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SYNOPSIS  An extremely rapid radioimmunoassay for digoxin is described which is precise over the range of concentrations required to determine whether, or not, a patient has digoxin toxicity. The assay is based on the use of $^{125}$-iodine-labelled digoxin and of a gel equilibration technique for the separation of antibody-bound and free digoxin. The results obtained compare closely with those by a conventional radioimmunoassay and the technique is sufficiently simple to enable its performance by relatively inexperienced laboratory staff.

Since Butler and Chen (1967) described a method for producing antibodies to the cardiac glycosides, many radioimmunoassays for digoxin have been developed. It is now becoming apparent that a variety of such assays are needed according to the nature and urgency of a request.

1. A rapid but relatively insensitive assay is required for urgent samples from patients with suspected digoxin toxicity. Such requests are relatively infrequent; however, results are required with a minimum of delay and the assay should cover the clinically important range of concentrations (1 to 4 ng/ml). Furthermore, the assay should, ideally, be so simple technically that it can be performed by laboratory personnel who are inexperienced in radioimmunoassay, since it is unlikely that experienced radioimmunoassayists will always be available.

2. A routine assay is required suitable for large numbers of samples from patients maintained on digoxin to ensure adequate control of their therapy. In this situation a rapid result is unnecessary but attention should be given to precision and cost.

3. A highly sensitive assay is required suitable for research samples and for the very small blood samples obtained by heelprick in neonatal practice.

This paper describes an assay developed to fulfil the requirements of the first type. It is based on the use of $^{125}$-iodine-labelled digoxin as tracer and of a gel equilibration technique for the separation of antibody-bound and free digoxin.

Materials and Methods

Dixogin standards, ranging from 0.5 to 8.0 ng/ml, were made up in digoxin-free plasma from stock. Received for publication 8 March 1974.
made using a Finnpipette (Jencons Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, Herts) with a precision of ±1%.

The vials were equilibrated on a slowly rotating mixer at room temperature for 15 minutes and then left to stand. The gel settled quickly and 1 ml of the supernatant, containing antibody-bound digoxin, was transferred to a plastic tube and counted for 10 seconds, using a manual gamma counter (Wilj Electronics Limited, Ashford, Kent). A standard curve was obtained by plotting counts on the ordinate against the digoxin concentrations of the digoxin concentrations of the standards expressed logarithmically on the abscissa. The digoxin concentration in the samples was then read from the standard curve.

SAMPLIES
A total of 100 samples from patients receiving digoxin and 20 samples from subjects who had never received the drug were assayed by both methods. A plasma pool with a digoxin concentration within the clinically important range was assayed in duplicate 11 times by each method and repeated for six assays to determine 'within' and 'between' batch precision.

Results

ASSESSMENT OF THE SUITABILITY OF GEL EQUILIBRATION FOR THE ASSAY OF DIGOXIN

Preliminary studies were performed to assess the suitability of the gel equilibration technique for the assay and the nature of the separation of the antibody-bound and free fractions. The partition of 125I-digoxin between the supernatant and gel in the reaction vial was studied with and without the addition of antiserum and of an excess of unlabelled digoxin (table I).

When 500 pg of 125I-digoxin was added to 5 ml of buffer alone, 1 ml of the solution generated 447 cps, and the counts obtained were unaffected by the presence of an excess of antibody and/or of unlabelled digoxin.

The same amount of digoxin was added to 5 ml of buffer containing 1 g of gel, such that most of the buffer was within the matrices of the gel, 1 ml of the supernatant generated 406 cps. This indicates that the 125I-digoxin also freely permeated the gel and that, since only 90% of the expected counts were obtained in the supernatant, the gel must be acting as an adsorbent as well as a molecular sieve. Addition of antibody (which is excluded by the gel) results in the binding of some labelled digoxin and, therefore, an increase in the counts obtained with 1 ml of the supernatant to 680 cps. Addition of a large excess of unlabelled digoxin, which competes with the 125I-digoxin so that less is bound, results in most of the radioactivity being in the free fraction, so that it can again permeate into the matrices of the gel—as evidenced by a decrease of counts in 1 ml of the supernatant to 422 cps. As would be expected, an inverse relationship was found between the amount of unlabelled digoxin added and the radioactivity present in 1 ml of supernatant, over the range 422 to 680 cps.

DEVELOPMENT OF NEW ASSAY METHOD

Conditions were selected to improve the rapidity of the assay while maintaining precision over the most relevant clinical range to determine digoxin toxicity (1 to 4 ng/ml). It was essential to use a large amount of labelled digoxin in each vial to ensure that sufficient counts were obtained in 10 seconds to reduce counting error to less than 2%.

