


The authors have commented as follows:

As we stated in our paper (Ellard and Greenfield, 1977), our urine-test method for monitoring the ingestion of isoniazid used the reaction procedure we reported previously for quantitatively determining isonicotinic acid and isonicotinylglycine (Ellard et al., 1972). In this previous paper we described how the procedure had been modified from that originally described by Nielsch in order to improve its reproducibility and sensitivity. The results set out in our paper demonstrated that, employing this modification, concentrations of down to about 0.75 μg/ml of isonicotinic acid and isonicotinylglycine could be reliably detected in urine. This indicates that our isonicotinic acid urine-test method is considerably more sensitive than the Belles and Littleman, and Kasik modifications (to about 2.5 and 10 μg/ml isonicotinic acid, respectively).

Notwithstanding Dr Eidus’ surprise with some of our results, there can be no doubt from the results given in our paper and the experience of others who have tried both methods that our new isonicotinic acid method is much more sensitive than the Eidus and Hamilton acetylisoniazid procedure. The acetylisoniazid method has been of great use in the past for monitoring the regularity of ingestion of isoniazid but it is now being replaced by our more sensitive isonicotinic acid method in current British Medical Research Council studies for the practical reason that it enables test urine samples to be collected at any time. The centres that have made the decision to use the new method have felt that this advantage outweighs the slightly more elaborate nature of the procedure as compared to the Eidus and Hamilton acetylisoniazid method.
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**Anaerobic blood culture media**

The article by Collee et al. (1977) raises some interesting problems. There must be better methods of obtaining an anaerobic headspace than by the method suggested, which seems to be erratic. The commercial method used by Difco, which seems to be the best so far, is to fill the vacuum in the autoclave with carbon dioxide and then screw a false lid down onto the bottles, forcing the bungs into place. As the autoclave cools still further, a vacuum is created in the bottles. After taking these elaborate procedures to produce anaerobically sterilised media, it seems a curious practice carefully to remove the bungs, thereby losing the vacuum the media had. Consequently, poor isolation of anaerobes may occur and the chances of contamination are much greater. This is due, firstly, to the shortness of the bung and, secondly, to the vacuum being released, thereby sucking air rapidly into the bottle. The bungs are invariably wet around the neck and this liquid will be contaminated fairly easily. The suggestion of using syringes and needles to subculture is a very practical method and has been practised in this department since the introduction of Thiol broth for the past four years. This difference in inoculation and sampling procedures may account for the differing results obtained by Collee et al. (op cit) compared with my own (Szwatkowski, 1976). I agree with the authors that it is very difficult to compare the costs of laboratory-made media and commercially produced media. Firstly, Thiol broth is £31.00 for 100 (Difco) bottles; any media transported from London to Edinburgh (including Oxoid’s Brain Heart Infusion broth) incurs a carriage fee. Southern Group Laboratories (a non-profit making laboratory) sell 50 ml of cooked meat medium at £16.80 for 100 bottles. Gibco Biocult will supply Brain Heart Infusion broth with cooked meat particles (as suggested by Collee et al.) at £48.00 per 100 as well as Thiol at £27.00 for 100; therefore Thiol is not the most expensive.

Nowhere in my article (Szwatkowski, 1976) did I suggest that Thiol should be used on its own as a general purpose blood culture medium. Thiol has several advantages. Firstly, in this laboratory we have found that it yielded anaerobes far more rapidly than the other media tested. Secondly, it inactivated the antibiotics listed in the article; and, thirdly, it was found that Thiol grew facultative anaerobes on average 24 hours earlier than the aerobic or vented bottles.

In this laboratory, as a routine ‘set’ of blood cultures, three bottles are used: Thiol, tryptic soy broth, and Southern Group nutrient broth with a castenada slope. It is very difficult to find a medium which will universally support the growth of the variety of organisms that can be cultured from blood. Consequently, one set can be changed at will, depending on the type of patient who is being screened.

As Collee et al. (op cit) say, it is very difficult to simulate clinical microbiological problems in a carefully controlled laboratory model. I would suggest that ‘the proof of the pudding is in the eating’ and the only way to compare the media is to use them in the clinical cases of bacteraemia.
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**Diagnosis of Candida vulvovaginitis**

Jones and Warnock (1977) comment that Stanley and Hurley (1974) omitted to determine the incidence of candida precipitins in pregnant women harbouring yeasts as commensals in the vagina. The 200 patients whom we studied were selected because of the high probability of mycotic vulvovaginitis among them; all harboured yeasts and many had symptoms suggestive of vaginitis (Table II). In Table III we contrasted the percentage incidence of...