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Since the introduction of the antibiotics, the disc technique has been used by various workers for their assay (Vincent and Vincent, 1944; Epstein, Foley, Perrine, and Lee, 1944; Heatley, 1944), and also for the detection of bacterial sensitivities (Morley, 1945).

An attempt has been made to standardize the method of preparing and storing the disc impregnated with antibiotic to determine bacterial sensitivity within 18 hours' incubation. To accomplish this the discs must give a constant standard zone of growth inhibition when tested with a known sensitive organism, be conveniently prepared and dried, and have a sufficiently high concentration of the antibiotic impregnated into them to remain stable when stored.

Each disc is a standard size and is impregnated with a standard volume and concentration of the antibiotic. It was found, after experimenting with various-sized discs, that a 9 mm. disc cut from "No. 633 Hayle Mills" blotting-paper would absorb approximately the whole volume of one drop (0.02 ml.) from a 50-dropping pipette. As the discs are dried after impregnation by leaving them in an open incubator for two hours, it was found necessary to use a much higher concentration of antibiotic than has been used by previous workers (Morley, 1945). The concentration ultimately used gave a zone sufficiently large for measurement, and, at the same time, without a tendency to inhibit completely the growth of the pool inoculum.

Neither the size of the inoculum nor the degree of moisture on the plates seems to have an appreciable effect on the zoning. It has been found necessary to place the discs in an optimum position (Fig. 1), first, to avoid completely inhibiting the growth of

Fig. 1.—Diagram showing disc in optimum position to prevent complete inhibition of growth when inoculum is small.
inoculum and, secondly, to show the sensitivity of isolated colonies. The disc should not be less than 15 mm. from the edge of the plate, as there appears to be a reflection wave effect from the edge of the plate which gives inaccurate zoning. The discs cannot be used on penicillinase plates; in cases treated with penicillin a primary isolation of bacteria has to be made before discs can be used for testing their sensitivity.

**Dried Penicillin Discs**

**Method of Preparation.**—Using "No. 633 Hayle Mills" blotting-paper, discs are cut out with a 9 mm. cork borer, and are then separated out in a large size petri dish and sterilized in a hot air oven. Each disc is then impregnated with 1 drop (0.02 ml.) of a freshly made solution of 1,000 units penicillin per ml. using a 50-dropper pipette. The discs are dried in an incubator for two hours with the lid of the petri dish slightly open. Any moisture forming in the lid of the petri dish is removed with sterile filter paper and the discs are then stored in the refrigerator. They are stable for six to eight months.

**Sensitivity Interpretation.**—Measurements are made from the edge of the disc to the line of growth.

**Examples.**—*Staph. Mayo* gives 15 mm. = 0.025 unit per ml. tube sensitivity sensitive organism

*Staph. 25W* (Watford strain) gives 8 mm. = 0.25 unit per ml. tube sensitivity moderately sensitive organism.

*Staph. 16X* (Watford strain) gives 3 mm. = 0.3 unit per ml. tube sensitivity slightly sensitive organism.

*Staph. 19BY* (Watford strain) gives nil = 0.5 unit per ml. tube sensitivity resistant organism.

**Dried Streptomycin Discs**

**Method of Preparation.**—Discs are prepared and sterilized as for penicillin, and are then impregnated with 10,000 μg. per ml. streptomycin and dried as before. The discs are stable for about six months.

**Sensitivity Interpretation.**—Measurements are made from the edge of the disc to the line of growth.

**Examples.**—*Bact. coli* (Watford strain) gives 12 mm. = 10−5 μg. per ml. tube sensitivity sensitive organism.

*Micrococcus 14W* (Watford strain) gives no zone = 200 μg. per ml. tube sensitivity resistant organism.

**Dried Aureomycin Discs**

**Method of Preparation.**—Discs are prepared and sterilized as for penicillin and are then impregnated with a 2,500 μg. per ml. solution of aureomycin, and dried as before. The 2,500 μg. per ml. solution of aureomycin was prepared by dissolving the hydrochloride salt in sterile distilled water, buffered with acetate at pH 5. Owing to the instability of aureomycin in solution, reliable methods for tube sensitivity testing were not found, but although the values given are not absolute, they are at least relative, and in point of fact do correspond respectively with the zoning. These discs to date have been stable for six months.

**Sensitivity Interpretation.**—Measurements are made from the edge of the disc to the line of growth.
Examples.—Staph. Mayo gives 12 mm. = 0.25 μg. per ml. tube sensitivity : sensitive organism.
Bact. coli (Watford strain) gives 3 mm. = 2.5 μg. per ml. tube sensitivity : moderately sensitive organism.
Proteus (Watford strain) gives nil = 20 μg. per ml. tube sensitivity : resistant organism.
Staph. 19BY (Watford strain) gives 12 mm. = 0.25 μg. per ml. tube sensitivity : a sensitive penicillinase-producing Staph.

