, too tedious and time consuming for epidemiological work involving many specimens. Other methods used have consisted mainly of demonstrations of the ability of *Staphylococcus* species to grow and ferment glucose anaerobically; *Micrococcus* species do not have this ability; they are oxidative.

The principal taxonomic scheme for the *Micrococcaceae* is that of Baird-Parker (1963, 1965); this scheme uses the ability of *Staphylococcus* species to ferment glucose anaerobically and has been the basis of epidemiological studies in this department. During the course of these studies other methods, principally the thioglycollate medium of Evans and Kloos (1972) and the novobiocin sensitivity method

Received for publication 5 February 1973.

of Jeffries (1968), were also used. This paper reports a comparison of these methods.

Materials and Methods

Strains of Gram-positive, catalase-positive, coagulase-negative cocci isolated during epidemiological studies were used. *Staphylococcus aureus* phage propagating strains were used as control 'positive' organisms and *Sarcina* (tetrad forming, nonfermentative, aerobic cocci with a typical colony and yellow pigment) were used as 'negative' controls. Anaerobic glucose medium was prepared according to Baird-Parker (1963), thioglycollate according to Evans and Kloos (1972), and novobiocin sensitivity tests were carried out according to Jeffries' disc method on DST agar (Oxoid).

Results

The results are shown in table I. It can be seen that novobiocin was of no value in this series of strains; no explanation can be found. There was good agreement between the other two methods for strains classified as staphylococci (SII-SVI) by Baird-Parker's technique but not for those classified as micrococci (M1-M7). The distribution of strains from various surgical lesions was changed by substituting the results obtained from the thioglycollate medium of Evans and Kloos for the anaerobic glucose medium of Baird-Parker; the percentage of M3 strains was reduced but the differences are not statistically different (table II). M3 strains were obtained significantly more frequently from sites below the waist when grouped according to Baird-Parker ($P < 1\%$, $\chi^2 = 10.3$) but not when grouped according to Evans and Kloos ($P > 5\%$).

Results According to Baird-Parker Scheme	Anaerobic Growth (Evans and Kloos, 1972)		Novobiocin ¹		Total Strains Tested
	Staphylococcus	Micrococcus	Sensitive	Resistant	
<i>Staph. aureus</i>	23	0	22	1	23
SII	123	2	115	10	125
SIII	9	0	7	2	9
SIV	1	0	1	0	1
SV	14	0	14	0	14
SVI	30	4	34	0	34
M1	14	1	15	0	15
M2	16	5	21	0	21
M3	11	19	29	1	30
M4	0	1	1	0	1
M5	5	4	8	1	9
M6	0	1	0	1	1
<i>Sarcina</i>	0	18	17	1	18

Table I Distinguishing staphylococci from micrococci

¹Jeffries (1968) found that staphylococci and M7 were sensitive whilst micrococcus species M1 to M6 were resistant.
S = Staphylococcus M = Micrococcus

Organism	Percentage Distribution by Site							
	Abdomen		Leg		Perineum		Other	
Anaerobic Glucose Medium								
	Baird-Parker ¹	Evans and Kloos	Baird-Parker	Evans and Kloos	Baird-Parker	Evans and Kloos	Baird-Parker	Evans and Kloos
SII	53	57	34	41	42	48	51	56
SIII	4	4	3	3	2	2	4	4
SIV	1	4	0	9	0	0	0	11
SV	3	3	6	3	6	4	7	9
SVI	17	16	6	22	20	28	7	11
M1	5	0	9	0	4	2	6	0
M2	6	3	9	3	4	0	12	2
M3	7	10	22	13	20	10	7	6
M4	1	1	0	0	0	4	0	0
M5	1	1	9	6	0	0	6	0
M6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total strains	94		32		49		85	

Table II Distribution of strains according to sites of lesions

¹Baird-Parker = Anaerobic glucose according to Baird-Parker classification.

²Evans and Kloos = Anaerobic glucose (thioglycollate) medium of Evans and Kloos.

S = *Staphylococcus*

M = *Micrococcus*

Comment

Evans and Kloos reported their thioglycollate medium to give results which more closely approached the percentage GC content than did others. In the present series the *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Sarcina* sp gave results according to expectation. *St. epidermidis* strains (Baird-Parker's SII, III, IV, V, VI) gave agreement in all except six (3%) strains. The equivocal groups were those identified as *Micrococcus saprophyticus* (M1, 2, and 3) and *M. lactis* (M5 and 6) according to Baird-Parker, for over 60% of these strains were *Staphylococcus* species using the medium of Evans and Kloos (1972). This is not surprising for it has long been known that such strains, whilst lacking or having a poor ability to ferment glucose anaerobically, may nevertheless have a low GC value (Silvestri and Hill, 1965; Auletta and Kennedy, 1966). This survey has identified S II and S VI as the most common strains in wounds with M 3 strains common in lesions of the legs and perineum but not of the abdomen or other sites. This is in agreement with the studies of others. Holt (1969) found S II to be the organism responsible for infection of ventriculoatrial shunts in babies with hydrocephalus. Coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from blood stream infections are also of this type (Rames, Wise, Goodman, and Piel, 1970). Urinary tract infection in patients outside the hospital environment is most frequently with type M 3

(Mitchell, 1968). Few other studies have been reported of complete characterization of cocci from surgical lesions.

These strains were collected during studies on the epidemiology of wound infection. We are grateful to the members of the Infection Committee for permission to publish this material, to Mr M. H. Rebel and Sister G. Berbee who collected specimens, and to Dr W. C. Noble for help and advice.

References

Auletta, A. E., and Kennedy, E. R. (1966). Deoxyribonucleic acid base composition of some members of the Micrococcaceae. *J. Bact.*, **92**, 28-34.

Baird-Parker, A. C. (1963). A classification of micrococci and staphylococci based on physiological and biochemical tests. *J. gen. Microbiol.*, **30**, 409-427.

Baird-Parker, A. C. (1965). The classification of staphylococci and micrococci from world-wide sources. *J. gen. Microbiol.*, **38**, 363-387.

Evans, J. B., and Kloos, W. E. (1972). Use of shake cultures in a semi-solid thioglycollate medium for differentiating staphylococci from micrococci. *Appl. Microbiol.*, **23**, 326-331.

Holt, R. J. (1969). The classification of staphylococci from colonized ventriculo-atrial shunts. *J. clin. Path.*, **22**, 475-482.

Jeffries, L. (1968). Sensitivity to novobiocin and lysozyme in the classification of micrococcaceae. *J. appl. Bact.*, **31**, 436-442.

Kocur, M., Bergan, T., and Mortensen, N. (1971). DNA base composition of gram-positive cocci. *J. gen. Microbiol.*, **69**, 167-183.

Mitchell, R. G. (1968). Classification of *Staphylococcus albus* strains isolated from the urinary tract. *J. clin. Path.*, **21**, 93-96.

Rames, L. Wise, B., Goodman, J. R., and Piel, C. F. (1970). Renal disease with *Staphylococcus albus* bacteremia; a complication in ventriculoatrial shunts. *J. Amer. med. Ass.*, **212**, 1671-1677.

Silvestri, L. G., and Hill, L. R. (1965). Agreement between deoxyribonucleic acid base composition and taxometric classification of Gram-positive cocci. *J. Bact.*, **90**, 136-140.