visual monocyte counts greater than 10, good correlation was obtained (Table 1).

A positive remainder in the Hemalog-D can be due to monocytes with low esterase activity not identified as monocytes in the esterase channel, mistaken identification of monocytes with high peroxidase activity as other cells, or the mistaken identification of neutrophils with high esterase activity as monocytes. A negative remainder in the Hemalog-D can be due to excess cells being mistakenly identified by the machine as monocytes in the esterase channel, or mistaken identification of monocytes as eosinophils or neutrophils in the peroxidase channel.

Accordingly, from our results, we think that the machine monocyte count could be adjusted to approach the visual count when the remainder was greater than ± 5 (with white blood cell counts between 5 and 20 × 10^9/l and no other alarm signals) by adding the machine remainder to the machine monocyte count, and the machine monocyte count could similarly be adjusted when the remainder was greater than -5 and less than -10 (with visual monocyte count less than 10), if the machine remainder was subtracted from the Hemalog-D monocyte count.

Thus simple addition or subtraction of remainders of less than ±10 expanded to a range of differential counts which could be accepted from the Hemalog-D without subsequent visual microscopy of blood films.

We are grateful for the generous help and encouragement given by Professor RD Eastham, Frenchay Hospital, Bristol, UK.
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A human strain of Campylobacter fetus subsp. intestinalis grown at 42°C

Drs Smibert and Gravevenitz (May issue, page 509) question the reliability of tests for growth at 42°C for distinguishing Campylobacter fetus subsp. intestinalis from C. fetus subsp. jejuni. During the past two years or so we have tested some 10000 campylobacter isolates from both man and animals, and we agree that the ability to grow at 25°C is the more reliable characteristic for this purpose; most campylobacters of the jejuni group fail to grow at 30°C, let alone 25°C. However, nalidixic acid resistance is not confined to subspecies intestinalis and fetus (venerealis); some jejuni strains are also resistant (no...
inhibition with 30 μg disc) and they appear to form a distinct subgroup. We have tested 46 such organisms: they do not grow at 25°C, but most grow freely at 45°C, are relatively salt-tolerant, and produce coccoid forms in cultures much earlier than with most jejuni strains. We have isolated them commonly from locally caught seagulls, but also occasionally from other animals and man. Thus the nalidixic acid sensitivity test is a useful adjunct to the 25°C test, but it is not infallible.

We, too, have found that while all jejuni group organisms grow freely at 42°C, a few isolates of what are ostensibly subsp. *intestinalis* (25°C positive and with typical morphology) do manage to grow at this temperature. Such strains, which were mostly isolated from bovine faeces, have generally produced more H₂S than orthodox *intestinalis* strains, notably in iron-containing medium. Of course, one has to be careful in performing tests near the upper limit of temperature tolerance; the cut-off point is sharp, and unless the temperature is accurately controlled results will be variable. We hope to publish a full account of our studies shortly.

MB SKIRROW
J BENJAMIN
*Worcester Royal Infirmary,
Castle Street Branch, Worcester
WR1 3AS*

"Ultra-fast" alkaline phosphatase isoenzyme

Koett and colleagues, in your December 1979 issue, requested investigators to report their experience (if any) of "ultra-fast" alkaline phosphatase isoenzyme in serum with substrates other than the alpha naphthol ASMX phosphate they used. Over the past 10 years I have used cellulose acetate electrophoresis (on Sepaphore III) and indoxyl phosphate substrate to examine alkaline phosphatase isoenzyme patterns in more than a thousand serum specimens with raised total alkaline phosphatase activity. I have never demonstrated "ultra-fast" alkaline phosphatase of albumin mobility in any of these samples.

SIDNEY B ROSALKI
*The Royal Free Hospital,
Fond Street, Hampstead,
London NW3 2QG*

**Staphylococcal enterocolitis and inflammatory bowel disease**

We were interested by the articles of Willoughby *et al.* and Price *et al.* (Volume 32, page 986 and page 990 respectively). We are also studying the role of rectal biopsy in the diagnosis of acute colitis and have encountered two patients with infective colitis who are of particular interest.

**Patient 1**

A 55-year-old housewife was well and on no medication until February 1976 when she had a sudden onset of severe watery diarrhoea associated with flu-like symptoms. At the time she attributed her symptoms to the ingestion of a pork pie 2-3 hours before the onset of her illness. Her GP prescribed cotrimoxazole and kaolin to no effect, and she was admitted three weeks later to an infectious diseases hospital for investigation. On admission she was unwell and pyrexic. The abdomen was distended but there was no tenderness. Investigations revealed Hb 11·8 g/dl, WBC 12·0 × 10⁹/l (82% neutrophils), ESR 50. Stool cultures showed *Staphylococcus aureus* + + + (ie, massive overgrowth of staphylococcus with relative absence of the normal Gram-negative enteric flora) (GL Gibson—personal communication). The organism was resistant to penicillin and ampicillin but sensitive to tetracycline, cotrimoxazole, cloxacillin, lincomycin, fucidin, and erythromycin. No other pathogens were detected on routine culture although *Clostridium difficile* and pathogenic *Escherichia coli* were not sought. Ten days after admission she was transferred to this hospital where investigations showed the serum albumin was low at 30·3 g/l. Sigmoidoscopy was normal and rectal biopsy revealed a mild acute proctitis compatible with an infective aetiology. Barium enema revealed severe ulceration of the whole colon but with rectal sparing. A small bowel meal was normal. She was treated with steroids and IVH and showed dramatic improvement; he was discharged 18 days after admission. Six months after discharge from hospital he suffered a further attack of diarrhoea necessitating treatment with steroids. Sigmoidoscopy during this second attack showed minimal erythema only but a barium enema showed continuing ulceration in the descending and transverse colon. Faecal bacteriology was not performed on this occasion.

These patients are of interest for three reasons. Firstly, the bacteriological findings strongly suggest the diagnosis of staphylococcal enterocolitis. A massive overgrowth of staphylococci in the faeces to the exclusion of the normal enteric flora is uncommon and probably diagnostic of staphylococcal enterocolitis. These cases appear to be highly unusual since we have been unable to find similar reports in the literature. They do suggest, however, that staphylococcal enterocolitis may occur in previously healthy individuals who are not on antimicrobials. This suggestion is supported both by the observation that staphylococci have been recovered from