Standard curves were compared using 250 pg and 500 pg of 125I-digoxin and the latter was chosen as the optimum concentration since it gave sufficient counts while maintaining the required sensitivity.

Optimum precision over the range 1 to 4 ng/ml was obtained by the addition of 200 μl of plasma sample (or standard) together with 100 μl of 1:250 dilution of antiserum. At these high concentrations of reactants the time taken to reach equilibrium was reduced to less than one hour and, for emergency purposes, an incubation period of 15 minutes was adequate (fig 1).

Prior addition of labelled digoxin to the vials did not significantly affect the results; however, it was not possible to add both 125I-digoxin and antiserum before addition to the sample since, under these circumstances, the unlabelled digoxin was unable to compete for antibody-binding sites and there was no significant change in the number of counts present in 1 ml of supernatant.

Standard curves were compared using 5 ml and 6 ml of buffer in the vials. The former was chosen
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Fig 1 The effect on the standard curve of varying the time of incubation for 15 (●), 30 (▲), or 60 minutes (■).

as a compromise between a large volume, which enabled the easy removal of 1 ml of supernatant, and a small volume when a high concentration of antibody-bound 125I-digoxin is obtained in the supernatant.

Once equilibrium had been reached the incubation mixture could be left for at least four hours before removal of the supernatant for counting, without affecting the result.

VALIDATION OF THE NEW ASSAY

The range of the assay was from 0.5 to 8.0 ng/ml. Its specificity was tested by assaying plasma samples from 20 patients who were not receiving digoxin and none was detected.

When a single sample of digoxin-free plasma, to which a known amount of digoxin had been added, was assayed 10 times in duplicate, the mean value obtained was 2.5 ± 0.2 ng/ml with a ‘within-assay’ coefficient of variation of 9.3% and an accuracy of 93 ± 3%. This study was repeated on six different days when the mean of all the assays was 2.7 ± 0.18 ng/ml and the ‘between-assay’ coefficient of variation was 6.9%.

The results obtained with serial dilutions of a patient’s plasma, containing a high concentration of digoxin, when plotted semilogarithmically gave a curve parallel to the standard curve (fig 2).

Correlation of the results obtained on 100 samples assayed by this method and by the conventional assay, using 3H digoxin, was linear (fig 3) with an r value of 0.87. The samples with a digoxin concentration greater than 2.5 ng/ml using the 125I-digoxin assay had a mean value (3.6 ng/ml) significantly higher (p < 0.001) than that obtained with the conventional assay (2.7 ng/ml). Values below 2.5 ng/ml, however, did not show this difference (125I-digoxin assay, mean 1.19 ng/ml; 3H digoxin assay, mean 1.12 ng/ml).

Discussion

Several radioimmunoassay methods for digoxin have been described, some of which are summarized...
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Fig 3 Correlation of plasma digoxin estimations by this assay, using 125I-labelled digoxin, and the conventional assay, using 3H digoxin.

in table II. The advantages of the digoxin assay method discussed in this paper, which make it suitable for emergency purposes, are its speed, due to the high concentrations of reactants used and the high counts obtained with a gamma emitting isotope, and its simplicity, due to the use of a gel equilibration technique for separating bound and free digoxin.

The use of gamma-emitting isotopes in radioimmunoassay has several advantages over beta-emitting isotopes, such as 3H and 14C. The assay is simplified and is less expensive because the antibody-bound 125I-digoxin in the supernatant can be counted directly without the addition of scintillant and it is unnecessary to heat the supernatant to denature protein and, thereby, disrupt the antibody-digoxin complex. Counting is not affected by quenching as when beta-emitting isotopes are used, when improper correction for chemiluminescence or for chemical or colour quenching in haemolysed or jaundiced specimens may cause considerable inaccuracies. Furthermore, counting time is very much reduced using 125I-digoxin due to its high specific activity. Thus, in this assay, 1000 counts per second were obtained for the zero standard and counting times could be standardized at 10 seconds, whereas, using 3H-digoxin, the counting time must be prolonged in order to obtain sufficient counts to keep the counting error below 2%. It must be noted, however, that plasma digoxin results using the rapid assay, with 125I-digoxin, are higher at concentrations greater than 2.5 ng/ml than those using the conventional assay with 3H-digoxin. This has also been noticed by us with our 125I-digoxin assay using charcoal to separate antibody-bound and free digoxin, and by Hansel, Hansen, and Herrera (1973). But Burnett, Conklin, Wasson, and MacKinney (1973) found the reverse. We are not able to explain this, but clinically, results greater than 2 ng/ml may be associated with toxicity and results less than 2 ng/ml exclude this.