Dried Chloromycetin Discs

Method of Preparation.—Discs are prepared and sterilized as for penicillin and are then impregnated with a solution of 2,500 μg. per ml. of chloromycetin and dried as before. To date the discs have been stable for two months.

Sensitivity Interpretation.—Measurements are made from the edge of the disc to the line of growth.

Examples.—Staph. Mayo gives 11 mm. = 3.1 μg. per ml. tube sensitivity : sensitive organism.
Bact. coli (Watford strain) gives 9 mm. = 6.2 μg. per ml. tube sensitivity : moderately sensitive organism.
Proteus (Watford strain) gives 8 mm. = 12.5 μg. per ml. tube sensitivity : slightly sensitive organism.

Table I analyses quantitatively certain bacterial sensitivities to penicillin, streptomycin, aureomycin, and chloromycetin.

TABLE I
AN APPROXIMATE QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION OF BACTERIAL SENSITIVITY TO ANTIBIOTICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Concentration in Disc</th>
<th>Test Organism</th>
<th>Zoning (mm.)</th>
<th>Corresponding Tube Sensitivity</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>1,000 units per ml.</td>
<td>Staph. Mayo</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.025 unit per ml.</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Staph. 25W</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.25 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Moderately sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Staph. 16X</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Slightly sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Staph. 19BY</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>10,000 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Bact. coli</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10–5 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Micrococcus 14W</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aureomycin</td>
<td>2,500 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Staph. Mayo</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.25 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bact. coli</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Moderately sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proteus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Staph. 19BY</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.25 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(penicillinase-producer)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.25 μg. per ml.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloromycetin</td>
<td>2,500 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Staph. Mayo</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.1 μg. per ml.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bact. coli</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.2 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Moderately sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proteus</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.5 μg. per ml.</td>
<td>Slightly sensitive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

A description is given of a method of determining the sensitivities of bacteria to the antibiotics by means of impregnated and dried blotting-paper discs. The method aims at standardizing the interpretation of this disc method and is for use in a routine laboratory, the discs being applied to the plates that have been sown direct with the material under investigation.

A zone of inhibition is given which is related to the concentration of the antibiotic necessary to inhibit growth. Measurement of the zones is a fairly accurate guide to the sensitivity of the organism, and therefore to the adequacy of the antibiotic dosage.

The discs are easily prepared and dried, convenient to handle, being immediately ready for use, and can be stored without loss of potency within a known period of time.
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step in any technique is carefully explained. The book will without doubt become a standard manual, but it will probably have to grow in size, as it becomes necessary to provide alternative methods for the more enquiring and this will undoubtedly enhance its value.

A. GORDON SIGNY.

**Jordan-Burrows Textbook of Bacteriology.**

"Jordan and Burrows," now in its fifteenth edition with Professor W. Burrows as editor and principal author, has long been a popular textbook of bacteriology in America. Designed originally as a textbook for the undergraduate student, this present volume deals with some aspects of general bacteriology in greater detail than is required to meet the needs of our medical students. The chapter on bacterial physiology, for example, runs to 75 pages. But it should be added that the introductory chapters on bacterial variation, virulence, transmission of infection, and immunity are very readable and remarkably up to date. The chapters on individual pathogens are essentially practical; the infections with which they are associated are briefly described, the methods for laboratory diagnosis are given, and the epidemiology and control of the infection are adequately discussed. There are comprehensive chapters on medical mycology and parasitology, and Dr. F. B. Gordon contributes three chapters on filterable viruses, the virus infections of man, and bacteriophage.

Pleasant features in an American textbook are the frequent references to British work and a rather conservative attitude to new bacterial terminology. The book is beautifully produced and the illustrations and microphotographs are excellent.

ROBERT CRUICKSHANK.

**ERRATA**

Dr. Prunty writes: "My attention has been drawn to an error on page 104 (May, 1950). At the bottom of the section on eosinophil counts appear the words 'total count multiplied by 0.625.' This should read 'total count multiplied by $\frac{100}{64}$.'"

Dr. B. A. Thompson writes: "Further to my paper 'Dried Disc Technique for Determining Sensitivity to the Antibiotics' published in the May issue, I regret to say that I was misinformed on the name of the filter paper I used. The paper used was Postlip Mills No. 633 filter paper or Green's No. 401 filter paper."