Detection of monoclonal immunoglobulins by immunoelectrophoresis: a possible source of error
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SUMMARY The technique of immunoelectrophoresis (IEP) is widely employed in the qualitative analysis of serum immunoglobulins. The most commonly used support media are agarose or agar gels, but the mobility of immunoglobulins is different in these two media. The presence of a small amount of a cathodal monoclonal immunoglobulin G may not be detected on IEP in agar if it is masked by larger amounts of polyclonal immunoglobulin of the same class. In these circumstances the use of agarose imparts to the monoclonal protein a different mobility from that of the bulk of the serum IgG and allows its positive identification.

Since its introduction1 immunoelectrophoresis (IEP) has proved a valuable tool in the qualitative investigation of proteins, particularly human serum immunoglobulins. Classically, the technique involves the separation of proteins by electrophoresis in a gel support medium, followed by visualisation of the separated proteins using specific antisera. Initial separation of the proteins depends on the charge carried by each protein. Polyclonal immunoglobulins, being of varying amino-acid composition, will exhibit a spectrum of mobility, moving as a broad band on electrophoresis and producing a pattern of smooth arcs with monospecific antisera to IgG, IgA, and IgM. A single clone of plasma cells synthesises immunoglobulin of one molecular type only. A number of such molecules possessing identical charge will travel as a discrete band on electrophoresis, producing characteristic distortion of the polyclonal arc on visualisation with specific antisera. The diagnosis of a monoclonal immunoglobulin is suggested by serum protein electrophoresis on cellulose acetate, agarose, or some other support medium. Its verification requires visual interpretation of precipitation patterns on IEP. If only a small amount of monoclonal protein is present, there may be insufficient distortion of the polyclonal arc to allow identification of the monoclonal protein, particularly in the presence of a polyclonal increase of the same immunoglobulin class, and a faint gamma band seen on cellulose acetate electrophoresis may then be interpreted as an artefact. This paper reports five cases in which the presence of a monoclonal protein could not be shown convincingly on IEP in agar, although all were clearly visible after IEP in agarose.

Material and methods

IEP in agar was carried out using 1-5% agar in 0-045 M barbitone buffer pH 8-6. The agar was poured on to a glass plate (8 × 8 cm) to give a depth of agar of 1-7 mm. The pattern of sample wells and antiserum troughs was cut as required. Electrophoresis of sera was carried out in an electrophoresis tank (Shandon Southern Limited) containing 0-045 M barbitone buffer pH 8-6 at a current of approximately 20 mA per plate for 45 minutes. The troughs were then filled with the relevant antisera and the plates incubated at room temperature overnight.

In this laboratory, a mixture of three monospecific antisera (anti-IgG, anti-IgA, and anti-IgM) is employed. These antisera were raised in sheep and absorbed where necessary to render them monospecific. Antisera to kappa or lambda light chains were obtained from Kallestadt Laboratories.

IEP in agarose was carried out using the Corning-ACI agarose film cassette system. This system includes pre-poured gel plates of 1% agarose in 0-065 M barbital buffer, containing 0-035% disodium EDTA pH 8-6 and 50 g/l sucrose. The pre-formed plates contain wells for the addition of test serum and troughs for the addition of antisera after electrophoresis. Electrophoresis is carried out in
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Fig. 1  Patient 1:  
(a) Electrophoresis of serum in agarose.  
(b) Immuno-electrophoresis of serum in agar.  
(c) Immuno-electrophoresis of serum in agarose.
Fig. 2 Patient 2:
(a) Electrophoresis of serum in agarose.
(b) Immunoelectrophoresis of serum in agar.
(c) Immunoelectrophoresis of serum in agarose.
barbital buffer 0·05 M containing 0·035% EDTA at pH 8·6. The cassette system comprises an electrophoresis tank and pre-set power supply, the time for an electrophoretic run being 30-40 minutes. After electrophoresis and the addition of antisera the plates are incubated overnight. The antisera usually employed are provided by Corning Medical as part of the above system and were found on testing to be monospecific for the stated antigen. The precipitation lines so formed may be enhanced by staining with Amido Black.

Results

Figures 1 and 2 show comparisons of electrophoresis and immunoelectrophoresis of two of the five sera in agar and agarose. In all cases the monoclonal protein in question is the IgG class. The results for IEP in agar show only the anti-IgG and anti-light chain plates. The Corning agarose system employs a battery of antisera, and the full results have been shown.

In each case diagnosis of the monoclonal IgG was difficult on the agar plates, but in the agarose system, the presence of a monoclonal IgG was quite obvious. Relevant laboratory details for each of the five cases are shown in the Table. IEP in agarose has also been of value in the confirmation of the presence of monoclonal IgM in sera.

Since the purpose of this study was to show a variation in results using different media, it was necessary to use the same antisera for both methods. The antisera usually employed in this laboratory were used in the Corning system in place of the Corning antisera. (A previous comparison of our in-house antisera and the Corning antisera had, in fact, shown identical results.) Preincubation of the test sera with agar or agarose did not affect the mobility of the monoclonal immunoglobulin.

Discussion

All five sera cited in this report contained a monoclonal IgG on IEP in agarose, which were difficult to visualise by IEP in agar. None of the patients had multiple myelomata as defined by accepted criteria;2 all were probable examples of 'monoclonal gammopathy'. It is well recognised that paraproteins may be detected in as many as 3% of patients aged over 70 years.3

The increasing use of cellulose acetate electrophoresis to screen serum samples undergoing biochemical or immunological investigations has led to the frequent accidental detection of many asymptomatic monoclonal proteins.

Occasionally difficulty is found in the definitive identification of the nature of this monoclonal band. Positive identification of the immunoglobulin class involved is important in the long-term follow-up of such patients since serum paraproteins may be detected many years before clinical presentation with malignant immunocytoma. Also, in patients receiving chemotherapy for myeloma, assessment of the tumour size by serum paraprotein studies allows important monitoring during treatment. It is important, therefore, to establish that the apparent failure to detect a monoclonal protein on IEP is not an artefact due to the use of an inappropriate support medium. We have shown that, in some of these cases, the use of a different gel allows positive identification of the immunoglobulin class of the paraprotein.
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