Most radioimmunoassays for digoxin developed to date, whether using 3H-digoxin (Smith, Butler, and Haber, 1969; Chamberlain et al, 1970) or 125I-digoxin (Horgan and Riley, 1973), employ adsorption of the free fraction onto dextran-coated

---

### Table II Available radioimmunoassays for digoxin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Separation Technique</th>
<th>Estimated Time of Assay</th>
<th>Range of Assay (ng/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al (1969)</td>
<td>3H</td>
<td>Adsorption of free label onto dextran-coated charcoal</td>
<td>More than 1 hour</td>
<td>0-2-10-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamberlain et al (1970)</td>
<td>3H</td>
<td>Dextran-coated charcoal</td>
<td>More than 1 hour</td>
<td>0-5-8-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evered et al (1970)</td>
<td>3H</td>
<td>Dextran-coated charcoal</td>
<td>More than 1 hour</td>
<td>0-2-8-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver et al (1971)</td>
<td>3H</td>
<td>Dextran-coated charcoal</td>
<td>2-3 hours</td>
<td>0-25-5-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoesch &amp; Proveda (1971)</td>
<td>3H</td>
<td>Dextran-coated charcoal</td>
<td>More than 1 hour</td>
<td>0-2-10-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meade &amp; Kleist (1972)</td>
<td>3H</td>
<td>Somogyi precipitation (ZnSO4 + NaOH)</td>
<td>1-4 hours</td>
<td>0-4-10-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lader et al (1972)</td>
<td>3H</td>
<td>Albumin-coated charcoal</td>
<td>More than 1 hour</td>
<td>0-5-4-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett and Cohen (1972)</td>
<td>3H</td>
<td>Polyethylene glycol precipitation</td>
<td>More than 1 hour</td>
<td>1-0-5-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanoxitest (Wellcome Reagents Ltd)</td>
<td>$^{125}$I-labelled digoxin-tyrosine conjugate</td>
<td>Double antibody (Hales and Randle, 1963)</td>
<td>More than 2 hours</td>
<td>0-5-6-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horgan and Riley (1973)</td>
<td>$^{125}$I-labelled 3-O-succinyl-digoxigenic tyrosine derivative</td>
<td>Dextran-coated charcoal</td>
<td>More than 1 hour</td>
<td>0-2-8-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This assay</td>
<td>$^{125}$I-labelled digoxin-tyrosine conjugate</td>
<td>Gel equilibration</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>0-5-8-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
charcoal for separating the bound and free digoxin. Other separation techniques have also been used in which the antibody-bound digoxin is precipitated by zinc sulphate (Meade and Kleist, 1972), by polyethylene glycol (Barrett and Cohen, 1972), or by a second antibody (Wellcome Lanoxitest γ). Such methods overcome the problem of time-dependence in the separation step which has been reported with charcoal; however, they increase the complexity and the time of assay thereby limiting its clinical application.

The gel equilibration technique is generally applicable to assays of the competitive protein-binding type and has several advantages over other physico-chemical or immunological methods. The whole reaction takes place in the presence of the gel and, after standing for one minute, an aliquot of supernatant can be removed and counted without centrifugation. The dynamic equilibrium between antibody and antigen is unaffected by the removal of 1 ml of supernatant for counting and, therefore, the separation is not time dependent. The action of the gel with digoxin is not merely as a 'molecular sieve' since some adsorption occurs, as evidenced by studies on the partition of digoxin between buffer and gel. This slight adsorption effect, also noted by others for steroid (Pearlman and Crepy, 1967) and non-steroid molecules (Géolotte, 1960), is constant over a wide range of digoxin concentrations and does not appear to affect the assay.

Satisfactory precision and accuracy were obtained and the sensitivity of the assay is sufficient for the purpose for which it is designed.

Developed in kit form, with 125I-digoxin dispensed in the vials together with the gel and buffer, the assay would be simpler, quicker and more precise. Operator error would be reduced to a minimum since only the addition of the plasma sample (or standard) and the antiserum would be required, and precision could be further improved by using a highly precise pipette. The assay, in this form, used in conjunction with the small inexpensive, manual Wilj gamma counter (which we found both accurate and convenient) would enable a plasma digoxin concentration to be determined rapidly by staff inexperienced in radioimmunoassay and would avoid the need for expensive equipment.
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